**Table S1.** Vignette studies published in *Evolution and Human Behavior* from 1997 to 2012.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Reference a** | **Primary or Secondary Method** | **Study of Decision Rules? b** | **Type of Design** | **Citation** |
| Antfolk et al 2012 | Primary | No | Factorial | [1] |
| Bressler et al 2006 | Primary | No | Non-factorial | [2] |
| Brown & Lewis 2004 | Primary | No | Factorial | [3] |
| Fessler & Navarette 2004 | Primary | No | Non-factorial | [4] |
| Figueredo et al 2001 | Secondary | No | Non-factorial | [5] |
| Figueredo et al 2004 | Primary | Yes | Factorial | [6] |
| Kameda et al 2002 | Primary | Yes | Factorial | [7] |
| Kiyonari et al 2000 | Secondary | No | Factorial | [8] |
| Kurzban et al 2012 | Primary | Yes | Non-factorial | [9] |
| Lieberman & Lobel 2012 | Primary | No | Non-factorial | [10] |
| Mahalingam 2007 | Primary | Yes | Non-factorial | [11] |
| Ohtsubo & Watanabe 2009 | Primary | No | Non-factorial | [12] |
| Peterson et al 2012 | Primary | No | Factorial | [13] |
| Sheets et al 1997 | Primary | No | Non-factorial | [14] |
| Surbey & McNally 1997 | Primary | Yes | Factorial | [15] |
| Surbey 2011 | Primary | Yes | Factorial | [16] |

a References found by searching for the word “vignette” in the title, abstract, keywords, and text of all 618 “Full-Length Articles” published in the journal *Evolution and Human Behavior* from 1997 to 2012. The search yielded 20 articles, but four were excluded because they only mentioned but did not use vignettes. My methodology may underestimate the prevalence of vignette studies in evolutionary social science if there are studies that used vignette methodologies but did not refer to them as such, or if evolutionary social scientists have preferentially published their vignette studies in other journals.

b Studies of decision rules presented a vignette and asked the respondent about their own or a third-party’s behavior in response to the scenario; studies that did not cover decision rules presented a vignette and asked the respondent about psychological state.
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