SUPPLEMENTARY METHODS

2

1

3 Flow cytometry characterization.

- 4 Human adipose stem cells (n=3, passages 3-5) were characterized by flow
- 5 cytometry (EPICS XL flow cytometer; Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA) using
- 6 anti-human monoclonal antibodies directly conjugated to fluorescein
- 7 isothiocyanate (CD15 [BD Pharmingen, CA, USA]), phycoerythrin (CD14,
- 8 CD146,CD166, CD144 [R&D Systems, MN,USA], CD73 [BD] and CD90
- 9 [Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA]) or allophycocyanin (CD44, CD117[R&D]).
- Data acquisition and analysis were performed with Expo32 software (Beckman
- 11 Coulter). The cells were labeled according to standard protocols. Matched
- 12 labeled isotypes were used as controls.

13 Microarray data analysis.

- 14 **Microarray Analysis.** Hybridization, washing, staining and scanning of the
- arrays were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions (Agilent
- 16 Technologies "One-Color Microarray-Based gene expression Analysis, 5.5
- 17 Version). The array contains 41000 genes.

18 Array Design.

- 19 Total RNA was obtained from lipoaspirates of eight donors (age 18-35 years) or
- 20 isolated from 1.6x10⁶ cells in culture using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen), according
- 21 to manufacturer's instructions, and treated with DNAse. RNA concentration was
- measured by spectroscopy using Nanodrop and yielding an A₂₆₀/A₂₈₀ ratio of
- 23 1:8. Before performing the Agilent hybridization procedure p/n 5188-5977 "one-
- color microarray-based gene expression analysis", quality and quantity control
- of total RNA was assessed using electroforesis in Agilent Bioanalizer. The RNA
- 26 Integrity Number (RIN) was between 8.0 and 9.9 in all the samples used for
- 27 hybridization. Total RNA was standardized among patients pooling together
- 28 the different samples for each established stages. Four time-points were
- established for the analysis (Isolation, passage 1, passage 3 and passage 5),
- 30 using four primary culture RNA preparations from 4 different patients per time-
- 31 point. The hybridization was repeated twice per group.

Microarray gene expression data analysis.

- 2 Statistical analysis was carried out using the R-project software
- 3 (http://www.r-project.org/) and the appropriate Bioconductor packages
- 4 (http://www.bioconductor.org/) run under R-project. First, background
- 5 correction was carried out using the *subtract* function implemented in
- 6 the *limma* package (48). Then, and in order to remove all the possible
- 7 sources of variation of a non-biological origin between arrays,
- 8 densitometry values between arrays were normalized using the
- 9 quantile normalization function also implemented in the limma
- 10 package.

1

- 11 Statistically significant differences between groups were identified
- using the empirical Bayes method, implemented in *limma* (48), to
- moderate the standard errors of the estimated log-fold changes, which
- results in more stable inference and improved power, especially for
- 15 experiments with small numbers of arrays. This approach includes the
- 16 Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) multiple hypothesis test for raw p-value
- 17 correction to ascertain the false positive rate. Those genes showing a
- 18 BH p-value<0.05 were selected as de-regulated genes.
- 19 Functional annotations were carried out using the Database for
- 20 Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) (23,43),
- which allows the searching for blocks of functionally-related genes by
- 22 different criteria such as the Gene Ontology terms and KEGG
- 23 pathways, among others.