## Supporting information for

## Eight years of the Great Influenza Survey to monitor influenza-like illness in Flanders

Yannick Vandendijck<sup>1,\*</sup>, Christel Faes<sup>1</sup>, Niel Hens<sup>1,2</sup>

 Interuniversity Institute for Biostatistics and statistical Bioinformatics, Hasselt University, Diepenbeek, Belgium
Centre for Health Economic Research and Modeling Infectious Diseases, Vaccine and Infectious Disease Institute, University of Antwerp, Wilrijk, Belgium
\* E-mail: yannick.vandendijck@uhasselt.be

In this supporting information, more details on the random walk model of first order (RW1-model) are given. The model is explained, and choices of the prior distribution for all parameters can be found.

## Random Walk Model of First Order

Assume that in week *i* the number of new ILI cases  $y_i$  is negatively binomial distributed,  $y_i \sim \text{NegBin}(\alpha_i, \tau)$ . The  $\tau$  is often referred to as the dispersion parameter. The mean and variance of  $y_i$  are  $\mu_i = \tau(1 - \alpha_i)/\alpha_i$ and  $\sigma_i^2 = \mu_i(1 + \mu_i/\tau)$ , respectively. Assume that the mean  $\mu_i$  is modeled as  $\mu_i = E_i \exp(\eta_i)$ , where  $E_i$  represents the known offset in week *i* and  $\eta_i$  is a linear predictor. The offset  $E_i$  is chosen equally to the number of active participants in week *i*. The linear predictor  $\eta_i$  is modeled as  $\eta_i = \beta_0 + \delta_i$ , where  $\beta_0$  represents the intercept and  $\boldsymbol{\delta} = (\delta_1, ..., \delta_T)'$  are time-specific parameters that follow a random walk model of first order. The latter model assumes that the differences between two adjacent parameters follow a multivariate normal distribution with a mean of zero. This results in the following distribution for  $\boldsymbol{\delta}$  [1]:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\boldsymbol{\delta}|\sigma_{\delta}^2) &\propto & \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma_{\delta}^2}\sum_{t=2}^T (\delta_t - \delta_{t-1})^2\right) \\ &= & \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma_{\delta}^2}\boldsymbol{\delta}'\mathbf{R}_{\delta}\boldsymbol{\delta}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma_{\delta}^2$  is an unknown variance parameter and  $\mathbf{R}_{\delta}$  is a structured matrix of the form given by

$$\mathbf{R}_{\delta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & & & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & & \\ & -1 & 2 & -1 & & \\ & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ & & & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ & & & & & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ & & & & & & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A Bayesian approach is taken, and the following uninformative priors are chosen for the unknown parameters:

$$\log(\sigma_{\delta}^{2}) \sim \text{LogGamma}(1, 0.001), \pi(\beta_{0}|\nu_{1}) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \nu_{1}^{-1}) \text{ with } \nu_{1} = 0.001, \pi(\tau|\nu_{2}) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \nu_{2}^{-1}) \text{ with } \nu_{2} = 0.001.$$

This model is fitted using approximate Bayesian inference by integrated nested Laplace approximations (INLA) [2]. This method yields very good approximate Bayesian inference in structured additive regression models with latent Gaussian fields. A major advantage of INLA is that it returns accurate parameter estimates in a short computational time. Models were fit in R version 2.14 using the INLA package [3].

## References

- Schrödle B, Held L (2011) Spatio-temporal disease mapping using INLA. Environmetrics 22: 725-734.
- 2. Rue H, Martino S, Chopin N (2009) Approximate Bayesian inference for latent Gaussian models by using integrated nested Laplace approximations (with discussion). J Roy Stat Soc B 71: 319-392.
- 3. Martino S, Rue H (2009) Implementing approximate Bayesian inference using integrated nested Laplace approximation: a manual for the INLA program. Available from: http://wwwr-inlaorg/download.