Supplementary Text S1: Homology Relations Missed by FastBLAST.

Among our 2,000 test queries, there were four queries for which FastBLAST missed a hit that was within the top 10 hits and over 100 bits. For these four worst misses, we show the Genbank ids (gi #s) for the query and the missed subject, the rank (e.g. 124519843 is the 7th best hit of 71897758 other than itself), the alignment from BLAST, and a brief comment. Apart from the highly repetitive hit, which we suspect is spurious, the earliest rank is #5 and the highest score is 108 bits.

Query: 71897758, Subject: 124519843, Rank: #7

Clustering fails: subject is clustered with 149199875 (41% identical over a.a. 22-394), but 149199875 is not a BLAST hit of the query.

	105 bits (263), Expect = 3e-22, Method: Composition-based stats. s = 61/175 (34%), Positives = 92/175 (52%), Gaps = 4/175 (2%)
Query: 5	QWDSASVADCLVTVPTAGTTKVQTRNYKAARRFPVIDQGRNQIAGWTDDEGAVINAP-FP 63 +W+ S +D + +++T Y + ++PV+DQG+ ++ +++DE V P
Sbjct: 220	EWEKVSFSDIFIKTKVK-KHQIKTNEYLESGKYPVVDQGQKKVTAYSNDEEKVFEVPETG 278
Query: 64	LIVFGDHTRAFKFVKRSFARGADGIQLLRPKSGIDPLFFYACRAID-LPARGYNRHFTIL 122 +IVFGDHTR KF+ F GADG Q+L K D F+Y I +P GYNRHF L
Sbjct: 279	VIVFGDHTREIKFIDFDFIIGADGTQVLMTKDDYDVRFYYYHLLIQKIPNTGYNRHFKFL 338
Query: 123	KEKELTFPRDIDEQAAIAEVLRRTEHTLGKQAQILRALHDLKRATMRQLFTCGLR 177 KE P + EQ AI+ +L + L L AL++ K+ M+ L T +R
Sbjct: 339	KEMIFNKP-SLKEQKAISNLLSTIDKELDLLNAELSALNEQKKGLMQLLLTGKVR 392

Query: 145475943, Subject: 71407532, Rank: #2

The sequences are highly repetitive. Because the query's repeat has the spacer EGE and the subject's repeat has the spacer STP, the repeats must have expanded independently. The similarity within each repeat is a maximum of only 5 amino acids in a span of 8, so the sequences might not even be homologous. We show only the first of 8 alignments from BLAST for this pair of sequences.

Score = 157 bits (397), Expect = 4e-37, Method: Composition-based stats. Identities = 123/421 (29%), Positives = 126/421 (29%)

Query: 440	SEEHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTQEGEGKSDEHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQ 4 S HG S HGT S HGT S H T S H T	199
Sbjct: 690	SSAHGAPSTPADSSAHGTPSTPVDSSAHGTPSTPADSSAHSTPSTPADSSAHSTPSTPAD	749
Query: 500	SEDHGSQEGEVKSDEHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGGKSEDHGTTEGEGQSQDHGTTEGEGQ 5 S H + V S HGT S H T S HGT S H T	559
Sbjct: 750	SSAHSTPSTPVDSSAHGTPSTPADSSAHSTPSTPADSSAHGTPSTPVDSSAHSTPSTPVD 8	309
Query: 560	SEDHGTQEGEVKSDEHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTQEGEGKSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGGQGQ 6 S HGT V S H T S HGT S H T S H T	319
Sbjct: 810	SSAHGTPSTPVDSSAHSTPSTPVDSSAHGTPSTPVDSSAHSTPSTPADSSAHSTPSTPAD 8	369

Query: 620	SEDHGTQEGEVKSDEHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTQEGEVK 679 SHGTVSHTSSHTSSHTVSHTSSHGTV
Sbjct: 870	SSAHGTPSTPVDSSAHSTPSTPADSSAHSTPSTPVDSSAHSTPSTPADSSAHGTPSTPVD 929
Query: 680	SDEHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTQEGEVK 739 SHGT SHT SHT SHT SHT SHT
Sbjct: 930	SSAHGTPSTPADSSAHSTPSTPADSSAHSTPSTPADSSAHSTPSTPVDSSAHSTPSTPAD 989
Query: 740	SDEHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHGT
Sbjct: 990	SSAHSTPSTPADSSAHSTPSTPADSSAHSTPSTPVDSSAHSTPSTPADSSAHGTPSTPAD 1049
Query: 800	SDEHGTTEGEGQSEDHGTQEGEGKAEDHGTTEGEGQSEDHSTSEGEVRSDEHGTNDVKED 859 SHT SHT + HGT SHST SHGT D
Sbjct: 1050	SSAHSTPSTPVDSSAHSTPSTPADSSAHGTPSTPADSSAHSTPSTPADSSAHGTPSTPAD 1109
Query: 860	T 860 +
Sbjct: 1110	S 1110

Query: 121612134, Subject: 57237813, Rank: #5

Although the sequences are nearly identical, and they both map to models 0042501 and 0042480 from SUPERFAMILY, the alignments to those models do not overlap. For example, the query matches model positions 1:148 from 0042501, while the subject matches model positions 252:447, both with E-values of better than 10^{-17} . This problem could perhaps be avoided if HMMer had the option to return secondary high-scoring alignments of the same region to a different portion of the model.

```
Score = 108 bits (271), Expect = 2e-23, Method: Composition-based stats.
Identities = 67/74 (90%), Positives = 67/74 (90%)
Query: 1 MEKSLLFHFRRIGVEFIIFSVYAVFSISWAATGSLMPLISNDLALNTQQATLITSMIVVA 60
MEKSLLFHFRRIGVEFIIFSVYAVFSISWAATGSLMPLISNDLALNTQQATLITSMIVVA 60
Sbjct: 1 MEKSLLFHFRRIGVEFIIFSVYAVFSISWAATGSLMPLISNDLALNTQQATLITSMIVVA 60
Query: 61 KIFGASFTAFLVYK 74
KIFGA F K
Sbjct: 61 KIFGAYLGLFFKRK 74
```

Query: 60418535, Subject: 116510983, Rank: #6

We would need to look at additional domains to find this homology. The hits to known families for this region of the query are to PF01381.13 (the full-length model of this PFam), PF01381.13.fs (the fragment model of this PFam), and model HTH_XRE from SMART. FastHMM does not find any hits for these models to the subject (although HMMer would have). The query also has a weaker hit to SUPERFAMILY model 0045230, which hits the subject, but FastBLAST does not consider this domain.

```
Score = 103 bits (258), Expect = 5e-22, Method: Composition-based stats.

Identities = 54/98 (55%), Positives = 68/98 (69%)

Query: 1 MNDLFYHRLKELVEASGKSANQIERELGYPRNSLNNYKLGGEPSGTRLIGLSEYFNVSPK 60

M ++FY RLK L SGKS NQIERELGY RN+L NYK GG PSG RL+ L+ YF V P

Sbjct: 1 MENIFYLRLKALTHESGKSFNQIERELGYTRNALANYKNGGVPSGIRLMELANYFKVLPD 60
```

```
Query: 61 YLMGIIDEPNDSSAINLFKTLTQEEKKEMFIICQKWLF 98
```

```
YL+G + N S N F +LT ++K EM+++CQKW+
Sbjct: 61 YLIGKVPFENVESIENTFVSLTNKQKIEMYLLCQKWIL 98
```