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# SYNOPSIS OF CLINICAL STUDY REPORT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Study title</strong></th>
<th>A Randomized, Double Blind, Multi-center, Active Drug Controlled Clinical Trial to Compare the Efficacy and Safety of Meditoxin® versus Botox® in Treatment of Post Stroke Upper Limb Spasticity.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sponsor</strong></td>
<td>Medytox Inc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Institutions and Principal investigators** | **Institutions**  
Seoul National University Hospital,  
Asan Medical Center,  
Seoul National University Bundang Hospital,  
Seoul Metropolitan Boramae Medical Center  
Dongguk University Ilsan Hospital  
**Principal investigators**  
Moon-seok Bang,  
Min-ho Chon,  
Nam-jong Baek,  
Si-wook Lee  
Beom-son Kwon |
| **Objective** | To evaluate the efficacy and safety of the study drug (Meditoxin®), compared to controlled drug (Botox®) in treatment of post-stroke upper limb spasticity. |
| **Primary objectives** | Compare Meditoxin® vs. Botox® in change from baseline at week 4 for wrist flexor muscle tone as measured on the MAS (Modified Ashworth Scale) in patients with post-stroke upper limb spasticity |
| **Secondary objectives** |  
- Compare the efficacy of Meditoxin® vs. Botox® in following outcomes:  
  - Change from baseline at week 4, 8, 12 for elbow flexor, finger flexor and thumb flexor muscle tone as measured on MAS  
  - Change from baseline at week 8 and 12 for wrist flexor muscle tone as measured on the MAS  
  - Percentage of treatment responders in wrist flexor, elbow flexor, finger flexor and thumb flexor at week 4, 8 and 12 after injection when a treatment response is defined as at least 1-point improvement from baseline on the MAS  
  - Change from baseline to week 4, 8 and 12 in a targeted domain of DAS(Disability Assessment Scale)  
  - Global assessment evaluated by investigator and subject/caregiver at week 12 after injection  
  - Change from baseline to week 4, 8 and 12 in the Carer Burden Scale evaluated by caregiver  
- Compare the safety of Meditoxin® vs. Botox® |
| **Study Design** | Double blind, randomized, active drug controlled, multicenter Phase III study |
| **Targeted Disease (Indication)** | Patients over 20 years old with post stroke upper limb spasticity |
| **Inclusion criteria** |  
1. Male or female patients ≥ 20 years  
2. Diagnosed with stroke at least 6 weeks before the study enrollment  
3. ≥ 2 points in the focal spasticity of wrist flexor and ≥ 1 points at least one of |
exclusion of elbow flexor and finger flexor as measured on MAS
4. ≥ 2 points in one selected item among hygiene, dressing, limb position and pain for DAS (Disability Assessment Scale) assessment
5. Voluntary consent has been obtained with signed informed consent from by a subject or his/her legally acceptable representative.

Exclusion Criteria

1. Systemic neuromuscular disorders (e.g. Lambert-Eaton syndrome, myasthenia gravis, or amyotrophic lateral sclerosis)
2. History of (within 6 months of IP treatment) or planned (during study period) treatment with phenol or alcohol injection or surgery in the target limb
3. History of (within 6 months of IP treatment) or planned (during study period) treatment with tendon lengthening in the target limb
4. Fixed joint/muscle contracture in the target limb Fixed joint or muscle contracture is defined as a condition with seriously limited mobility of joint due to significant resistance to passive movement.
5. Severe atrophy in the target limb (upper limb with spasticity)
6. Concurrent treatment with intrathecal baclofen
7. History of treatment with Botulinum Toxin within 3 months of IP treatment
8. Known allergy or sensitivity to study drug or its components (Clostridium botulinum toxin type A, human serum albumin or sodium chrolide).
9. For concurrent use of muscle relaxants and/or benzodiazepine medication, subjects who have changed the regimen within 1 month before screening; and subjects who are expected to change the regimen during the study period (12 weeks), even if these medications were stable from 1 month before screening
10. For a subject with current physical, occupational or splinting therapy in the target limb, these therapy has been changed within 1 month before screening or change is planned during the study period (12 weeks)
11. Subjects who are participating in other clinical study at the screening
12. Females who are pregnant or breast-feeding or have positive result in a serum or urine pregnancy test, or who do not agree to use of acceptable contraception from the screening up to 12 weeks after the end of treatment
* All females of childbearing potential should have negative result in a pregnancy test (urine or blood) conducted within 14 days of initial IP injection to participate in the study. Females who have had no menstruation for at least 12 consecutive or received surgical sterilization (bilateral tubal ligation, bilateral oophorectomy or hysterectomy) are not considered child-bearing potential. Medically acceptable contraceptions include specimide, contraceptive pill and barrier methods, intrauterine devices (IUDs) and complete abstinence.
13. Others determined inappropriate for the study in the investigator’s opinion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of subjects</th>
<th>Population Size (Planned Population Size and Actual Analysis Population Size)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Study Group (Meditoxin®)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis Population Size</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis Population Size including drop-out (20%)</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of centers: 5 centers
**Inator Products**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental drug</th>
<th>Controlled Drug</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meditoxin® (Clostridium Botulinum Toxin Type A, 100 unit) by Medytox Ltd.</td>
<td>Botox® (Clostridium Botulinum Toxin Type A, 100 unit) by Allergan Ltd.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Formula**
Injection with freeze-dry white powder in colorless transparent vial

**Injection Site**
Intramuscular, IM

**Dosage & Administration**
Refer to the table below. Flexor carpi radialis and flexor carpi ulnaris related to wrist flexor have to be injected, but others are injected only the score of MAS is over 1. Selected sites can be injected up to total of 360U.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injection Sites</th>
<th>Dosage</th>
<th>Injection Sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flexed wrist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexor carpi radialis</td>
<td>15-60U</td>
<td>1-2 sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexor carpi ulnaris</td>
<td>10-50U</td>
<td>1-2 sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clenched fist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexor digitorum superficialis</td>
<td>15-50U</td>
<td>1-2 sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexor digitorum profundus</td>
<td>15-50U</td>
<td>1-2 sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexed elbow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biceps</td>
<td>100-200U</td>
<td>Up to 4 sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thumb-in-palm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexor pollicis longus</td>
<td>0-20U</td>
<td>1-2 sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adductor pollicis</td>
<td>0-10U</td>
<td>1-2 sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexor pollicis brevis/opponens</td>
<td>0-10U</td>
<td>1-2 sites</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**(1) Primary efficacy endpoint:**
Compare Meditoxin® vs. Botox® in change from baseline at week 4 for wrist flexor muscle tone as measured on the MAS (Modified Ashworth Scale) in patients with post-stroke upper limb spasticity

**(2) Secondary efficacy endpoint:**
- Compare the efficacy of Meditoxin® vs. Botox® in following outcomes:
  - Change from baseline at week 4 for elbow flexor, finger flexor and thumb flexor muscle tone as measured on MAS
  - Change from baseline at week 8 and 12 for wrist flexor, elbow flexor, finger flexor and thumb flexor muscle tone as measured on MAS
  - Percentage of treatment responders in wrist flexor, elbow flexor, finger flexor and thumb flexor at week 4, 8 and 12 after injection when a treatment response is defined as at least 1-point improvement from baseline on the MAS
  - Change from baseline to week 4, 8 and 12 in a targeted domain of DAS(Disability Assessment Scale)
- Global assessment evaluated by investigator at week 12 after injection

**Efficacy Variable**
- Assessments by Subject or Caregiver
  - Global assessment evaluated by subject/caregiver at week 12 after injection
  - Change from baseline to week 4, 8 and 12 in the Carer Burden Scale evaluated
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis Set</th>
<th>Safety Variable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAS (Full Analysis Set)</td>
<td>Data obtained from subjects participating in the study are followed by ITT (Intention-to-treat) and categorized into Safety set, FAS (Full Analysis Set) and PP (Per-Protocol).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP (Per-Protocol) set</td>
<td>FAS subjects who completed protocol without any protocol violation can be qualified for PP set. Subject exceptions in PP set analysis are followed in protocol section 12.5.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Efficacy evaluation set**

Efficacy is analyzed in FAS and PP. In this study, FAS is the main analysis set for efficacy evaluation and additionally evaluation in PP is conducted.

1) FAS (Full Analysis Set)

Every enrolled subject who received randomized number is in FAS. However, the subject can be excluded from FAS if the subject as below;
- Subjects who are enrolled, but not treated with the investigational product
- Subjects who are treated with investigational product but never evaluated.

For any missing data due to subject's premature discontinuation or not-implemented procedure, LOCF (Last Observation Carried Forward Method) was employed in FAS analysis.

But, any missing data after IP treatment was not replaced with baseline value. Thus, when week 4 data was missing in primary and secondary efficacy endpoints, it cannot be replaced with baseline data and FAS analysis should be done with data missing, even though LOCF was used.

2) PP (Per-Protocol) set

FAS subjects who completed protocol without any protocol violation can be qualified for PP set. Subject exceptions in PP set analysis are followed in protocol section 12.5. 

**Efficacy evaluation set**

Subjects with at least one IP treatment are in safety set. Safety evaluation is conducted for safety set.
**Study timeline**

**Total of 5 visits:**

Visit 1 (~14 days): Screening
Visit 2 (week 0): Treatment
Visit 3 (week 4 ± 7 days), Visit 4 (week 8 ± 7 days): Safety and Efficacy Evaluation
Visit 5 (week 12±7 days): Safety and Efficacy Evaluation, End of Study Visit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visit 1 (~14 days)</th>
<th>Visit 2 (week 0)</th>
<th>Visit 3 (week 4 ± 7 days)</th>
<th>Visit 4 (week 8 ± 7 days)</th>
<th>Visit 5 (week 12±7 days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Screening Visit</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Follow-up Visit</td>
<td>End of Study Visit</td>
<td>Safety and Efficacy Evaluation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Efficacy analysis methods**

1) Primary efficacy analysis
   
   We provided 95% CI (both sided) for difference between study group and control group in change from baseline to week 4 in wrist flexor muscle tone as measured by MAS (Modified Ashworth Scale). If the upper limit of CI is no greater than 0.45 (non-inferiority margin), the study group is determined not inferior to the control group.

2) Secondary efficacy analysis:
   
   ① Change from baseline at week 4 for muscle tone in the injected sites among elbow flexor, finger flexor and thumb flexor as measured on the MAS
   
   Changes from baseline to week 4 in muscle tone of the injected sites among elbow flexor, finger flexor and thumb flexor are summarized with descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviations, median, minimum and maximum) by treatment group and the difference between groups is analyzed using two sample t-test.
   
   ② Change from baseline at week 8 and 12 for muscle tone in the injected sites among wrist flexor, elbow flexor, finger flexor and thumb flexor as measured on the MAS
   
   Changes from baseline to week 4 in muscle tone of the injected sites among wrist flexor, elbow flexor, finger flexor and thumb flexor are summarized with descriptive statistics (mean, SD, median, minimum and maximum) by treatment group and difference between the two groups is compared using two sample t-test.
   
   ③ Percentage of treatment responders in wrist flexor, elbow flexor, finger flexor and thumb flexor at week 4, 8 and 12 after injection when a treatment response is defined as at least 1-point improvement from baseline on the MAS
   
   We provided frequency and percentage of treatment responders who have improved at least 1-point on the MAS at week 4, 8 and 12 from baseline in wrist flexor, elbow flexor, finger flexor and thumb flexor and compared difference...
between the two groups using Pearson’s chi-square test.

4 Change from baseline to week 4, 8 and 12 in the predefined target domain of the DAS (Disability Assessment Scale) evaluated by investigator
Changes from baseline to week 4, 8 and 12 in the predefined target domain of DAS are summarized with descriptive statistics (mean, SD, median, minimum and maximum) by treatment group and difference between the two groups is compared using Wilcox's rank sum test.

5 Global assessment evaluated by investigator at week 12 after injection
We provide frequency and percentage of each global assessment category measured at week 12 after injection by treatment group and compared difference between the two groups using Fisher's exact test.

6 Global assessment evaluated by subject/caregiver at week 12 after injection
We provide frequency and percentage of each global assessment category measured at week 12 after injection by treatment group and compared difference between the two groups using Pearson's chi-square test.

7 Change from baseline to week 4, 8 and 12 in the Carer Burden Scale evaluated by caregiver
Changes from baseline to week 4, 8 and 12 in Carer Burden Scale are summarized with descriptive statistics (mean, SD, median, minimum and maximum) by treatment group and difference between the two groups is compared using Wilcox's rank sum test.

**Safety analysis methods**

Adverse events (AEs), treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs), adverse drug reaction (ADRs) and serious adverse events (SAEs) occurred after obtaining consent are summarized with descriptive statistics (number of subjects, incidence rate and number of events) and any differences in incidences of AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs between groups are analyzed using Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs are coded using MedDRA by SOC (System Organ Class) and PT (Preferred Term) and the coded events are summarized with number of subjects, incidence rate and number of events by treatment group.

Continuous variables for clinical lab test, physical examination and vital sign are analyzed using paired-t-test or Wilcoxon's signed rank test depending on their fulfillment of normality assumption to determine intragroup changes from baseline to week 12, and categorical variables are analyzed using MacMemar's test. For changes from baseline to week 12 between groups, continuous variables are analyzed using two sample t-test or Wilcoxon's rank sum test and categorical variables are analyzed using Pearson’s chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expected Study period</th>
<th>1) Total study period (per subject): Approximately 12~15 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2) Enrollment period: 10 months (Feb. 2011 ~ Nov. 2011)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Total period can be modified by the speed of subject enrollment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>