Docosahexaenoic Acid Decreases Pro-Inflammatory Mediators in an *In Vitro* Murine Adipocyte Macrophage Co-Culture Model
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**Abstract**

Paracrine interactions between adipocytes and macrophages contribute to chronic inflammation in obese adipose tissue. Dietary strategies to mitigate such inflammation include long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids, docosahexaenoic (DHA) and eicosapentaenoic (EPA) acids, which act through PPARγ-dependent and independent pathways. We utilized an *in vitro* co-culture model designed to mimic the ratio of macrophages:adipocytes in obese adipose tissue, whereby murine 3T3-L1 adipocytes were cultured with RAW 264.7 macrophages in direct contact, or separated by a trans-well membrane (contact-independent mechanism), with 125 μM of albumin-complexed DHA, EPA, palmitic acid (PA), or albumin alone (control). Thus, we studied the effect of physical cell contact versus the presence of soluble factors, with or without a PPARγ antagonist (T0070907) in order to elucidate putative mechanisms. After 12 hr, DHA was the most anti-inflammatory, decreasing MCP1 and IL-6 secretion in the contact system (−57%, −63%, respectively, p<0.05) with similar effects in the trans-well system. The trans-well system allowed for isolation of cell types for inflammatory mediator analysis. DHA decreased mRNA expression (p<0.05) of Mcp1 (−7.1 fold) and increased expression of the negative regulator, Mcp1-IP (1.5 fold). In macrophages, DHA decreased mRNA expression of pro-inflammatory M1 polarization markers (p<0.05), Nos2 (iNOS; −7 fold), Tnfs (−4.2 fold) and Nfkb (−2.3 fold), while increasing anti-inflammatory Tgfβ1 (+1.7 fold). Interestingly, the PPARγ antagonist co-administered with DHA or EPA in co-culture reduced (p<0.05) adiponectin cellular protein, without modulating other cytokines (protein or mRNA). Overall, our findings suggest that DHA may lessen the degree of MCP1 and IL-6 secreted from adipocytes, and may reduce the degree of M1 polarization of macrophages recruited to adipose tissue, thereby decreasing the intensity of pro-inflammatory cross-talk between adipocytes and macrophages in obese adipose tissue.

**Introduction**

Adipose tissue is an active endocrine organ that secretes many proteins collectively called adipokines, which play a role in obesity-associated pathologies, such as insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes [1]. Various cells within adipose tissue, including adipocytes, macrophages, endothelial cells, and other immune cells within the stromal vascular fraction, contribute to the adipokine milieu to varying degrees [2]. Adipokines include the adipocyte-derived hormones adiponectin and leptin, as well as cytokines, such as IL-6, TNFα, IL-10 and MCP1 (CCL2) that are secreted from multiple cellular sources [1,2]. The chronic inflammatory state in obesity is partly attributable to increased macrophage infiltration into adipose tissue, followed by increased production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as TNFα, IL-6, and MCP1, as well as decreased secretion of adiponectin, an insulin-sensitizing adipokine [3]. Paracrine interactions or cross-talk between adipocytes and macrophages in obese adipose tissue play a key role in the generation of the adipokine profile and can be influenced by dietary factors, such as fatty acids [3,4].

Interestingly, saturated fatty acids are known to exert pro-inflammatory effects [4,5]. More specifically, saturated free fatty acids like lauric acid (12:0) [6] and palmitic acid (PA, 16:0) [4] released from dysregulated adipocytes can activate toll-like receptor (TLR)-2 and TLR4 signalling respectively, which ultimately triggers NFκB-mediated pro-inflammatory gene expression and subsequent cytokine secretion from macrophages. Although negative feedback factors like suppressor of cytokine signalling 3 (SOCS3) [7] and monocyte chemoattractant 1-induced protein (MCP1-IP) [8] act to suppress pro-inflammatory cytokine signalling, these feedback factors may be dysfunctional in obese humans with type 2 diabetes [9]. In turn, macrophages activated through TLR2 [6] or TLR4 [4] signalling have been shown to undergo polarization to a unique M1-like phenotype characterized by increased lipid content and secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines, TNFα and IL-6 [10]. These cytokines subsequently feedback onto adipocytes through paracrine signalling to sustain adipocyte-derived pro-inflammatory adipokine secretion and lipolysis [3]. This in turn sustains the increased release of saturated free fatty acids and continued TLR-signalling.
in macrophages [4]. Thus, in this cross-talk paradigm, dysfunctional adipocytes can be viewed as effectors secreting distress signals such as free fatty acids and chemokines, and macrophages can be viewed as the responders to these signals, which promotes their activation to the pro-inflammatory M1-like phenotype [3,4] that characterizes obese adipose tissue [10,11]. Moreover, the pro-inflammatory adipokine profile, generated in part through adipocyte macrophage cross-talk, is associated with decreased insulin sensitivity locally, i.e. within adipocytes [12], and peripherally, in other metabolically active tissues such as skeletal muscle and liver [13]. Thus, targeting paracrine interactions between adipocytes and macrophages as a mechanism to mitigate chronic inflammation in obesity can potentially be regarded as a therapeutic strategy.

In contrast to the effects of saturated fatty acids, long chain n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), namely docosahexaenoic acid (22:6n-3, DHA) and eicosapentaenoic acid (20:5n-3, EPA), exert known anti-inflammatory effects [reviewed by [14,15]]. Thus, increased consumption of n-3 PUFA may represent a promising strategy to reduce the production of pro-inflammatory adipokines associated with obesity. Interestingly, mice fed an obeseogenic high fat diet supplemented with EPA and DHA exhibited an improved adipokine profile characterized by elevated plasma adiponectin and decreased free fatty acid levels [16], as well as decreased macrophage infiltration into adipose tissue [17]. Recently, we have shown that EPA and DHA increase adiponectin secretion and cellular protein in vitro, in part through activation of the nuclear receptor, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ) [18,19]. Adiponectin has been shown to drive macrophage polarization towards the anti-inflammatory M2 phenotype in vitro and ex vivo [20,21], thereby potentially re-directing the cyclic pro-inflammatory cross-talk between adipocytes and macrophages. This suggests that n-3 PUFA may beneficially modulate the obesity-associated paracrine interactions between adipocytes and macrophages. Thus, the objectives of this study were to determine if 1) DHA and/or EPA decrease pro-inflammatory adipokine synthesis and secretion and 2) the effects of DHA and/or EPA are exerted through a PPARγ-dependent mechanism. To accomplish this, we used two in vitro co-culture models that cultured macrophages and adipocytes either in direct contact (contact-dependent mechanism), or separated by a trans-well membrane (contact-independent mechanism), to mimic the ratio of macrophages/adipocytes reported in obese adipose tissue [22]. Here we showed that this model can be used to study the modulation of adipokine synthesis and secretion in response to various fatty acids, with or without the presence of a PPARγ antagonist.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell culture and differentiation**

3T3-L1 pre-adipocytes (ATCC® CL-173™, USA) and RAW 264.7 macrophages (ATCC® TIB-71™, USA) were grown and passaged according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Both cell types were maintained separately in basic media containing DMEM without sodium pyruvate (HyClone, USA), plus 10% v/v fetal bovine serum (FBS, low-endotoxin, Canadian origin, Sigma, USA), and 1% v/v penicillin streptomycin (HyClone, USA). Pre-adipocytes were seeded in 6-well plates (Corning, USA) at a density of 3000 cells/cm², and at 2 days post-confluence (designated as day 0), differentiation was induced using basic media plus 1 μmol/L dexamethasone, 0.5 mmol/L isobutylmethylxanthine, and 5 μg/mL insulin (Sigma, USA) for 2 days (day 2) as described previously [23]. Media was replaced with basic media containing 3 μg/mL insulin on days 2, 4, and 6 post-differentiation. On day 8, both cell types were serum starved with basic media containing 0% FBS for 12 hr to ensure quiescence prior to co-culture experiments on day 9. After serum starving, macrophages were co-cultured with adipocytes in direct cell contact or using a trans-well system on day 9 (described below).

**Co-culture of adipocytes and macrophages**

Co-culture of adipocytes and macrophages was performed using two different methods; a direct cell contact and trans-well (contact-independent) system, with modification of methods used by Suganami et al. [3]. To set-up the co-culture on day 9, three wells of mature adipocytes were counted using a hemocytometer and trypan blue exclusion, and then averaged to get an average adipocyte count. Following this, 3.5 mL of fresh basic media containing the various fatty acid treatments were added to the adipocyte cultures. For the trans-well experiments, 2.0 mL of media was placed on the adipocytes, a 0.4 μM polystyrene membrane trans-well was added to the well (Corning, USA), and then 1.5 mL of treatment media was added on top of the trans-well. Next, T-75 flasks (Sarstedt, Germany) containing macrophages at 80% confluence were counted using a hemocytometer and trypan blue exclusion, spun down at 335 xg at 24°C for 5 min, and then re-suspended in 2.0 mL of fresh basic media. Using the average adipocyte count, macrophages were added either directly on top of adipocytes (contact system), or indirectly onto the trans-well insert (trans-well system, contact-independent) at a dose of 17.1% of total cells; this dose represents the degree of macrophage infiltration as reported in the epididymal adipose tissue of db/db mice [22] and was confirmed to be pro-inflammatory relative to a lean dose of macrophages (3.8% of total cells; [22]) in pilot work (data not shown). Finally, the cells were co-cultured for 12 hr since we observed that RAW 264.7 macrophages divide after this period in co-culture, thereby offsetting the ratio of adipocytes to macrophages we aimed to study.

**Fatty acids and PPARγ antagonist treatments**

For all co-culture experiments, fatty acid stock solutions of DHA, EPA and PA (>98% pure, Cayman Chemicals, USA) were made using ethanol as a vehicle and stored at -20°C purged with inert gas. The stock solutions were freshly complexed to bovine serum albumin (BSA, ≤0.1 ng/mg endotoxin, ≤0.02% fatty acids, Sigma, USA) at 37°C prior to each experiment, and then added to basic media to a final concentration of 125 μM fatty acid:25 μM BSA. Controls received an equal volume of ethanol vehicle. The fatty acid dose was chosen based on previous work [18] that showed 125 μM of DHA or EPA maximally increased adiponectin secretion from 3T3-L1 adipocytes. Macrophage viability (assessed by trypan blue exclusion) did not differ between fatty acid treatment and co-culture conditions and exceeded 85% viability after a 12 hr co-culture period (data not shown); BSA plus macrophages co-cultured with adipocytes acted as a positive control, while BSA with adipocytes alone (no macrophages) served as a negative control. For experiments with the PPARγ antagonist, T0070907 (Cayman Chemicals, USA), the antagonist was dissolved in dimethyl formamide according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and then added to fatty acid treated media to achieve a final concentration of 1 μM (IC₅₀ = 1 μM with 1 μM Rosiglitazone; [24]) in the culture well. For treatments without the antagonist, an equal volume of dimethyl formamide was added to the treatment media. Each treatment condition was run in triplicate, and the experiment was independently conducted 2 or 3 times (depending on the outcome measured, see figure legends), for a final sample size of n = 6–9.
Secreted and cellular cytokine analyses

Media was collected at 0 and 12 hr for analysis of secreted cytokine protein concentrations. At 12 hr, cells from the trans-well system (where adipocytes and macrophages could be isolated separately) were washed with 1x PBS (Sigma, USA), lysed using an All-prep Kit (Qiagen, Canada), and processed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Secreted and cellular IL-6, MCP1, IL-10, and TNFα were measured by Luminex xMAP technology (Bioplex-200 system; Mouse Cytokine/Chemokine Bio-plex kit, Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA). Secreted and cellular adiponectin were measured by ELISA (Quantikine Mouse Adiponectin/Acrp 30 ELISA, R & D Systems, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Secreted and cellular protein lysates (methods described above) were washed with 1x PBS (Sigma, USA), lysed using a RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Canada) and processed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (eBioscience an Affymetrix company, USA). An equal amount of protein was added to each well to normalize cellular protein between samples.

RNA isolation and quantitative PCR

At 12 hr, cells were washed with 1x PBS (Sigma, USA), lysed using a RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Canada) and processed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Only adipocytes and macrophages from the trans-well system were lysed because cells could be isolated separately in this system. cDNA was made from 1 μg of extracted RNA using a high capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit as per the manufacturer’s instructions (Applied Biosystems, USA). Real-time PCR analysis was performed using a 7900HT Fast Real Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, USA) using the default protocol: 2 min at 50°C, 10 min at 95°C, 15 s at 95°C, 60°C for 1 min, 15 s at 95°C and 15 s at 60°C for a total of 40 cycles. Primers were designed using the Universal Probe Library Primer Design Center (Roche Applied Sciences, Germany, Table 1) and validated primer efficiencies were between 90–105%. Samples were run in triplicate in 96-well plates, and each 20 μL reaction contained 5 μL cDNA (50 ng), 0.4 μL of 10 mM primer solution, 10 μL Power Sybr green 2 × master mix (Applied Biosystems, USA), and 4.6 μL of RNase free water. All results were normalized to Rplp0 mRNA expression, and the relative differences in gene expression between treatment groups and the pre-treatment (0 hr) were determined using the ΔΔCt method.

Statistical analysis

All data are expressed as mean ± SEM. The predetermined upper limit of probability for statistical significance throughout this investigation was p≤0.05, and analyses were conducted using the SAS system (SAS Institute, USA) for Windows (version 9.2). Data were subjected to one-way ANOVA (fatty acid treatment main effect) followed, if justified, by testing using Tukey’s post-hoc test.

For normally distributed data, Grubb’s test was used to detect and remove any outliers. Data sets not exhibiting a normal distribution, as assessed by the Shapiro-Wilk test for normality, were subject to the Kruskal-Wallis test (χ² approximation) followed, if justified, by the statistical probability outcome (p≤0.05) using Wilcoxon two-sample testing. When two treatment factors were present, i.e., fatty acid treatment with either trans-well or contact co-culture effects on secreted cytokines and fatty acid treatment with or without the effect of the PPARγ antagonist on adiponectin cellular protein, data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA and followed, if justified, by testing using Tukey’s post-hoc test.

Results

Secreted adipokine profile in the contact versus trans-well co-culture systems

Secreted adipokines (MCP1, IL-6, TNFα and IL-10) were measured in the culture supernatant in both the contact (Figure 1A) and trans-well (Figure 1B) co-culture systems. In the contact and trans-well co-culture systems the secretion of pro-inflammatory adipokines were increased when adipocytes were cultured with macrophages only (positive control) compared to the negative control (adipocytes alone treated with BSA), in contact (+327% MCP1, +147% IL-6), and trans-well (+101% MCP1, +817% TNFα, p≤0.05), thereby demonstrating that pro-inflammatory cross-talk occurs between these cell types. Interestingly, significant increases in secreted IL-10 (p≤0.05, Figure 1A) relative to 0 hr were dependant on macrophage contact since no IL-10 was detectable in the trans-well system (data not shown). Similarly, in all co-culture conditions, secreted MCP1 and IL-6 in the contact system was at least 1.5-fold higher than the level detected in the trans-well system under the same culture conditions/treatment (p≤0.05, Figure 1C). However, the reverse trend was seen for secreted TNFα in the positive control; there was a 2.0-fold increase in TNFα secretion in the trans-well system relative to the contact system (p≤0.05, Figure 1C).
Fatty acids differentially modulate MCP1, IL-6 and TNFα secretion in both the contact and trans-well co-culture systems

MCP1 secretion was reduced by DHA (−57% contact, −46% trans-well, p≤0.05) and EPA (−48% contact, −27% trans-well, p≤0.05) compared to the positive control (adipocytes plus macrophages only, no fatty acid treatment) in both the contact (Figure 1A) and trans-well (Figure 1B) co-culture systems. Interestingly, DHA decreased IL-6 secretion (−63% contact, −41% trans-well, p≤0.05) compared to the positive control, while EPA did not (Figure 1A & B). Moreover, only EPA increased TNFα secretion in the contact system relative to the negative control (+471%, p≤0.05, Figure 1A), whereas both DHA and EPA decreased TNFα secretion to a similar extent relative to the positive control in the trans-well system (−46%, p≤0.05, Figure 1B). Overall, PA was largely no more pro-inflammatory than the positive control, as assessed by MCP1, TNFα and IL-6 secretion, with the exception of a small reduction in MCP1 secretion (−14%, p≤0.05) in the trans-well system (Figure 1B).

Fatty acids do not affect cellular cytokine protein concentrations in co-cultured adipocytes in the trans-well system

Adipocyte cellular protein could only be collected from cells in the trans-well culture system and the cellular protein of all cytokines assessed were increased (p≤0.05) at 12 hr compared to 0 hr = serum starved adipocytes alone prior to co-culture and fatty acid treatment, (−) = negative control; adipocytes alone treated with 25 μM BSA, (+) = positive control; co-cultured adipocytes and macrophages plus 25 μM BSA, DHA = co-cultured adipocytes and macrophages in the presence of 125 μM DHA, EPA = co-cultured adipocytes and macrophages in the presence of 125 μM EPA, and PA = co-cultured adipocytes and macrophages in the presence of 125 μM PA. Values are means ± SEM. The experiment was independently conducted 3 times for a final sample size of n = 6–9. A different letter or an asterisk (*) indicates treatments are significantly different from each other, p≤0.05.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085037.g001

Figure 1. Adipokine secretion in the trans-well versus contact co-culture system. Adipokine secretion after the 12 hr macrophage adipocyte co-culture incubation in the contact (A) and trans-well (B) treated co-culture conditions, and (C) a comparison of secreted MCP1, IL-6 and TNFα between the contact and trans-well co-culture systems. Note: no IL-10 was detected in the trans-well system (B). 0 hr = serum starved adipocytes alone prior to co-culture and fatty acid treatment, (−) = negative control; adipocytes alone treated with 25 μM BSA, (+) = positive control; co-cultured adipocytes and macrophages plus 25 μM BSA, DHA = co-cultured adipocytes and macrophages in the presence of 125 μM DHA, EPA = co-cultured adipocytes and macrophages in the presence of 125 μM EPA, and PA = co-cultured adipocytes and macrophages in the presence of 125 μM PA. Values are means ± SEM. The experiment was independently conducted 3 times for a final sample size of n = 6–9. A different letter or an asterisk (*) indicates treatments are significantly different from each other, p≤0.05.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085037.g001
pre-treatment (0 hr) without the addition of BSA (negative control) or macrophages (positive control; Figure S1). However, cellular adipocyte IL-6, IL-10, TNFα and MCP1 protein was not affected by any treatment (Figure S1).

Fatty acids differentially modulate adipokine and inflammatory mediator mRNA expression in co-cultured adipocytes in the trans-well system

Since the trans-well system allowed for isolation of RNA from each cell type used in co-culture (adipocytes and macrophages), mRNA expression of critical inflammatory adipokines (IL-6, Mcp1, signalling intermediates (Nfkβ, Tgfβ, Tlr2) and negative feedback factors (Mpi1-IP, Socs3) was assessed in adipocytes exposed to the various treatments. mRNA expression of IL-6 in fatty acid treatment groups was not significantly decreased relative to the positive control (adipocytes plus macrophages only, Figure 2A). In contrast, relative to the positive control, mRNA expression of Mpi1 was decreased by DHA (−7.1 fold, p≤0.05), EPA (−4.9 fold, p≤0.05) and PA (−2.1 fold, p≤0.05). Moreover, both DHA (−3.9 fold, p≤0.05) and EPA (−2.7 fold, p≤0.05) further reduced Mpi1 mRNA expression compared to PA (Figure 2A). Of note, adipocyte mRNA expression of IL-10 and Tgfβ was negligible under all treatment conditions in the trans-well system (data not shown). Similarly, adipocyte mRNA expression of Nfkβ was not affected by fatty acid treatment (Figure 2B). Furthermore, only DHA prevented the increase (±2.3 fold, p≤0.05) in Tlr4 expression (Figure 2B) induced by the positive control relative to the pre-treatment at 0 hr. In contrast, mRNA expression of Tlr2 was down-regulated by both DHA (−2.9 fold, p≤0.05) and EPA (−2.5 fold, p≤0.05) relative to the positive control and PA (−2.5 fold and −1.8 fold, DHA and EPA, respectively, p≤0.05 (Figure 2B). Intriguingly, relative to the positive control, only DHA up-regulated mRNA expression of Mpi1-IP (+1.5 fold, p≤0.05, Figure 2C), a negative regulator of MCP1 signalling. In contrast, co-culture of macrophages plus adipocytes (positive control) led to an increase in mRNA expression of a negative regulator of IL-6, Socs3 (+1.9 fold, p≤0.05) relative to the negative control (adipocytes alone plus BSA), whereas other fatty acids did not (Figure 2C). However, Socs3 mRNA expression did not differ between fatty acid treatments (p>0.05).

NfκB activity is not affected by fatty acid treatments in trans-well co-cultured macrophages or adipocytes

Both total (Figure 3A) and phosphorylated (i.e. activated) p65 NfκB (Figure 3B) were similar between treatments (p>0.05) in trans-well co-cultured adipocytes and macrophages. Additionally, NfκB activity, i.e. the ratio of phosphorylated/total p65 NfκB, was similar across treatments in trans-well co-cultured adipocytes and macrophages, regardless of fatty acid treatment (p>0.05; Figure 3C).

DHA decreases mRNA expression of key M1 and M2 polarization markers but increases mRNA expression of regulatory cytokines Tgfβ1 and IL-10 in macrophages co-cultured in the trans-well system

Macrophase specific mRNA expression of M1 (Nos2, Nfkβ and Tgfβ) and M2 (Arg1, Mrc2) polarization markers and regulatory cytokines (Tgfβ1 and IL-10) were assessed from cells co-cultured in the trans-well system under all fatty acid treatment conditions. The addition of DHA to the trans-well co-culture system resulted in decreased macrphage mRNA expression of key M1 polarization markers including: Nos2 (−7 fold, p≤0.05), Nfkβ (−2.3 fold, p≤0.05) and Tgfβ (−4.2 fold, p≤0.05) relative to co-culture of adipocytes plus macrophages only (positive control; Figure 4A). Other fatty acids were not as potent as DHA, but still exerted some significant effects, namely, EPA-treated macrophages reduced Nfkβ expression (−1.9 fold, p≤0.05), and PA decreased mRNA expression of Nfkβ (−2.1 fold, p≤0.05) and Tgfβ (−2.2 fold, p≤ 0.05) relative to the positive control (Figure 4A). Macrophage mRNA expression of the M2 polarization marker Arg1 was reduced by DHA treatment (−5.5 fold, p≤0.05) (Figure 4B). Additionally, all co-culture conditions decreased mRNA expression of the mannose receptor Mc2, another M2 polarization marker; however, the magnitude of this effect was partially reversed by both DHA and EPA (by approximately ±2.0 fold, p≤ 0.05) relative to both the positive control and PA (Figure 4B). With regard to anti-inflammatory regulatory cytokine expression, Tgfβ1 mRNA expression was increased by all fatty acid treatments (p≤0.05) relative to the positive control (Figure 4C). Conversely, DHA increased mRNA expression of IL-10, relative to EPA and PA (+1.8 fold, p≤0.05), and exhibited a trend towards increased expression (±1.3 fold, p<0.07) relative to the positive control (Figure 4C).

Acute PPARγ antagonism affects adiponectin cellular protein, but not other cytokine cellular protein or mRNA expression in trans-well co-cultured adipocytes

Adding the PPARγ antagonist did not affect MCP1, IL-6, TNFα or IL-10 mRNA expression, cellular or secreted protein in adipocytes co-cultured in the trans-well system relative to treatment conditions without the antagonist (representative data shown in Figure 5). Additionally, the PPARγ antagonist had no effect on macrophage polarization marker mRNA expression in trans-well co-cultured macrophages (data not shown). Interestingly, with or without the PPARγ antagonist, adiponectin protein was not significantly affected by any treatment (Figure 5A and B). However, when the PPARγ antagonist was present in the trans-well system, adiponectin cellular protein was decreased (p≤0.05) in co-cultured adipocytes in DHA or EPA-treated cultures (−27% and −38%, respectively, Figure 5C). In contrast, cellular MCP1 protein in adipocytes was not affected by the presence of the PPARγ antagonist (p>0.05, Figure 5D).

Discussion

In obesity, paracrine interactions between adipocytes and adipose-infiltrating macrophages contribute to chronic inflammation characterized by abnormal secretion of pro-inflammatory adipokines [1,2]. Since long-chain n-3 PUFA like DHA and EPA can exert anti-inflammatory effects [14,15], they may represent a dietary strategy to mitigate the harmful effects of pro-inflammatory adipokines. In the current study, we showed for the first time that co-culturing adipocytes and macrophages at a ratio of macrophages to adipocytes that is representative of obese adipose tissue [22], either in direct contact (contact-dependent mechanism) or separated by a porous trans-well insert (contact-independent mechanism), promoted a pro-inflammatory adipokine profile with elevations in secreted MCP1, IL-6 and TNFα (Figure 1). Interestingly, adipocytes and macrophages co-cultured in direct contact led to a relative doubling of secreted adipokines (with the exception of TNFα) as compared to the trans-well system, suggesting that direct cell to cell contact, and not just the presence of soluble mediators, preferentially drives pro-inflammatory cross-talk between adipocytes and macrophages (Figure 1C). This trend has been shown elsewhere with IL-6 secretion in trans-well and contact co-culture conditions [25], but our study extends previous findings [3,26] and, to our knowledge, is the first to...
Figure 2. mRNA expression of inflammatory mediators in trans-well co-cultured adipocytes. The mRNA expression of key inflammatory (A) cytokines (IL-6 and Mcp1), (B) signaling intermediates (Nfkβ, Tlr4 and Tlr2) and (C) negative feedback factors (Mcp1-IP and Socs3) from adipocytes harvested from the trans-well system after 12 hr of co-culture. 0 hr = serum starved adipocytes alone prior to co-culture and fatty acid treatment, (−) = negative control; adipocytes alone treated with 25 μM BSA, (+) = positive control; co-cultured adipocytes and macrophages plus 25 μM BSA, DHA = co-cultured adipocytes and macrophages in the presence of 125 μM DHA, EPA = co-cultured adipocytes and macrophages in the presence of 125 μM EPA, and PA = co-cultured adipocytes and macrophages in the presence of 125 μM PA. Values are mean fold change ± SEM. The experiment was independently conducted 3 times (in triplicate) for a final sample size of n = 6–9. A different letter indicates treatments are significantly different from each other, p≤0.05.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085037.g002
demonstrate that cell co-culture conditions (contact-dependent versus contact-independent), in addition to the presence of DHA and EPA, modifies the secreted adipokine profile. Furthermore, we showed for the first time in adipocyte:macrophage co-culture conditions without a potent pro-inflammatory stimulus (e.g. lipopolysaccharide) or pre-co-culture incubation with n-3 PUFA [26], that DHA decreased mRNA expression of M1 (Tnfα and Nos2) and M2 associated genes (Mrc2 and Arg1), while simultaneously increasing mRNA expression of the regulatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines, Tgfβ1 and Il-10 in co-culture (Figure 4).
Our findings support the idea that a possible mixed macrophage phenotype may exist in obese adipose tissue, characterized by expressing various levels of both M1 and M2 polarization markers [27,28], however, additional characterization of the phenotype beyond gene expression of such markers (e.g. by flow cytometry) requires further study to confirm our findings. Finally, we showed that the selective PPARγ antagonist, T0070907, reduced adiponectin cellular protein in co-cultured adipocytes from DHA and...
EPA treated cultures (Figure 5C), without affecting other cytokine mRNA, cellular or secreted protein concentrations in this co-culture model (representative data in Figure 5D), thereby supporting the idea that antagonists that impair PPARγ transcriptional activity may not always promote pro-inflammatory responses [29,30].

Analysis of secreted adipokine protein (Figure 1) and mRNA expression (Figure 2) in co-cultured adipocytes revealed further mechanistic insight into how DHA and EPA modulate inflammation in co-culture. DHA had the most potent anti-inflammatory effect as evidenced by a significant reduction in secreted MCP1 and IL-6 in both co-culture conditions. While EPA had somewhat similar effects to DHA in our model, it was unable to suppress the secretion of IL-6. These findings are consistent with a recent study showing that DHA can exert a more potent anti-inflammatory effect compared to EPA in co-culture [26]. Interestingly, while n-3 PUFA exerted similar effects on both Mcp1 mRNA expression in adipocytes and secreted protein, this trend was not observed with...
respect to IL-6. Unexpectedly, PA resulted in a more potent decrease in IL-6 mRNA expression in adipocytes compared with DHA and EPA. However, the 12 hr incubation used in our co-culture experiments may have been too short to see elevations in adipocyte IL-6 mRNA expression since, in 3T3-L1 adipocytes alone, 125 μM of PA has been shown to increase IL-6 mRNA expression after 24 hr [5]. Although the adipocyte IL-6 mRNA expression profile we observed was similar to what has previously been observed in co-culture [3], we did not see a PA-induced up-regulation of the classic IL-6 negative regulator, Socs3. Additionally, PA did not up-regulate mRNA expression of the negative regulator, Mcp1-IP in adipocytes compared to the positive control (adipocytes plus macrophages only), therefore it does not appear that MCP1-IP, which can act as an RNase to degrade IL-6 mRNA expression [8], was playing a key role in the PA co-culture treatment. In contrast, this negative feedback system involving MCP1-IP may have been induced by DHA in co-culture (Figure 2C); however, the degree of DHA’s anti-inflammatory action elicited through MCP1-IP requires further investigation. Moreover, we observed that DHA and EPA reduce Tlr2 mRNA expression in co-cultured adipocytes, suggesting that these fatty acids more potently regulate Tlr2 expression than Tlr4. Indeed, TLR2 has been shown to be a key signalling pathway that EPA targets to downregulate IL-6 mRNA expression in adipose tissue stem cells [31], therefore, the TLR2 pathway also warrants further study in co-culture conditions. We did not detect substantial fatty acid-induced differences in adipocyte Nfkβ or Tlr4 expression in our model. Furthermore, we observed that co-culture conditions (regardless of fatty acid treatment) did not increase NFκB activity, i.e. the ratio of phosphorylated/total p65 NFκB in trans-well co-cultured adipocytes or macrophages (Figure 3), suggesting that measuring phosphorylated p65 NFκB (i.e. RelA; the transcriptionally active NFκB subunit), may not be a useful endpoint to measure the inflammatory status of cells after 12 hr co-culture incubations. Finally, additional measurement of inflammatory signalling intermediates (e.g. JNK, MAPK, STAT3 etc.) is warranted in co-culture conditions to delineate if fatty acid treatments differentially modulate signalling through these inflammatory pathways in adipocytes or macrophages.

One of the challenges to mitigating chronic inflammation is decreasing the degree of M1-like macrophage polarization in macrophages infiltrated into obese adipose tissue, while increasing anti-inflammatory M2-like macrophages that secrete IL-10 and help resolve inflammation [32]. In our macrophage adipocyte co-culture model we examined the role of fatty acids in altering key cytokine secretion in co-culture relative to adipocytes and macrophages only (positive control). Although PA is thought to be pro-inflammatory due to its ability to act as a TLR4 agonist [4,6,44], and its ability to increase reactive oxygen species production and subsequent MCP1 secretion in adipocytes [4,6,44], these effects may only be evident at higher doses (200–500 μM) and incubations longer than 12 hr [4,5,30]. Secondly, with regards to the timing of fatty acid exposure, we found fatty acid-induced differences in secreted cytokines (e.g. IL-6 and MCP1) after 12 hr, which may have been too short to see changes in other secreted cytokines, such as IL-10, as previous reports have shown that DHA increases IL-10 secretion in 3T3-L1 adipocytes after 24 hr [46].

In summary, our results demonstrate that fatty acids modulate the pro-inflammatory adipokine milieu generated in a co-culture model designed to represent the ratio of macrophages to adipocytes seen in obese adipose tissue [22]. Overall, this data suggests that macrophage presence is necessary to induce pro-inflammatory cross-talk with adipocytes. Moreover, our study suggests that DHA may act to suppress inflammation concomitantly in both cell types. For one, DHA decreased the degree of M1 polarization marker mRNA expression in macrophages while increasing expression of the potent anti-inflammatory cytokines, Tgfb1 and Il-10, thereby driving a gene expression profile that is
consistent with promoting a regulatory macrophage phenotype. This data suggests that DHA may suppress macrophage activation in co-culture, which could then feedback to inhibit inflammatory cytokine release (e.g. MCP-1 and IL-6) from adipocytes since DHA, and to a lesser extent EPA, decreased MCP-1 and IL-6 secretion and M1/M2 mRNA expression in co-cultured adipocytes. Taken together, n-3 PUFA could decrease the intensity of pro-inflammatory cross-talk between adipocytes and macrophages, which may partly explain the decreased macrophage infiltration into obese adipose tissue observed in some rodent models [17]. Thus, dietary n-3 PUFA, in particular DHA, may be a useful strategy to mitigate the effects of obesity-associated inflammation.

Supporting Information

**Figure S1 Adipocyte cellular protein in the trans-well system.** The cellular protein concentrations of key cytokines (IL-6, MCP-1, TNFα and IL-10) measured from adipocytes in the trans-well system at 12 hr. 0 hr = serum starved adipocytes alone.


