A Multiethnic Replication Study of Plasma Lipoprotein Levels-Associated SNPs Identified in Recent GWAS

Emily K. Bryant¹, Amy S. Dressen¹, Clareann H. Bunker², John E. Hokanson³, Richard F. Hamman³, M. Ilyas Kamboh¹*, F. Yesim Demirci¹*

1 Human Genetics, GSPH, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States of America, 2 Epidemiology, GSPH, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States of America, 3 Epidemiology, Colorado School of Public Health, University of Colorado Denver, Aurora, Colorado, United States of America

Abstract

Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified a number of loci/SNPs associated with plasma total cholesterol (TC), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), and triglyceride (TG) levels. The purpose of this study was to replicate 40 recent GWAS-identified HDL-C-related new loci in 3 epidemiological samples comprising U.S. non-Hispanic Whites (NHWs), U.S. Hispanics, and African Blacks. In each sample, the association analyses were performed with all 4 major lipid traits regardless of previously reported specific associations with selected SNPs. A total of 22 SNPs showed nominally significant association (p<0.05) with at least one lipid trait in at least one ethnic group, although not always with the same lipid traits reported as genome-wide significant in the original GWAS. The total number of significant loci was 10 for TC, 12 for LDL-C, 10 for HDL-C, and 6 for TG levels. Ten SNPs were significantly associated with more than one lipid trait in at least one ethnic group. Six SNPs were significantly associated with at least one lipid trait in more than one ethnic group, although not always with the same genome-wide significant lipid traits in the same direction in at least one ethnic group; at nominal significance for 13 SNPs and with a trend for association for 12 SNPs. However, the associations were not consistently present in all ethnic groups. This observation was consistent with mixed results obtained in other studies that also examined various ethnic groups.

Citation: Bryant EK, Dressen AS, Bunker CH, Hokanson JE, Hamman RF, et al. (2013) A Multiethnic Replication Study of Plasma Lipoprotein Levels-Associated SNPs Identified in Recent GWAS. PLoS ONE 8(5): e63469. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063469

Editor: Ludmila Prokunina-Olsson, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, United States of America

Received January 14, 2013; Accepted April 3, 2013; Published May 22, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Bryant et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This study was supported by the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI) grant, HL084613. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: kamboh@pitt.edu (MIK); fyd1@pitt.edu (FYD)

Introduction

Prior to genome-wide association studies (GWAS), genomewide linkage scans and candidate gene (positional and/or biological) association studies were the main approaches used to unravel the genetic determinants of complex traits such as plasma lipid/lipoprotein levels. These studies have implicated a number of genes and variants as determinants of plasma total cholesterol (TC), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) and triglyceride (TG) levels, of which some were more consistently replicated while several others yielded inconsistent results. With the availability of GWAS platforms, it became possible to identify susceptibility variants and genes for complex traits without making *a priori* assumptions.

Several GWAS investigating plasma lipid/lipoprotein traits primarily in subjects of European ancestry have been published to date [1–11]. These GWAS confirmed a number of genes previously implicated in influencing the inter-individual variation in four major lipid traits (TC, LDL-C, HDL-C, and TG) in earlier functional and/or candidate gene association studies as well as identified several new loci and genes. A recent meta-analysis of 46 lipid/lipoprotein GWAS comprising of >100,000 individuals, has identified 95 genome-wide significant loci associated with at least one of the four major lipid traits [11].

Only a handful of post-GWAS replication studies published to date have simultaneously examined various (3 or more) ethnic groups, including non-Hispanic Whites (NHWs), Hispanics, and African Americans. Additional independent studies investigating GWAS-identified variants in diverse racial/ethnic groups are needed. In this study, we sought to replicate 40 recent GWASidentified HDL-C-related loci, which were not among previously established lipid loci/genes, in 3 epidemiological samples comprising of U.S. NHWs, U.S. Hispanics, and African Blacks. In each sample, we performed association analyses with all four major lipid traits (TC, LDL-C, HDL-C, and TG) regardless of previously reported specific associations with selected SNPs.

Subjects and Methods

Subjects

The study consisted of 621 NHW and 413 Hispanic nondiabetic subjects drawn from the San Luis Valley Diabetes Study, a population-based case-control study in the San Luis Valley in Southern Colorado, and 787 African Blacks drawn from a study on coronary heart disease (CHD)-related risk factors in Benin City, Nigeria. Detailed information on these studies and subjects can be found elsewhere [12–17]. The ages of participants ranged from 24 to 75 in NHWs, 21 to 75 in Hispanics, and 19 to 70 in African Table 1. Biometric and quantitative data (unadjusted mean \pm S.E.) of study samples.

Variable	NHWs (<i>n</i> =621)	Hispanics (<i>n</i> =413)	African Blacks (n=787)
Gender (Female/Male)	328/293	209/204	292/495
Age (years)	52.82±0.46	51.17±0.62	40.96±0.30
BMI (kg/m²)	25.48±0.16	25.76±0.22	22.84±0.14
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	216.20±1.68	212.79±2.15	171.98±1.39
LDL-C (mg/dl)	138.12±1.53	134.31±1.98	109.23±1.24
HDL-C (mg/dl)	50.79±0.58	48.62±0.65	47.97±0.46
Triglycerides (mg/dl)	139.26±2.80	149.34±3.46	71.80±1.24

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063469.t001

Blacks. The demographic and phenotypic characteristics of the study subjects are summarized in **Table 1**. The study was approved by the University of Pittsburgh and University of Colorado Denver Institutional Review Boards and all study participants provided written informed consent.

SNP Selection

The purpose of this study was to primarily replicate the HDL-C-related new signals (different from those found in established lipid loci/genes) identified in recent lipid/lipoprotein GWAS. We analyzed a total of 40 SNPs selected from four publications [7-9,11] (Table 2). We primarily targeted those SNPs that reached genome-wide level of significance for association with HDL-C levels (n = 36). We also included 4 additional SNPs (shown in *italics* in Table 2) that although did not reach genome-wide level of significance for HDL-C [7,8], they were either highly significant for HDL-C or modestly significant for HDL-C but genome-wide significant for at least one of the three other lipid traits (TC, LDL-C or TG). Whenever there were more than one significant SNP reported for a given locus by the same group and/or various groups, only one SNP was selected for replication in our samples. Although all these GWAS primarily investigated individuals of European ancestry (EU), one of them [11] also sought replication in various non-European populations, including African Americans. Whenever the information was available, the effects observed in African Americans are shown as 'concordant' (AA) or 'discordant' (AA*) in Table 2. Of 40 SNPs selected from these GWAS, all were analyzed in our NHW and Hispanic samples whereas only a subset (n = 34) with sufficient minor allele frequency (MAF) was analyzed in our African sample.

Genotyping

DNAs were extracted from either buffy coats (NHWs and Hispanics) or blood clots (African Blacks) using standard methods. Samples were whole-genome amplified using the GenomiPhi DNA Amplification Kit (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences, Piscataway, NJ) prior to genotyping. Twenty SNPs were genotyped using the TaqMan allelic discrimination method (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) in 621 NHWs, 413 Hispanics, and 787 African Blacks. The other twenty were genotyped using the iPLEX Gold technology (Sequenom, San Diego, CA) in 621 NHWs, 382 Hispanics, and 787 African Blacks. Depending on the genotyping method used, about 7–10% of samples were repeated to test the consistency of genotype calls for each assay.

Statistical Methods

Concordance of the genotype distribution to Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was tested for each variant using $\chi 2$ goodness-

of-fit test. Whenever it was necessary to reduce the effects of nonnormality, dependent quantitative variables were transformed using either log or square root transformation: 'log10' transformation was used for HDL-C and TG levels in NHWs and Hispanics, 'natural log' transformation for TC and TG levels in African Blacks, and 'square root' transformation for LDL-C and HDL-C levels in African Blacks. Significant covariates for each dependent variable were identified using stepwise regression in order to determine the most parsimonious set of covariates to be included into analysis in each population. Detailed information on the evaluation and effects of covariates in our study samples can be found elsewhere [16]. To test for the effects of genotypes on the means of the quantitative traits, a linear regression analysis was performed (under the additive model) and the results were adjusted for the relevant covariates. For NHWs and Hispanics, the covariates were sex, age, BMI, and smoking. For African Blacks, the covariates were sex, age, waist measurement, exercise (minutes walking or bicycling to work each day), and staff level (junior or senior). The R statistical software package (version 2.12.2, http://www.r-project.org) was used to perform all analyses. Because this was a replication study, we considered a nominal p < 0.05 as evidence of association. In addition, because we compared our results to those obtained in large GWAS and meta-analyses that included several thousand subjects, we have also discussed the results of the SNPs that showed a trend (p-values between 0.05-0.20) for 'the same direction' of association with 'the same genome-wide significant lipid trait' reported in the original GWAS.

Results

The genotype call rates were very high ($\geq 95\%$) for almost all assays and only a small number of SNPs showed lower call rates: 1 in NHWs (87%), 3 in Hispanics (between 92–95%), and 2 in African Blacks (between 92–95%). No SNP showed low call rates across all populations genotyped. Discrepancy among replicates was detected for only one assay (rs174547) for which the discrepancy rate was 0.5%.

The association results for 4 major lipid traits examined in 3 ethnic groups are summarized in **Table 3**. Most SNPs differed in allele frequencies among various ethnic groups. For 10 SNPs (shown in *italics* in Table 3), it was not always the same allele that was the minor allele across various ethnic groups and this was taken into account when making cross-sample comparison because the genotypic effects were modeled as the additive effect of the population-specific minor allele in each ethnic group.

A total of 22 SNPs showed nominally significant association (p < 0.05, shown in **bold** in Table 3) with at least one lipid trait in at least one ethnic group with a total of 40 significant p-values,

Table 2. SNPs selected from 4 published GWAS in individuals of European ancestry (EU) for replication in our multiethnic sample[§].

SNP	Chr	Gene(s)	Alleles	GWAS Trait(s)	Population	Reference(s)
rs646776	1p13	PSRC1, CELSR2	A/ G	TC, LDL-C	EU	Aulchenko et al. 2009 [8]
rs10889353	1p31	DOCK7	A/C	TC, TG	EU	Aulchenko et al. 2009 [8]
rs10903129	1p36	TMEM57	G /A	тс	EU	Aulchenko et al. 2009 [8]
rs4660293	1p34	PABPC4	A/ G	HDL-C	EU, AA	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]
rs1689800	1q25	LOC100130996, ZNF648	A/ G	HDL-C	EU, AA*	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]
rs2144300	1q42	GALNT2	C/ T	HDL-C	EU	Willer et al. 2008 [7]
rs1042034	2p24	АРОВ	T/ C	HDL-C, TG	EU, AA	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]
rs12328675	2q24	COBLL1	T/ C	HDL-C	EU, AA	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]
rs2972146	2q36	IRS1	T/ G	HDL-C, TG	EU, AA	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]
rs13107325	4q24	SLC39A8	C/ T	HDL-C	EU, AA*	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]
rs6450176	5q11	ARL15	G/ A	HDL-C	EU, AA	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]
rs2814944	6p21	C6orf106	G/ A	HDL-C	EU, AA*	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]
rs605066	6q24	CITED2	T/ C	HDL-C	EU, AA	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]
rs17145738	7q11	TBL2, MLXIPL	C/ T	HDL-C, TG	EU, AA*	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]
rs4731702	7q32	KLF14	C/ T	HDL-C	EU, AA	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]
rs9987289	8p23	PPP1R3B	G/ A	HDL-C, LDL-C, TC	EU, AA	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]
rs2293889	8q23	TRPS1	G/ T	HDL-C	EU, AA	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]
rs471364	9p22	C9orf52, TTC39B	T/ C	HDL-C	EU	Kathiresan et al. 2009 [9]
rs1323432	9q31	PPP3R2, GRIN3A	A /G	HDL-C	EU	Willer et al. 2008 [7]
rs7395662	11p11	OR4A47, MADD-FOLH1	G /A	HDL-C	EU	Aulchenko et al. 2009 [8]
rs3136441	11p11	F2, LRP4	T/ C	HDL-C	EU	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]
rs2923084	11p15	AMPD3	A/ G	HDL-C	EU, AA	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]
rs174547	11q12	FADS1-FADS2-FADS3	T/ C	HDL-C, TG	EU	Kathiresan et al. 2009 [9]
rs7941030	11q24	STS-1, UBASH3B	T/ C	HDL-C, TC	EU, AA	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]
rs7134375	12p12	PDE3A	C/ A	HDL-C	EU, AA	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]
rs2338104	12q24	КСТD10, ММАВ, МVК	G/ C	HDL-C	EU	Kathiresan et al. 2009; Willer et a 2008 [7,9]
rs11613352	12q13	LRP1	C/ T	HDL-C, TG	EU, AA	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]
rs2652834	15q22	LACTB	G/ A	HDL-C	EU	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]
rs2271293	16q22	NUTF2, CTCF	G /A	HDL-C	EU	Aulchenko et al. 2009 [8]
rs2925979	16q23	CMIP	C/ T	HDL-C	EU, AA	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]
rs11869286	17q12	STARD3	C/ G	HDL-C	EU, AA	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]
rs4148008	17q24	ABCA8	C/ G	HDL-C	EU, AA	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]
rs4129767	17q25	PGS1	A/ G	HDL-C	EU, AA	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]
rs12967135	18q21	MC4R	G/ A	HDL-C	EU, AA	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]
rs2967605	19p13	RAB11B, ANGPTL4	C/ T	HDL-C	EU	Kathiresan et al. 2009 [9]
rs737337	19p13	LOC55908, DOCK6	T/ C	HDL-C	EU, AA	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]
rs386000	19q13	LILRA3, LILRB2	G/ C	HDL-C	EU, AA*	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]
rs1800961	20q13	HNF4A	C/ T	HDL-C, TC	EU, AA*	Kathiresan et al. 2009; Teslovich et al. 2010 [9,11]
rs6065906	20q13	FLJ40606, PLTP , PCIF1	T/ C	HDL-C, TG	EU, AA	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]
rs181362	22q11	UBE2L3	C/ T	HDL-C	EU, AA	Teslovich et al. 2010 [11]

[§]For HDL-C, p-values ranged from 7.7×10^{-4} to 0.02 for 4 SNPs (in *italics*) but were $\leq 5 \times 10^{-8}$ for other SNPs as well as for other lipid traits included in the table. When available, replication results in African Africans (AA) are also shown (*= discordant finding with opposite direction of association). Primarily implicated genes, associated alleles, and increased lipid levels are shown in **bold** (decreased levels in unbold). Alleles (on forward or reverse strands) reflect those stated in the original papers. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063469.t002

although not always with the same lipid traits reported as genomewide significant in the original GWAS (13 of 22 significant SNPs showed replicated association with the same lipid traits reported in the original GWAS). The total number of significant loci was 10 for TC, 12 for LDL-C, 10 for HDL-C, and 6 for TG levels. Ten SNPs were significantly associated with more than one lipid trait in at least one ethnic group and these associations were as follows: 6 SNPs (*CELSR2*/rs646776, *APOB*/rs1042034, *PPP1R3B*/rs9987289, *GRIN3A*/rs1323432, *OR4A47*/rs7395662, *CMIP*/rs2925979) with TC and LDL-C, *DOCK7*/rs10889353 with TC

Table 3. Summary of SNP associations with 4 lipid traits in our multi-ethnic study samples[§].

Chr Gene(s)	SNP Traits	NHWs			Hispanics			African Blacks			
		MAF	Association		MAF	Associatio	on	MAF	Associat	ion	
1p13	rs646776	C - 0.212	beta	p-value	C - 0.258	beta	p-value	C - 0.348	beta	p-value	
PSRC1	тс		-6.801	0.012		1.515	0.675		0.006	0.616	
CELSR2	LDL-C		-5.754	0.023		0.712	0.830		-0.044	0.601	
	HDL-C		0.003	0.711		0.012	0.163		0.062	0.199	
	TG		-0.005	0.722		-0.019	0.218		0.004	0.857	
1p31	rs10889353	C - 0.337	beta	p-value	C - 0.365	beta	p-value	C - 0.447	beta	p-value	
DOCK7	тс		-5.183	0.031		-4.087	0.190		-0.003	0.790	
	LDL-C		-2.223	0.320		-2.515	0.382		-0.004	0.964	
	HDL-C		-9.2×10^{-5}	0.988		0.008	0.305		-0.029	0.546	
	TG		-0.046	4.9 ×10 ⁻⁵		-0.038	0.004		-0.028	0.172	
1p36	rs10903129	A - 0.439	beta	p-value	A - 0.492	beta	p-value	A - 0.222	beta	p-value	
TMEM57	тс		1.767	0.448		0.283	0.926		0.002	0.897	
	LDL-C		1.680	0.437		-0.553	0.842		0.048	0.621	
	HDL-C		-0.008	0.186		0.011	0.110		0.014	0.800	
	TG		0.018	0.099		-0.006	0.669		0.005	0.829	
1p34	rs4660293	G - 0.212	beta	p-value	G - 0.206	beta	p-value		N.A [*]		
PABPC4	тс		0.796	0.765		1.972	0.630				
	LDL-C		0.673	0.785		3.258	0.386				
	HDL-C		3.3×10^{-4}	0.961		-0.010	0.278				
	TG		0.002	0.902		-0.002	0.917				
1q25	rs1689800	G - 0.347	beta	p-value	G - 0.346	beta	p-value	G - 0.264	beta	p-value	
ZNF648	тс		-2.747	0.268		-0.820	0.798		-0.017	0.170	
	LDL-C		-2.481	0.279		-0.014	0.996		-0.141	0.117	
	HDL-C		-0.010	0.121		-0.007	0.378		0.019	0.713	
	TG		0.013	0.261		-0.001	0.945		0.009	0.689	
1q42	rs2144300	C - 0.384	beta	p-value	C - 0.425	beta	p-value	T - 0.040	beta	p-value	
GALNT2	тс		4.585	0.051		1.537	0.631		0.039	0.172	
	LDL-C		4.498	0.040		0.636	0.830		0.233	0.260	
	HDL-C		-0.011	0.060		-0.005	0.534		0.100	0.401	
	TG		0.030	0.006		0.009	0.533		-0.001	0.990	
2p24	rs1042034	G - 0.215	beta	p-value	G - 0.298	beta	p-value	G - <u>0.119</u>	beta	p-value	
APOB	тс		-2.519	0.361		-12.814	2.0×10^{-4}		-0.012	0.504	
	LDL-C		-2.721	0.285		-12.508	8.0×10^{-5}		-0.102	0.432	
	HDL-C		0.006	0.398		-0.005	0.528		0.078	0.300	
	TG		-0.019	0.142		-0.006	0.669		-0.050	0.118	
2q24	rs12328675	C - 0.143	beta	p-value	C - 0.101	beta	p-value	C - 0.201	beta	p-value	
COBLL1	тс		-3.158	0.315		4.136	0.425		-0.016	0.260	
	LDL-C		-4.021	0.169		1.913	0.686		-0.160	0.119	
	HDL-C		0.002	0.814		0.007	0.559		-0.002	0.968	
	TG		0.009	0.522		0.023	0.289		0.022	0.383	
2q36	rs2972146	C - 0.377	beta	p-value	C - 0.230	beta	p-value	C - 0.123	beta	p-value	
IRS1	тс		0.726	0.756		-1.986	0.561		0.006	0.733	
	LDL-C		1.337	0.536		-0.623	0.842		0.019	0.879	
	HDL-C		0.005	0.373		-0.005	0.562		-0.035	0.622	
	TG		-0.004	0.710		-0.023	0.111		0.027	0.361	
4q24	rs13107325	T - 0.068	beta	p-value	T - 0.045	beta	p-value		N.A [*]		
SLC39A8	тс		-1.391	0.762		-3.310	0.667				
	LDL-C		-3.258	0.444		0.236	0.973				

Table 3. Cont.

Chr Gene(s)	SNP Traits	NHWs			Hispanics			African Bla	cks	
		MAF	Associatio	on	MAF	Associati	on	MAF	Associat	tion
	HDL-C		0.022	0.064		-0.032 0.066				
	TG		-0.013	0.554		0.008	0.812			
5q11	rs6450176	A - 0.240	beta	p-value	A - 0.296	beta	p-value	A - 0.317	beta	p-value
ARL15	TC		1.573	0.552		-3.270	0.337		-0.003	0.832
	LDL-C		1.193	0.627		-2.020	0.517		0.004	0.967
	HDL-C		0.003	0.668		-0.005	0.514		-0.040	0.425
	TG		-0.002	0.859		-0.006	0.662		0.003	0.906
6p21	rs2814944	A - 0.163	beta	p-value	A - 0.132	beta	p-value	A - 0.342	beta	p-value
C6orf106	тс		-1.491	0.623		-6.484	0.156		0.005	0.681
	LDL-C		-0.349	0.901		-7.810	0.062		0.055	0.527
	HDL-C		-0.007	0.377		-0.008	0.428		-0.057	0.250
	TG		-0.002	0.879		0.026	0.181		0.027	0.202
6q24	rs605066	C - 0.403	beta	p-value	C - 0.406	beta	p-value	T - 0.402	beta	p-value
CITED2	тс		-4.002	0.092		1.230	0.699		0.013	0.248
	LDL-C		-4.946	0.025		1.408	0.628		0.105	0.208
	HDL-C		0.003	0.675		-0.010	0.170		0.014	0.776
	TG		0.001	0.918		0.015	0.266		0.001	0.969
7q11	rs17145738	T - 0.108	beta	p-value	T - 0.071	beta	p-value	T - 0.086	beta	p-value
TBL2	тс		2.133	0.558		1.020	0.869		-0.001	• 0.962
MLXIPL	LDL-C		4.142	0.219		2.486	0.663		0.075	0.611
	HDL-C		0.011	0.219		-0.001	0.940		-0.044	0.605
	TG		-0.041	0.016		-0.020	0.448		0.014	0.697
7q32	rs4731702	T - 0.494	beta	p-value	T - 0.436	beta	p-value	T - 0.184	beta	p-value
KLF14	тс		0.120	0.960		0.638	0.845		-0.011	0.425
	LDL-C		-0.881	0.689		1.459	0.625		-0.126	0.212
	HDL-C		0.018	0.003		-0.009	0.222		0.012	0.840
	TG		-0.012	0.302		0.007	0.617		0.012	0.620
8p23	rs9987289	A - 0.091	beta	p-value	A - 0.178	beta	p-value	A - 0.191	beta	p-value
PPP1R3B	TC		0.971	0.808		-7.966	0.051	<u></u>	-0.038	0.009
	LDL-C		1.565	0.671		-6.099	0.106		-0.273	0.010
	HDL-C		0.002	0.867		-0.025	0.011		-0.065	0.276
	TG		0.002	0.757		0.017	0.340		0.035	0.177
8q23	rs2293889	T - 0.442	beta	p-value	T - 0.445	beta	p-value	T - 0.040	beta	p-value
TRPS1	TC		-0.410	0.861		1.852	0.551	1 0.010	-0.012	0.680
111.51	LDL-C		0.715	0.743		2.085	0.467		0.012	0.947
	HDL-C		-0.005	0.399		0.005	0.506		-0.168	0.148
	TG		-0.013	0.258		0.001	0.914		-0.002	0.969
9p22	rs471364	C - 0.125	beta	p-value	C - 0.105	beta	p-value	C - 0.206	beta	p-value
эр22 ТТСЗ9В	TC	C - 0.125		-	C - <u>0.105</u>		•	C - 0.200		-
110396			-2.177	0.532		-6.466	0.233		-0.009	0.524
	LDL-C		2.511	0.437		-3.277	0.515		-0.060	0.551
	HDL-C		-0.016	0.063		-0.015	0.253		0.014	0.813
021	TG	C	-0.016	0.344	6 6 6 6 7 7	-0.007	0.776		-0.002	0.948
9q31	rs1323432	G - 0.115	beta	p-value	G - 0.087	beta	p-value		N.A [*]	
PPP3R2	TC		11.295	0.002		7.703	0.148			
GRIN3A	LDL-C		8.951	0.009		4.455	0.364			
	HDL-C		-0.003	0.754		0.027	0.038			
	TG		0.026	0.141		-0.006	0.799			

Table 3. Cont.

Chr Gene(s)	SNP Traits	NHWs			Hispanics			African Bla	cks	
		MAF	Association	1	MAF	Associatio	on	MAF	Associat	ion
OR4A47	тс		2.869	0.225		-8.075	0.014		-0.002	0.848
MADD-	LDL-C		3.129	0.154		-7.407	0.014		-0.011	0.898
FOLH1	HDL-C		-2.9×10^{-6}	1.000		0.008	0.289		0.025	0.608
	TG		0.008	0.484		-0.018	0.204		-0.016	0.448
11p11	rs3136441	C - 0.137	beta	p-value	C - 0.268	beta	p-value		N.A [*]	
F2	тс		-0.523	0.874		-4.952	0.168			
LRP4	LDL-C		-0.607	0.843		-4.207	0.202			
	HDL-C		0.006	0.469		-0.006	0.444			
	TG		0.013	0.420		-9.8E-04	0.950			
11p15	rs2923084	G - 0.179	beta	p-value	G - 0.307	beta	p-value	A - 0.474	beta	p-value
AMPD3	тс		2.969	0.307		-3.556	0.289		0.006	0.578
	LDL-C		3.145	0.243		-2.051	0.508		0.032	0.693
	HDL-C		-0.015	0.048		-0.004	0.591		0.019	0.688
	TG		0.020	0.135		-0.002	0.870		0.006	0.744
11q12	rs174547 [‡]	C - 0.345	beta	p-value	T - <u>0.441</u>	beta	p-value		N.A [*]	
FADS1	тс		-3.992	0.112		5.627	0.072			
FADS2	LDL-C		-2.996	0.196		5.716	0.048			
FADS3	HDL-C		-0.010	0.098		0.001	0.901			
	TG		-0.011	0.371		-0.005	0.711			
11q24	rs7941030	C - <u>0.367</u>	beta	p-value	C - 0.294	beta	p-value	C - 0.444	beta	p-value
UBASH3B	TC		5.359	0.030		-0.860	0.797		-0.011	0.315
	LDL-C		3.490	0.131		-0.729	0.814		-0.084	0.302
	HDL-C		0.005	0.410		-0.005	0.511		0.038	0.412
	TG		0.021	0.067		0.015	0.292		-0.040	0.043
12p12	rs7134375	A - 0.458	beta	p-value	C - 0.497	beta	p-value	A - 0.308	beta	p-value
PDE3A	TC		1.439	0.525		-3.594	0.269		0.006	0.611
	LDL-C		0.531	0.799		-3.243	0.278		0.013	0.885
	HDL-C		0.011	0.049		0.003	0.695		0.059	0.240
	TG		-0.009	0.412		-0.006	0.687		-0.002	0.910
12q24	rs2338104	C - 0.429	beta	p-value	C - 0.486	beta	p-value	C - 0.196	beta	p-value
KCTD10	TC		0.357	0.877		-5.109	0.098		-0.010	0.477
ММАВ	LDL-C		0.705	0.740		-5.855	0.041		0.089	0.390
ΜVΚ	HDL-C		-0.005	0.383		0.002	0.777		-0.187	0.002
	TG		0.006	0.601		-0.004	0.751		-0.043	0.094
12q13	rs11613352	T - 0.245	beta	p-value	T - 0.382	beta	p-value	T - 0.063	beta	p-value
LRP1	TC		1.291	0.635		1.589	0.621		-0.008	0.713
	LDL-C		0.244	0.923		1.545	0.599		-0.250	0.132
	HDL-C		-0.002	0.722		-0.004	0.569		0.198	0.035
	TG		-0.003	0.815		0.006	0.669		0.054	0.183
15q22	rs2652834	T - 0.188	beta	p-value	T - 0.154	beta	p-value	T - 0.338	beta	p-value
LACTB	TC		-0.576	0.847		-2.073	0.638		0.009	0.429
	LDL-C		-0.532	0.848		-3.853	0.340		0.055	0.522
	HDL-C		-0.001	0.918		-0.009	0.368		0.013	0.792
	TG		0.006	0.682		0.018	0.341		0.001	0.945
16q22	rs2271293	A - 0.121	beta	p-value	A - 0.155	beta	p-value	A - 0.081	beta	p-value
NUTF2	TC		7.170	0.044		2.092	0.626		0.010	0.635
	LDL-C		4.285	0.192		3.055	0.440		0.126	0.387
	HDL-C		0.023	0.009		0.006	0.549		0.090	0.284

Table 3. Cont.

Chr Gene(s)	SNP Traits	Traits NHWs			Hispanics			African Bla	acks	
		MAF	Associatio	on	MAF	Associati	on	MAF	Associat	tion
	TG		-0.003	0.843		-0.014	0.427		-0.056	0.120
16q23	rs2925979	A - 0.287	beta	p-value	A - 0.203	beta	p-value	A - 0.293	beta	p-value
CMIP	TC		1.514	0.544		-4.750	0.224		-0.032	0.008
	LDL-C		3.074	0.184		-3.317	0.355		-0.203	0.022
	HDL-C		-0.007	0.273		-0.008	0.383		-0.053	0.290
	TG		-0.014	0.236		-0.007	0.687		-0.016	0.459
17q12	rs11869286	G - 0.357	beta	p-value	G - 0.387	beta	p-value	C - 0.172	beta	p-value
STARD3	TC		3.194	0.182		0.465	0.885		-0.022	0.128
	LDL-C		2.940	0.185		0.435	0.883		-0.191	0.069
	HDL-C		-0.006	0.286		-0.003	0.732		-0.106	0.077
	TG		0.009	0.431		0.003	0.845		0.034	0.182
17q24	rs4148008	G - 0.332	beta	p-value	G - 0.272	beta	p-value	C - 0.409	beta	p-value
ABCA8	TC		1.800	0.458		3.567	0.323		-0.007	0.541
	LDL-C		2.287	0.310		3.112	0.351		-0.007	0.938
	HDL-C		3.4×10 ⁻⁴	0.957		-0.003	0.694		-0.008	0.874
	TG		0.008	0.488		0.010	0.539		0.005	0.817
17q25	rs4129767	A - 0.498	beta	p-value	G - 0.461	beta	p-value	A - 0.313	beta	p-value
PGS1	тс		3.112	0.183		6.496	0.038		0.027	0.026
	LDL-C		3.988	0.065		6.770	0.019		0.135	0.120
	HDL-C		-0.014	0.015		-0.007	0.385		0.064	0.205
	TG		0.027	0.013		0.015	0.269		0.009	0.685
18q21	rs12967135	A - 0.235	beta	p-value	A - 0.175	beta	p-value	A - 0.324	beta	p-value
MC4R	тс		-4.651	0.078		6.168	0.121		0.018	0.163
	LDL-C		-3.709	0.130		6.215	0.090		0.127	0.166
	HDL-C		-0.001	0.848		-0.010	0.295		0.126	0.017
	TG		-0.018	0.152		0.004	0.824		-0.029	0.206
19p13	rs2967605	T - 0.169	beta	p-value	T - 0.214	beta	p-value	T - 0.237	beta	p-value
RAB11B	тс		1.575	0.598		-1.284	0.734		0.013	0.324
ANGPTL4	LDL-C		1.256	0.649		0.781	0.823		0.123	0.199
	HDL-C		-0.003	0.678		-0.012	0.189		-0.003	0.959
	TG		0.007	0.625		-0.012	0.377		-0.007	0.780
19p13	rs737337	C - 0.079	beta	p-value	C - 0.267	beta	p-value	T - 0.483	beta	p-value
DOCK6	TC	C - 0.073	-2.436	0.555	C - 0.207	-4.401	0.195	1 - 0.405	0.012	0.284
DOCKO	LDL-C		-4.911	0.198		-6.843	0.029		0.072	0.536
	HDL-C		0.014	0.198		-0.003	0.723		0.030	0.330
	TG		0.014	0.180		0.018	0.723		0.048	0.300
19q13		G - 0.203			G - 0.437			C 0 193		p-value
LILRA3	rs386000	G - 0.203	beta	p-value	G - 0.437	beta	p-value	G - 0.183	beta	-
	TC		1.725	0.524		4.067	0.224		0.003	0.857
LILRB2	LDL-C		3.353	0.180		2.619	0.396		-0.025	0.810
	HDL-C		-0.003	0.629		1.2E-04	0.988		0.082	0.169
	TG	• • • • • •	-0.005	0.703		0.020	0.175		-0.027	0.292
20q13	rs1800961	T - 0.032	beta	p-value	T - <u>0.033</u>	beta	p-value		N.A [*]	
HNF4A	TC		-0.463	0.943		-11.381	0.169			
	LDL-C		1.910	0.748		-9.706	0.211			
	HDL-C		-0.009	0.568		0.005	0.794			
	TG		-0.028	0.345		-0.042	0.238			
20q13	rs6065906	C - 0.173	beta	p-value	C - 0.097	beta	p-value	C - 0.158	beta	p-value

Chr Gene(s)	SNP Traits	NHWs			Hispanics	African Blacks				
		MAF	Associatio	on	MAF	Associati	on	MAF	Associat	ion
PCIF1	LDL-C		2.263	0.416		1.173	0.811		-0.088	0.424
	HDL-C		-0.001	0.921		-0.018	0.138		-0.060	0.336
	TG		0.010	0.461		0.046	0.044		0.019	0.487
22q11	rs181362	T - 0.201	beta	p-value	T - 0.366	beta	p-value	T - 0.445	beta	p-value
UBE2L3	ТС		0.284	0.921		1.535	0.625		0.005	0.673
	LDL-C		0.948	0.721		1.257	0.664		0.074	0.365
	HDL-C		-0.002	0.790		0.003	0.684		-0.017	0.710
	TG		-0.002	0.896		0.002	0.878		0.012	0.547

[§]Significant p-values (<0.05) are shown in **bold**. 'Log10' transformation was used for HDL-C and TG levels in Non-Hispanic Whites (NHWs) and Hispanics, 'natural log' transformation for TC and TG levels in African Blacks, and 'square root' transformation for LDL-C and HDL-C levels in African Blacks. The genotypic effects were modeled as the additive effect of the population-specific minor allele in each ethnic group (minor alleles that differed from those in NHWs are shown in *italics*). The results were adjusted for relevant covariates in each ethnic group. *N.A: These SNPs were not analyzed in African Blacks among which they did not have sufficient minor allele frequency (MAF). Six SNPs showed lower genotyping call rate (<95%) in one of the 3 ethnic groups studied (MAF <u>underlined</u>) while the remaining SNPs had high call rates in all ethnic groups. *This SNP showed low rate (0.5%) of discrepancy among replicates included in genotyping.

and TG, *GALNT2*/rs2144300 with LDL-C and TG, *NUTF2*/rs2271293 with TC and HDL-C, and *PGS1*/rs4129767 with TC, LDL-C, HDL-C, and TG. Six SNPs (*DOCK7*/rs10889353, *PPP1R3B*/rs9987289, *GRIN3A*/rs1323432, *UBASH3B*/rs7941030, *MMAB-MVK*/rs2338104, *PGS1*/rs4129767) were significantly associated with at least one lipid trait in more than one ethnic group, although not always with the same trait across various ethnic groups.

In NHWs, 12 SNPs showed significant association with at least one lipid trait. The most significant SNP for each trait was: *GRIN3A*/rs1323432 with TC (p = 0.002) and LDL-C (p = 0.009), *KLF14*/rs4731702 with HDL-C (p = 0.003), and *DOCK7*/ rs10889353 with TG ($p = 4.9 \times 10^{-5}$). Six SNPs (*CELSR2*/ rs646776, *DOCK7*/rs10889353, *GALNT2*/rs2144300, *GRIN3A*/ rs1323432, *NUTF2*/rs2271293, and *PGS1*/rs4129767) were significantly associated with more than one lipid trait.

In Hispanics, 10 SNPs showed significant association with at least one lipid trait. The most significant SNP for each trait was: APOB/rs1042034 with TC ($p=2.0 \times 10^{-4}$) and LDL-C ($p=8.0 \times 10^{-5}$), PPP1R3B/rs9987289 with HDL-C (p=0.011), and DOCK7/rs10889353 with TG (p=0.004). Three SNPs (APOB/rs1042034, OR4A47/rs7395662, and PGS1/rs4129767) were significantly associated with more than one lipid trait.

In African Blacks, 7 SNPs showed significant association with at least one lipid trait. The most significant SNP for each trait was: *CMIP*/rs2925979 with TC (p = 0.008), *PPP1R3B*/rs9987289 with LDL-C (p = 0.010), *MMAB-MVK*/rs2338104 with HDL-C (p = 0.002), and *UBASH3B*/rs7941030 with TG (p = 0.043). Two SNPs (*PPP1R3B*/rs9987289, *CMIP*/rs2925979) were significantly associated with more than one lipid trait.

For 25 of 40 SNPs analyzed (34 in African Blacks), we were able to replicate the GWAS associations (with the same lipid trait in the same direction) in at least one ethnic group that we studied; at nominal significance (p < 0.05) for 13 SNPs and with a trend for association (p-values between 0.05–0.20) for 12 SNPs (please see **Table 4** for details). There were additional SNPs with higher pvalues that showed similar trends for effects on the same lipid traits as seen in the original GWAS. Of 6 SNPs showing genome-wide significance for TC levels, we were able to replicate the associations in the same direction for 5 SNPs (at nominal significance for 4 SNPs and with a trend for association for one SNP) in at least one ethnic group studied. Of 2 SNPs showing genome-wide significance for LDL-C levels, we were able to replicate the associations in the same direction for both SNPs at nominal significance in at least one ethnic group studied. Of 36 SNPs with genome-wide significance and one with $p = 7.7 \times 10^{-4}$ for HDL-C levels, we were able to replicate the associations in the same direction for 18 SNPs (at nominal significance for 8 SNPs and with a trend for association for 10 SNPs) in at least one ethnic group studied. Two SNPs (PGS1/rs4129767 and MC4R/ 12967135) showed significant but discordant results (opposite direction) for association with HDL-C levels as compared to the original GWAS. Of 7 SNPs showing genome-wide significance for TG levels, we were able to replicate the associations in the same direction for 5 SNPs (at nominal significance for 3 SNPs and with a trend for association for 2 SNPs) in at least one ethnic group studied.

For 12 SNPs, we observed significant associations with lipid traits other than those reported as genome-wide significant in the original GWAS (Table 4). Three of these 12 SNPs did not show any significant association or a trend for the same direction association with the traits identified as genome-wide significant in the original GWAS.

Discussion

We conducted a replication study on 40 recent GWASidentified new loci that were associated with HDL-C levels [7– 9,11] using a multiethnic sample comprising of 3 different ethnic groups (NHWs, Hispanics, and African Blacks). Since MAFs of 6 of 40 SNPs were low in African Blacks, only 34 SNPs were included in the analysis in this group. Although we primarily focused on GWAS signals influencing plasma HDL-C levels (with or without effects on other lipids) when selecting the SNPs to be replicated in our study, we performed association analyses with all four major lipid traits (TC, LDL-C, HDL-C, and TG) regardless of previously reported specific associations with selected SNPs.

Given that our study examined various ethnic groups and our sample sizes were modest as compared to those used in large GWAS and meta-analyses (which examined several thousand subjects), we have used nominal significance (p < 0.05) for replication and also taken into account the p-values between

Table 4. SNPs significantly (p < 0.05) associated with at least one lipid trait in at least one ethnic group in our study, as well as those that showed a trend for the same direction of association (p between 0.05–0.20, *italic traits*) as seen for at least one genome-wide significant lipid trait in the original GWAS[§] (only relevant observations have been included in the table).

Locus	SNP	NHWs MAF	HSPs MAF	ABs MAF	NHWs Traits	HSPs Traits	ABs Traits	GWAS Alleles	GWAS Trait(s)	Population
1p13	rs646776	C-0.212	C-0.258	C-0.348	TC, LDL-C	-	-	A/ G	TC, LDL-C	EU
1p31	rs10889353	C-0.337	C-0.365	C-0.447	TC, TG	TG , <i>TC</i>	TG	A/C	TC, TG	EU
1q25	rs1689800	G-0.347	G-0.346	G-0.264	HDL-C	-	-	A/ G	HDL-C	EU, AA*
1q42	rs2144300	C-0.384	C-0.425	T-0.040	LDL-C, HDL-C, TG	-	-	C/ T	HDL-C	EU
2p24	rs1042034	G-0.215	G-0.298	G-0.119	TG	TC, LDL-C	TG	T/ C	HDL-C, TG	EU, AA
2q36	rs2972146	C-0.377	C-0.230	C-0.123	-	TG	-	T/ G	HDL-C, TG	EU, AA
4q24	rs13107325	T-0.068	T-0.045	na	-	HDL-C	na	C/ T	HDL-C	EU, AA*
6q24	rs605066	C-0.403	C-0.406	T-0.402	LDL-C	HDL-C	-	T/ C	HDL-C	EU, AA
7q11	rs17145738	T-0.108	T-0.071	T-0.086	TG	-	-	C/ T	HDL-C, TG	EU, AA*
7q32	rs4731702	T-0.494	T-0.436	T-0.184	HDL-C	-	-	C/ T	HDL-C	EU, AA
8p23	rs9987289	A-0.091	A-0.178	A-0.191	-	TC, HDL-C, LDL-C	TC, LDL-C	G/ A	HDL-C, LDL-C, TC	EU, AA
8q23	rs2293889	T-0.442	T-0.445	T-0.040	-	-	HDL-C	G/ T	HDL-C	EU, AA
9p22	rs471364	C-0.125	C-0.105	C-0.206	HDL-C	-	-	T/C	HDL-C	EU
9q31	rs1323432	G-0.115	G-0.087	na	TC, LDL-C	HDL-C	na	A /G	HDL-C	EU
11p11	rs7395662	A-0.373	A-0.323	G-0.407	-	TC, LDL-C	-	G /A	HDL-C	EU
11p15	rs2923084	G-0.179	G-0.307	A-0.474	HDL-C	-	-	A/ G	HDL-C	EU, AA
11q12	rs174547	C-0.345	T-0.441	na	HDL-C	LDL-C	na	T/C	HDL-C, TG	EU
11q24	rs7941030	C-0.367	C-0.294	C-0.444	тс	-	TG	T/ C	HDL-C, TC	EU, AA
12p12	rs7134375	A-0.458	C-0.497	A-0.308	HDL-C	-	-	C/ A	HDL-C	EU, AA
12q24	rs2338104	C-0.429	C-0.486	C-0.196	-	LDL-C	HDL-C	G/ C	HDL-C	EU
12q13	rs11613352	T-0.245	T-0.382	T-0.063	-	-	HDL-C	C/ T	HDL-C, TG	EU, AA
16q22	rs2271293	A-0.121	A-0.155	A-0.081	TC, HDL-C	-	-	G/A	HDL-C	EU
16q23	rs2925979	A-0.287	A-0.203	A-0.293	-	-	TC, LDL-C	C/ T	HDL-C	EU, AA
17q25	rs4129767	A-0.498	G-0.461	A-0.313	HDL-C , TG	TC, LDL-C	тс	A/ G	HDL-C	EU, AA
18q21	rs12967135	A-0.235	A-0.175	A-0.324	-	-	HDL-C	G/ A	HDL-C	EU, AA
19p13	rs2967605	T-0.169	T-0.214	T-0.237	-	HDL-C	-	C/ T	HDL-C	EU
19p13	rs737337	C-0.079	C-0.267	T-0.483	-	LDL-C	-	T/ C	HDL-C	EU, AA
19q13	rs386000	G-0.203	G-0.437	G-0.183	-	-	HDL-C	G/ C	HDL-C	EU, AA*
20q13	rs1800961	T-0.032	T-0.033	na	-	TC	na	C/ T	HDL-C, TC	EU, AA*
20q13	rs6065906	C-0.173	C-0.097	C-0.158	-	TG, HDL-C	-	T/ C	HDL-C, TG	EU, AA

[§]Alternate alleles evaluated as compared to GWAS alleles (in **bold**), for which opposite effects are expected, are shown in *italics*. Up-regulated lipid traits are shown in **bold** vs. down-regulated in unbold. MAF: Minor allele frequency, NHWs: Non-Hispanic Whites, HSPs: Hispanics, ABs: African Blacks, EU: Individuals of European descent included in original GWAS, AA: African American replication sample in original GWAS (*=discordant finding with opposite direction of association), na: not analyzed. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063469.t004

0.05 and 0.20 (whenever there was a trend for the same direction of association with the same genome-wide significant lipid trait) when comparing our results with the original GWAS signals. The use of nominal significance for replication and for generalization to non-European populations has been widely employed and applied by some large consortiums [18] and also an acceptable criterion for publications [19]. The comparison of our results to those in original GWAS from which the SNPs were selected has been summarized in Table 4, by including all SNPs that were significantly (p < 0.05) associated with at least one lipid trait in at least one ethnic group in our study as well as the SNPs that showed a trend for the same direction of association as seen for at least one genome-wide significant ($p \le 5 \times 10^{-8}$) lipid trait in the original GWAS.

Our analysis revealed a total of 22 SNPs/loci with nominally significant association (p < 0.05) with at least one lipid trait in at

least one ethnic group, although not always with the same lipid traits reported as genome-wide significant in the original GWAS (13 of 22 significant SNPs showed replicated association with originally reported lipid traits in GWAS). Although our SNP selection was biased toward including primarily HDL-C-related variants, we identified a similar number of significant associations with TC (n=10), LDL-C (n=12), and HDL-C (n=10), but relatively less with TG (n=6). This observation suggests that replication studies should not restrict their analyses of GWAS signals to only specific lipid traits that were initially reported but should rather evaluate all lipid traits for a given variant. Ten loci (CELSR2, APOB, PPP1R3B, GRIN3A, OR4A47, CMIP, DOCK7, GALNT2, NUTF2, and PGS1) were significantly associated with more than one lipid trait in at least one ethnic group studied. Six loci (DOCK7, PPP1R3B, GRIN3A, UBASH3B, MMAB-MVK, PGS1) were significantly associated with at least one lipid trait in more

than one ethnic group, although not always with the same trait across various ethnic groups.

In NHWs, 12 SNPs showed nominally significant association (p<0.05) with at least one lipid trait and 6 were significantly associated with more than one lipid trait. These numbers were 10 and 3 in Hispanics and 7 and 2 in African Blacks. The most significant SNPs for TC levels were *GRIN3A*/rs1323432 in NHWs, *APOB*/rs1042034 in Hispanics, and *CMIP*/rs2925979 in African Blacks. The most significant SNPs for LDL-C levels were *GRIN3A*/rs1323432 in NHWs, *APOB*/rs1042034 in Hispanics, and *PPP1R3B*/rs9987289 in African Blacks. The most significant SNPs for HDL-C levels were *KLF14*/rs4731702 in NHWs, *PPP1R3B*/rs9987289 in Hispanics, and *MMAB-MVK*/rs2338104 in African Blacks. The most significant SNPs for TG levels were *DOCK7*/rs10889353 in both NHWs and Hispanics, and *UBASH3B*/rs7941030 in African Blacks.

We were able to replicate the GWAS associations with the same lipid trait in the same direction in at least one ethnic group for 25 of 40 SNPs analyzed (40 in NHWs and Hispanics, 34 in African Blacks); at nominal significance (p < 0.05) for 13 SNPs and with a trend for association for 12 SNPs. Five of 6 genome-wide significant TC loci, both of 2 genome-wide significant LDL-C loci, and 5 of 7 genome-wide significant TG loci were replicated in the same direction (at nominal significance or with a trend for association) in at least one ethnic group. Of 36 SNPs with genomewide level significance and one with $p = 7.7 \times 10^{-4}$ for HDL-C levels, we were able to replicate the associations in the same direction for 18 SNPs in at least one ethnic group. Two SNPs showed significant but discordant results for association with HDL-C levels as compared to the original GWAS. For 12 SNPs, we observed significant associations with lipid traits other than those reported as genome-wide significant in the original GWAS, however we did not compare our results with GWAS findings that did not reach genome-wide level of significance. Because the nominal statistical significance (p < 0.05) is considered acceptable in smaller follow-up studies on the established GWAS signals [19], we did not correct for multiple testing in our study. However, as stated above, we have also observed some new associations (i.e. significant associations with lipid traits other than those reported as genome-wide significant in the original GWAS), which should be considered 'provisional' until they are replicated in independent samples.

Overall the concordance rate was high for observed associations as compared to original GWAS findings (same allele with same effect or alternate allele with opposite effect); however, the associations were not consistently present in all ethnic groups that we studied. Other studies that investigated various ethnic groups also reported mixed results [11,18,20-22]. An independent replication study by Lanktree et al. [20] reported nominal association of the lead SNP or its proxy with the same lipid in the same direction for 13 of 32 GWAS loci tested in their modestsized multiethnic sample (272 European, 330 South Asian, and 304 Chinese subjects from Canada). Another replication study by Keebler et al. [21] used a larger multiethnic sample (1,627 non-Hispanic Blacks, 1,659 Mexican Americans, and 2,230 NHWs from the US) and found mixed evidence by ethnic group for 17 lipid loci (19 successfully genotyped SNPs) examined, except for five loci (most of which established) that were shared by all groups. The largest GWAS by Teslovich et al. (a meta- analysis of 46 lipid GWAS comprising >100,000 individuals of European descent) [11] also sought replication in European (n = 7,000) and non-European samples (including >8,000 African Americans) and was able to replicate most, but not all, of the genome-wide significant signals identified in their primary analysis. The number of replicated SNPs was 35 of 36 for LDL-C, 44 of 47 for HDL-C, and 29 of 32 for TG in European replication sample while the replication rate was lower in African American replication sample (LDL-C: 33 of 36, HDL-C: 37 of 44 and TG: 24 of 30). In addition, for some loci, the observed effects were discordant in their replication samples. A recent multiethnic replication study by Chang et al. [22] examined 57 SNPs (55 GWAS-identified) in 3 major racial/ethnic groups from the US (2,296 NHWs, 1,699 non-Hispanic Blacks and 1,713 Mexican Americans) and replicated less than 67%, 44%, and 44% of GWAS-validated SNPs in each ethnic group, respectively. Another recent multiethnic replication study by Dumitrescu et al. [18] investigated 49 GWAS-identified SNPs in six racial/ethnic groups that included larger samples of European Americans (~20,000), African Americans (~9,000) and Mexican Americans/Hispanics (~2,500). Based on nominal significance and consistent direction of effect, they were able to replicate the majority of associations with HDL-C, LDL-C, and TG in European Americans (85%, 95%, and 100%, respectively) and generalize a number of them to African Americans (44%, 58%, and 57%) and Mexican Americans/Hispanics (52%, 53%, and 86%). Overall, the effect sizes they observed in European Americans were smaller than previously reported estimates and, despite their adequately powered study, they failed to replicate some loci even in European Americans, which was predominantly the case for HDL-C loci (15% of SNPs failed to replicate) than LDL-C (5%) and TG (0%) loci.

Although our study has focused only on newly identified GWAS signals and did not include established genes with well-known functions in lipoprotein metabolism (which were most consistently replicated across various studies and/or various ethnic groups), our observations were similar to those in other multiethnic studies in terms of not being able to replicate all associations, observing lower replication rates in non-European populations (Table 4), and obtaining lower replication rates for HDL-C levels (page 12). Although our samples sizes were smaller as compared to two recent multiethnic replication studies by Chang et al. [22] and Dumitrescu et al. [18], our study examined several additional GWAS-identified variants that were not included in those studies.

Gender-specific effects on the genetics of lipid traits have also been suggested. We used gender as a covariate in our analyses but did not perform gender-stratified analyses due to power-related concerns. When Teslovich et al. [11] reanalyzed their GWAS data separately in men and women, they observed gender-specific effect sizes or gender-specific associations at some (but not many) loci.

In conclusion, our study shows evidence of replication for several, but not all, genome-wide significant SNP associations in our multiethnic sample. Lack of replication of genetic associations or the observation of different associations (with different lipid traits) may be related to a number of factors, such as insufficient power, differences in study population characteristics, allelic/ genetic heterogeneity, allele frequency and/or linkage disequilibrium (LD) differences across various ethnic groups, population stratification, differences in effect sizes, and gene-environment interactions. Replication of some loci with small effect sizes (captured after meta-analysis of several GWAS by including several thousand subjects) may require the accumulation and meta-analysis of several independent replication studies (such as this study). The difficulty of generalizing the associations to various racial/ethnic populations may also be related to the fact that most GWAS-identified variants are likely to be in LD with the functional variant(s) rather than being functional themselves and LD patterns often vary across populations, which would affect the strength of indirect associations. In fact, a recent large study by Musunuru et al. [23] that performed dense genotyping in various

lipid loci in a large sample set comprising of European Americans and African Americans, reported that many loci showed major differences in genetic architecture between these two ethnic groups and the most significant SNP at a given locus for a given trait often varied among them.

Further characterization of relevant lipid loci is necessary through their comprehensive sequencing in individuals with extreme phenotypes followed by functional evaluation of identified variants. Among several loci identified to date, the top priority

References

- Kathiresan S, Manning AK, Demissie S, D'Agostino RB, Surti A, et al. (2007) A genome-wide association study for blood lipid phenotypes in the Framingham Heart Study. BMC Med Genet 8(Suppl.1): S17.
- Chasman DI, Pare G, Zee RYL, Parker AN, Cook NR, et al. (2008) Genetic loci associated with plasma concentration of LDL-C, HDL-C, triglycerides, ApoA1, and ApoB among 6382 white women in genome-wide analysis with replication. Circ Cardiovasc Genet 1: 21–30.
- Heid IM, Boes E, Muller M, Kollerits B, Lamina C, et al. (2008) Genome-wide association analysis of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol in the populationbased KORA study sheds new light on intergenic regions. Circ Cardiovasc Genet 1: 10–20.
- Kathiresan S, Melander O, Guiducci C, Surti A, Burtt NP, et al. (2008) Six new loci associated with blood low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol or triglycerides in humans. Nat Genet 40: 189–197.
- Kooner JS, Chambers JC, Aguilar-Salinas CA, Hinds DA, Hyde CL, et al. (2008) Genome-wide scan identifies variation in MLXIPL associated with plasma triglycerides. Nat Genet 40: 149–151.
- Wallace C, Newhouse SJ, Braund P, Zhang F, Tobin M, et al. (2008) Genomewide association study identifies genes for biomarkers of cardiovascular disease: serum urate and dyslipidemia. Am J Hum Genet 82: 139–149.
- Willer CJ, Sanna S, Jackson AU, Scuteri A, Bonnycastle LL, et al. (2008) Newly identified loci that influence lipid concentrations and risk of coronary artery disease. Nat Genet 40: 161–169.
- Aulchenko YS, Ripatti S, Lindqvist I, Boomsma D, Heid IM, et al. (2009) Loci influencing lipid levels and coronary heart disease risk in 16 European population cohorts. Nat Genet 41: 47–55.
- Kathiresan S, Willer CJ, Peloso GM, Demissie S, Musunuru K, et al. (2009) Common variants at 30 loci contribute to polygenic dyslipidemia. Nat Genet 41: 56–65.
- Sabatti C, Service SK, Hartikainen AL, Pouta A, Ripatti S, et al. (2009) Genome-wide association analysis of metabolic traits in a birth cohort from a founder population. Nat Genet 41: 35–46.
- Teslovich TM, Musunuru K, Smith AV, Edmondson AC, Stylianou IM, et al. (2010) Biological, clinical and population relevance of 95 loci for blood lipids. Nature 466: 707–713.
- Hamman RF, Marshall JA, Baxter J, Kahn LB, Mayer EJ, et al. (1989) Methods and prevalence of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus in a biethnic

could be given to those found to be relevant to more than one lipid trait and/or confirmed in more than one ethnic group.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: MIK FYD. Performed the experiments: EKB FYD. Analyzed the data: EKB ASD MIK FYD. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: CHB JEH RFH MIK. Wrote the paper: EKB MIK FYD. Provided critical revisions: ASD CHB JEH RFH. Interpreted the results: EKB ASD CHB JEH RFH MIK FYD.

Colorado population. The San Lius Valley Diabetes Study. Am J Epidemiol 129: 295–311.

- Rewers M, Shetterly SM, Hoag S, Baxter J, Marshall J, Hamman RF. (1993) Is the risk of coronary heart disease lower in Hispanics than in non-Hispanic whites? The San Luis Valley Diabetes Study. Ethn Dis 3: 44–54.
- Bunker CH, Ukoli FA, Matthews KA, Kriska AM, Huston SL, et al. (1995) Weight threshold and blood pressure in a lean black population. Hypertension 26: 616–623.
- Bunker CH, Ukoli FA, Okoro FI, Olomu AB, Kriska AM, et al. (1996) Correlates of serum lipids in a lean black population. Atherosclerosis 123: 215– 225.
- Harris MR, Bunker CH, Hamman RF, Sanghera DK, Aston CE, et al. (1998) Racial differences in the distribution of a low density lipoprotein receptor-related protein (LRP) polymorphism and its association with serum lipoprotein, lipid and apolipoprotein levels. Atherosclerosis 137: 187–195.
- Demirci FY, Dressen AS, Hamman RF, Bunker CH, Kammerer CM, et al. (2010) Association of a common G6PC2 variant with fasting plasma glucose levels in non-diabetic individuals. Ann Nutr Metab 56: 59–64.
- Dumitrescu L, Carty CL, Taylor K, Schumacher FR, Hindorff LA, et al. (2011) Genetic determinants of lipid traits in diverse populations from the population architecture using genomics and epidemiology (PAGE) study. PLoS Genet 7: e1002138.
- Plenge RM, Bridges SL, Huizinga TWJ, Criswell LA, Gregersen PK. (2011) Recommendations for publication of genetic association studies in Arthritis & Rheumatism. Arthritis Rheum 63: 2839–2847.
- Lanktree MB, Anand SS, Yusuf S, Hegele RA; SHARE Investigators. (2009) Replication of genetic associations with plasma lipoprotein traits in a multiethnic sample. J Lipid Res 50: 1487–1496.
- Keebler ME, Sanders CL, Surti A, Guiducci C, Burtt NP, et al. (2009) Association of blood lipids with common DNA sequence variants at 19 genetic loci in the multiethnic United States National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey III. Circ Cardiovasc Genet 2: 238–243.
- Chang MH, Ned RM, Hong Y, Yesupriya A, Yang Q, et al. (2011) Racial/ ethnic variation in the association of lipid-related genetic variants with blood lipids in the US adult population. Circ Cardiovasc Genet 4: 523–533.
- Musunuru K, Romaine SP, Lettre G, Wilson JG, Volcik KA, et al. (2012) Multiethnic analysis of lipid-associated loci: the NHLBI CARe project. PLoS One 7: e36473.