The Transcriptional Mediator Component Med12 Is Required for Hindbrain Boundary Formation
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Abstract

**Background:** Rhombomere boundaries form during hindbrain segmentation and are critical for maintaining segmental integrity and regulating migration in the hindbrain. Some genetic models affecting hindbrain boundary formation have been described, but involvement of components of the transcriptional mediator complex in boundary formation has not reported so far.

**Principal Findings:** The kto/med12 mutant zebrafish, which affects the Mediator component Med12, causes specific loss of rhombomere boundary cells even though segmentation of the hindbrain takes place at least in part. In kto mutant embryos, cells forming rhombomere boundaries were largely absent as indicated by the use of several marker genes. While no obvious increase in cell death was observed, we found a notable reduction of cell proliferation in the hindbrain of kto mutant zebrafish.

**Conclusions:** The kto/med12 mutation results in specific defects of boundary cell formation in the zebrafish hindbrain.

Introduction

The Mediator is an evolutionarily conserved multi-subunit complex that functions to bridge regulatory regions to the RNA polymerase II initiation complex in eukaryotic cells [1,2,3,4,5]. We have reported isolation of a zebrafish mutant named to kto that encodes Mediator component Med12, also called Trap230 [6]. kto/med12 mutants show multiple phenotypes, including defects in brain, neural crest, and kidney development. Other investigators have reported that the zebrafish med12 gene functions in neuronal and endoderm development, and that Med12 acts as a co-activator for Sox9 [7,8,9]. In the mouse, a hypomorphic mutation in the Mediator component Med12 leads to neural tube closure and other defects, and the null mutant is lethal at about E7.5 [10]. In humans the MED12 gene is associated with X-linked disorders characterized by mental retardation [11,12,13].

In studying the phenotype of the kto/med12 mutation we focused our attention on the hindbrain in the zebrafish embryo. During vertebrate development the hindbrain is segmented into units named rhombomeres. Many aspects of hindbrain differentiation and organization depend on this segmental order [14], and migration of neural crest cells follows rhombomere patterns [15]. During segmentation the lineage of hindbrain cells is restricted to rhombomere compartments, and no mixing between adjacent segments takes place [16]. Furthermore, even and odd-numbered rhombomeres show distinct affinities with apparent alternating properties [17]. Several transcription factors, including kreisler/valentino/mafba [18,19,20,21], egr2b/krox-20 [22], and certain Hox group proteins [14] are expressed in rhombomeric patterns and play a role in hindbrain segmentation.

Rhombomere organization depends critically of several signaling pathways. The receptor tyrosine kinase EphA4 and its ligand ephrinB2 are expressed in an alternating rhombomeric pattern and have an essential role in segment-specific cell sorting during hindbrain segmentation [23,24,25,26]. Notch-delta signaling likewise is crucial for maintaining boundary structure in the embryonic hindbrain [27,28]. Differentiation and maintenance of boundary and non-boundary regions of rhombomeres is regulated by the close interaction of Wnt and Notch signaling. Notch and Wnt1 are expressed in boundary cells whereas the Notch ligand Delta is expressed in adjacent stripes also named paraboundary domains, and Notch activity in the boundaries is enhanced by the modulator Radical Fringe, a glycosyltransferase [27,29,30,31]. The appropriate expression and activity of these factors in the boundary and adjacent regions is required to maintain the normal rhombomeric organization of the hindbrain.

In the present study we found that hindbrain boundaries do not form in kto/med12 mutant embryos, as visualized by the use of several marker genes. Nevertheless, rhombomeric organization does arise in the mutant hindbrain and some rhombomere markers are expressed appropriately while others are reduced or lost. It appears that expression of markers for odd rhombomeres is lost more...
generally that those for even rhombomeres. Further we find that cell proliferation is reduced in the hindbrain of mutant embryos, whereas cell death appears unaffected. This study demonstrates the specific requirement of a Mediator component for the appropriate organization of hindbrain segments in the zebrafish.

**Results**

**Hindbrain phenotype in the kto/med12 mutant**

We have reported previously that the kto mutant, which encodes the Med12 Mediator component, shows malformed brain structures including defective ventricle inflation in the fore- and midbrain and incomplete ventricle formation in the hindbrain [6]. Here we focus more closely on the hindbrain from the beginning stages of its segmentation. The earliest stage at which boundary formation in zebrafish can be observed is the 5 somite stage (11.7 hpf) [32], and by mid-somitogenesis (17 hpf) the segmental organization of the hindbrain, and morphologically visible boundaries are established. At this stage, defects are observed in brain development of kto mutant embryos, including a severely distorted midbrain (Figure 1A–D). At 24 hpf brain structure has become further disorganized, including defects in the hindbrain such as failure to form a normal-sized ventricle and a poorly defined separation along the dorsal midline (Figure 1E–H). The reduced size of fore-, mid-, and hindbrain but largely unaffected size of the trunk has been reported previously [6].

**Med12 is not required for the initiation of hindbrain segmentation**

To examine hindbrain segmentation in more detail, we performed in situ hybridization with various segmental markers during different stages of development in wild type (wt) and mutant embryos. We first wished to visualize the entire hindbrain in mid-somitogenesis stage wt and kto embryos, using two-color in situ hybridization with the markers wnt1 and hoxd4a [21,33] that span the region from the mid-hindbrain boundary to rhombomere7 (r7). Wnt1 expression at the dorsal midline was significantly reduced but was maintained at a normal level at the mid-hindbrain boundary, and hoxd4a in rhombomere7 was expressed normally in the mutant embryos (Figure 2A,B). Slightly later at 19 hpf, two transcription factors known to function in hindbrain segmentation, eg26/krox-20 (specific for r3 and r5) and magba/valentino (specific for r5 and r6) show somewhat reduced expression within their normal domains (Figure 2C–F).

![Figure 1. The hindbrain phenotype of kto\textsuperscript{82}. Live images of lateral (A,B,E,F) and dorsal views (C, D, G, H) of the developing hindbrain at 17 hpf (A–D) and 25 hpf (E–H). The early midbrain and hindbrain regions are malformed at 17 hpf in mutant embryos (D), and no ventricle is visible at 25 hpf (H). h, hindbrain; m, midbrain; mhb, mid-hindbrain boundary; ov, otic vesicle; tb, tail bud.](https://www.plosone.org/doi/10.1371/journal.pone.0019076.g001)
25 hpf, multiple markers are available to assess the development of individual rhombomeres within the hindbrain, including *epha4a* and *wnt1* that mark r1, r3, r5 [33,34], *efnb3b* marking r2, r4, r6 [23], *hoxb1a* for r4 [35], and *cyp26c1* and *mafba* (E,F) at 19 hpf, and *cyp26c1*, *epha4a*, *mafba*, *wnt8b*, *hoxb1a* and *efnb3b* at 25 hpf (G–T). mhb, mid-hindbrain boundary; ov, otic vesicle; r, rhombomere.

Figure 2. Hindbrain segmentation in the *kto*<sup>82</sup> mutant. Lateral (A–J) and dorsal views (K–T) of wt and mutant embryos, as indicated, stained by whole mount in situ hybridization. Two-color in situ hybridization with *wnt1* (blue) and *hoxd4a* (red) at 17 hpf (A,B). Expression of *egr2b* (C,D) and *mafba* (E,F) at 19 hpf, and *cyp26c1*, *epha4a*, *mafba*, *wnt8b*, *hoxb1a* and *efnb3b* at 25 hpf (G–T). mhb, mid-hindbrain boundary; ov, otic vesicle; r, rhombomere.

Loss of hindbrain boundary cells and neurons

Morphologically visible boundaries form between rhombomeres during hindbrain development, and boundary formation may depend on the distinct properties of successive odd and even-numbered segments [37]. Based on our finding that marker gene expression is dramatically reduced in odd-numbered segments and variably retained in even-numbered segments we asked whether rhombomere boundaries are formed in *kto* mutant embryos. The first rhombomere boundaries appear at early somite stages and are well developed by 17–18 hpf, when they can be detected using *foxb1.2* as a marker [19]. We found that *foxb1.2* expression was substantially reduced in the hindbrain of mutant embryos (Figure 3A,B). The effect was even more pronounced at 24 hpf as visualized by *rfng* [27,29,30], which was entirely lost from the hindbrain of *kto* mutant embryos (Figure 3C–F). It is known that rhombomere organization is important for the survival of neurons in the hindbrain. To visualize rhombomeres in live embryos we have generated homozygous *ktoy82* mutant embryos in the pGFP5.3 transgenic line that expresses GFP in rhombomeres 3 and 5 [38]. Whole mount immunostaining with zn-5 antibody (detecting DM-GRASP) was used to label commissural axons juxtaposed to segmental boundaries [39]. Within r3 and r5, these axons were visualized in yellow as a result of overlap of GFP and antibody staining (Figure 3G). In mutant embryos, GFP-expression in r3 and r5 was maintained at a reduced level, but zn-5 positive axons were largely abolished (Figure 3H). Thus, axons close to the rhombomere boundaries were lost in the *kto* mutant. The Notch-Delta signaling pathway has a critical role in
segmentation and in neuronal differentiation in the hindbrain. Whole mount immunostaining using zdD2 antibody visualizes deltaD in para-boundary regions of the zebrafish hindbrain [40], and this signal was lost entirely in the kto mutant (Figure 3J). Likewise expression of the receptor notch1a in the hindbrain [41] was abolished in the mutant embryos (Figure 3K,L). Thus, signaling pathways essential for hindbrain segmentation are severely impaired in kto/med12 mutant embryos.

The results described above for mutant zebrafish were confirmed by using wild type fish injected with Med12 antisense...
In addition to rfgn, foxb1.2, and notch1a, we also found the loss of expression of wnt1 in the rhombomere boundary regions (Figure S1). Note that wnt1 expression in the midbrain-hindbrain boundary is maintained albeit at a reduced level.

Reduced proliferation in kto/med12 mutant hindbrain

Even though the hindbrain undergoes at least some level of segmentation in kto embryos, boundary formation is severely defective as judged by the expression of specific marker genes. We asked whether changes in cell death or cell proliferation in mutant embryos correlate with these developmental defects. We tested for apoptotic cell death at 28 hpf by performing TUNEL assay in wt and mutants. No obvious difference was seen between wt and mutants in this assay, indicating that excess apoptotic cell death is not the cause of the disruption of hindbrain segmentation we observe in kto embryos (Figure 4A,B). We used two assays to test for differences in proliferation between wt and mutant hindbrain. Immunostaining with anti-phosphorylated histone 3 (PH3) antibody, which labels mitotic cells, was carried out at 19 hpf and 28 hpf, corresponding to early and late stages of hindbrain segmentation. The number of PH3-positive cells was moderately reduced at 19 hpf and greatly reduced by 28 hpf (Figure 3C–F), and the differences between mutant and wild type were highly significant at both stages (Figure 3G and legend). The second assay for proliferation involved incorporation of BrdU. Embryos were exposed to BrdU at 19 hpf and maintained in its presence until 28 hpf when they were fixed. In agreement with PH3 staining we found that BrdU incorporation decreased by more than two-fold in kto mutant embryos (Figure 4H–J). Again the difference is highly significant (legend to Figure 4). Thus we conclude that proliferation of cells in the hindbrain is severely affected in embryos that carry the kto/med12 mutation.

Discussion

Previous studies on the kto/med12 mutant in zebrafish showed multiple defects in tissue development in the neural crest, brain, kidney and elsewhere [6,7,8,9]. More recently, a hypomorphic mutation in the mouse was reported to show neural tube closure defects, while a null mutant exhibited early lethality [10]. In this study we focused on the developmental deficits generated in the hindbrain of kto mutant zebrafish. We found that the hindbrain undergoes some level of segmentation, but expression of many but not all rhombomere-specific genes is reduced or abolished. Most strikingly, rhombomere boundaries are not established in the hindbrain of kto mutant zebrafish. During animal development boundaries are formed in somites, brain, intestinal tracts, and elsewhere. The mechanism of boundary formation has been extensively studied because loss of boundaries causes defects in downstream patterning events [42]. We observed a loss of rhombomeric boundaries in kto embryos, but boundary formation between somites appeared normal (Figure 1), suggesting a specific requirement for Med12 function in the hindbrain. We have not
examined the state of boundaries in other tissues in kto mutant zebrafish.

Previous studies indicate that a loss of segmental identity during early steps of hindbrain development cause defects in boundary cell differentiation at later stages [24]. In particular, even and odd-numbered rhombomeres have distinct properties, and boundary formation may depend on the apposition of different types of segments. Rhombomeres express specific genes at early stages of their specification, and these genes can be used to identify rhombomeric segments early in their development. Using such marker genes we found that specific gene expression, and thus presumably rhombomere identity, is lost in odd-numbered segments r1, r3, and r5 starting early in development, whereas even-numbered rhombomeres r2, r4, and r6 retain specific marker expression with the exception of the loss of some markers in r6 (Figure 2). These observations suggest that the affected genes depend on Med12 function for their expression, but it is not known whether this effect is direct. If odd-numbered rhombomeres do indeed lose their identity in kto mutant zebrafish, the loss of boundaries in these mutants would be predicted by the hypothesis that requires the apposition of distinct segments for boundary formation.

The mechanism of formation of segmental boundaries in the hindbrain has been studied in considerable detail. Eph-ephrin signaling is a crucial component of the segmentation process, contributing to adhesion and repulsion between cells of the same or different segments, respectively [23,24,25,26]. We found that kto mutant embryos continue to express ephb3 at a normal level, but ephb1a expression was lost (Figure 2K,L,S,T). This observation by itself predicts a loss of all rhombomere boundaries with the possible exception of r6/7. Whether specified by the apposition of distinct segments or another mechanism, boundary formation involves Notch and Wnt signaling [27,28,29,30,31]. We found that components of both signaling pathways are lost in the hindbrain of kto mutant zebrafish. This is the case for notch1a and for delta D2 (Figure 3I-L), and most strikingly for the Notch signaling amplifier rfg that normally marks rhombomere boundaries but is undetectable in mutant zebrafish (Figure 3C-F). Likewise wnt1, which is expressed at the dorsal midline and in segmental boundaries in the hindbrain, was lost in Med12 MO-injected embryos (Figure S1A,B). Thus the major signaling pathways involved in boundary formation were impaired in the absence of Med12 function in the zebrafish embryo.

The kto/med12 mutation leads to defects in certain neuronal subtypes and in the formation of cranial sensory ganglia, while many neuronal subtypes in the central nervous system develops normally [9]. We found that boundary specific commissural axons failed to differentiate in the mutant embryo, a defect that correlates with the close apposition of these neurons to rhombomere boundaries (Figure 3G,H).

The mechanism underlying the loss of rhombomere boundaries in kto mutants is not fully understood, but may involve the loss of odd-numbered segmental identity. We further explored the possibility that cell death or proliferation plays a role in this effect. We found that apoptotic cell death was not obviously increased in kto embryos, but cell proliferation was clearly affected. This was the case as assayed by BrdU incorporation as well as by PH3 staining at two stages of development (Figure 4). The basis for this effect is not understood at present. It is possible that it is a consequence of the loss of expression of regulatory genes during hindbrain segmentation, or there might be a direct requirement for Med12 function in proliferation or in the synthesis of components of the machinery that supports DNA replication and cell division. If the latter interpretation is correct there should be a similar reduction in proliferation in all tissues in kto mutant embryos, but such a general reduction in proliferation was not detected in a previous study [7]. Thus, the specific effect of Med12 deficiency on hindbrain differentiation appears to be linked to a specific deficit in cell proliferation. While the ensuing reduction in cell numbers undoubtedly contributes to the abnormal hindbrain development in kto/med12 mutants it appears unlikely that it can explain the specific loss of rhombomeric boundaries, which are more likely due to impaired segmental identity in the mutant hindbrain.

Materials and Methods

Zebrafish lines

Wild and kto<sup>62</sup> mutant embryos [6] were raised at 28.5°C and selection of embryos stages were according to [43]. The transgenic line pGFP5.3 [38] was kindly provided by Cecilia Moens with approval from Michael Brand. This work has been approved by the NICHD Animal Use and Care Committee under Animal Study Proposal 09-039.

Whole-mount in situ hybridization

Digoxigenin-11-UTP or Fluorescein-12-UTP labeled probes for single or double whole-mount in situ hybridization were synthesized according to manufacturer’s instructions (Roche). Whole-mount in situ hybridization [44,45] and two-color in situ hybridization protocols [46] have been reported. We used BM Purple (Roche) for signal detection.

Morpholino

The anti-sense oligonucleotide sequence of Med12 MO, originally named Trap230 MO, has been described previously [6]. Two ng of Trap230 and 10 ng of standard control MO were injected into one-cell stage embryos.

Immunostaining

Monoclonal zn-5 antibody was purchased from Zebrafish International Resource Center (Eugene, OR), and zebrafish delta D anti-body zdD2 is from Abcam. Primary anti-phospho-histone H3 (PH3) polyclonal antibody (1:500; Upstate) was used, and detected using Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse IgG as a secondary antibody (1:10,000; Invitrogen). Laser scanning confocal imaging was done with a Zeiss LSM 510 confocal microscope.

TUNEL assay and BrdU incorporation

The reagents for the TUNEL assay were purchased from In Vitrogen and used as described [6]. For BrdU labeling, manually dechorionated 19 hpf zebrafish embryos were placed for 30 minutes in a 8°C water bath in a solution of 10 mM BrdU in Ringer’s solution (Roche) containing 15% DMSO. After 30 minutes, embryos were quickly rinsed with Ringer’s solution then incubated to the desired stages before fixation.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Injection of Med12 MO recapitulates the hindbrain boundary phenotype of the kto mutant. All images are dorsal views of control MO (A,C,E,G) and Med12 MO (formerly called Trap230 MO) (B,D,F,H) injected embryos at 24 hpf. Wnt1 (A,B), rfg (C,D), foxb1.2 (E,F), and notch1a (G,H) were used as hindbrain boundary markers. ov, otic vesicle. (PDF)
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