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Abstract
In this paper, we propose designing transportation network topology and traffic distribution under fluctuating conditions using a bio-inspired algorithm. The algorithm is inspired by the adaptive behavior observed in an amoeba-like organism, plasmodial slime mold, more formally known as plasmodium of Physarum polycephalum. This organism forms a transportation network to distribute its protoplasm, the fluidic contents of its cell, throughout its large cell body. In this process, the diameter of the transportation tubes adapts to the flux of the protoplasm. The Physarum algorithm, which mimics this adaptive behavior, has been widely applied to complex problems, such as maze solving and designing the topology of railroad grids, under static conditions. However, in most situations, environmental conditions fluctuate; for example, in power grids, the consumption of electric power shows daily, weekly, and annual periodicity depending on the lifestyles or the business needs of the individual consumers. This paper studies the design of network topology and traffic distribution with oscillatory input and output traffic flows. The network topology proposed by the Physarum algorithm is controlled by a parameter of the adaptation process of the tubes. We observe various topologies such as complete mesh, partial mesh, Y-shaped, and V-shaped networks depending on this adaptation parameter and evaluate them on the basis of three performance functions: loss, cost, and vulnerability. Our results indicate that consideration of the oscillatory conditions and the phase-lags in the multiple outputs of the network is important: The building and/or maintenance cost of the network can be reduced by introducing the oscillating condition, and when the phase-lag among the outputs is large, the transportation loss can also be reduced. We use stability analysis to reveal how the system exhibits various topologies depending on the parameter.
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Introduction
Transportation networks such as power grids are, in general, designed under certain static supply-demand conditions. However, in most situations, whether the network is that of nature or a man-made system, the inputs/outputs into/from the networks fluctuate rather than remain constantly static. One such example is an ant foraging trail network, in which ants cannot constantly prey upon their foods because the activities of the prey animals fluctuate daily or seasonally. The feature also holds for man-made networks. For instance, the number of passengers commuting by rail is maximized in the mornings and evenings and the peak times shift among stations in suburbs and city areas on weekdays. Additionally, the transportation patterns on weekends are quite different from those on weekdays. The second man-made example is power grids. The pattern of electricity consumption is distributed according to the lifestyles or business style of consumers, which was recently confirmed using clustering analysis on a town in Japan [1]. More specifically, the consumption pattern fluctuates daily, weekly, and seasonally, and the peak time depends on the consumers.

Optimization of networks under fluctuating conditions is difficult to be conducted in a straightforward manner by conventional methods within linear- and nonlinear-programming frameworks. In this paper, we propose designing traffic distribution in networks under fluctuating conditions using an algorithm inspired by the organism Physarum. The Physarum algorithm, which mimics the shortest path-finding behavior of the plasmodial slime mold organism [2], formally called Physarum polycephalum, was developed by Tero et al. [3]. The plasmodium of Physarum is a giant amoeba-like unicellular organism. It contains thousands of nuclei, so the cell size can get very large, ranging from 10 μm to 1 m. To distribute protoplasm, including nutrients, oxygen, and organelles, throughout this large cell body, the organism has developed a peculiar transportation network consisting of tubular structures. The diameter of the tubes adapts to the flux of the protoplasm: The tubes on the paths connecting multiple food sites become thick in accordance with the growth of the protoplasmic flow, while the other paths become thin and finally disappear when there is little or no flow. Consequently, the organism is able to generate the shortest paths connecting multiple food sites [2,4]. The Physarum algorithm, which mimics the adaptive behavior of the tubes, has been widely applied to complex problems such as maze solving [2], design of the topology and transportation distribution of railroad grids [3,6] and highway networks [7], and path formation
in wireless sensor networks [8]. Although, in the above examples, it was applied under static conditions, the algorithm can also be applied under fluctuating conditions owing to its adaptive behavior.

This paper studies the design of network topology and traffic distribution under oscillating conditions, which is the simplest type of fluctuating environment. The network consists of nodes and links, which, in power grids for example, correspond to consumers, power plants, electric poles, and power lines. A multiplicity of consumers uses electricity with daily periodicity (oscillating condition). The peak consumption times vary according to the consumers, and are defined by phase lags.

In the Methods section, we outline how the Physarum algorithm is modified to deal with problems involving oscillating conditions and define performance functions. We then present the network designs under oscillating conditions proposed using the Physarum algorithm and evaluate them using our performance functions, in the Results section. In the Discussion section, a stability analysis for a simple network is considered in our discussion of the numeric result. Finally, we discuss the effect of the oscillating condition and the phase lags.

Methods

Physarum Algorithm

In this section, we modify the original Physarum algorithm [3] to deal with the example network depicted in Fig. 1. The shaded and unshaded large circles, respectively, represent nodes for input (denoted as in) and output (denoted as out1,2) of transported materials, such as protoplasm in Physarum, current in power grids, and people in railroad grids. The link \( l_{ij} \) connecting the nodes \( i \) and \( j \) has the following properties: length \( L_{ij} \), conductivity \( D_{ij} \), and traffic volume flux \( Q_{ij} \). Their meanings in each application are summarized in Table 1.

The flux at each node conserves

\[
\sum_i Q_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0, & j \neq \text{in}, \text{out}_{1,2}, \\ -I_{\text{in}}, & j = \text{in}, \\ I_{\text{out}_{1,2}}, & j = \text{out}_{1,2}, \end{cases}
\]  

where \( I_{\text{in}} \) and \( I_{\text{out}_{1,2}} \) are the fluxes at in and out\(_{1,2}\), respectively. The total flux to/from the system should be balanced:

\[
I_{\text{in}} = I_{\text{out}_{1}} + I_{\text{out}_{2}}.
\]  

The flux \( Q_{ij} \) is given by

\[
Q_{ij} = \frac{D_{ij}}{L_{ij}} (p_i - p_j),
\]

where \( p_i \) and \( p_j \) represent, respectively, the pressure at nodes \( i \) and \( j \). Substituting Eq. (3) for Eq. (1), \( p_i \) is obtained under the given \( L_{ij} \)

![Figure 1. Network topology used by the Physarum algorithm for numerical calculation.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0089231.g001)

Table 1. Correspondence of variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>General</th>
<th>Physarum</th>
<th>Power Grid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( L_{ij} )</td>
<td>length of link</td>
<td>length of tube</td>
<td>length of electric wire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_{ij} )</td>
<td>conductivity</td>
<td>tube thickness ((x r_i^*))</td>
<td>electric conductivity ((x r_i^*))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Q_{ij} )</td>
<td>flux of traffic volume</td>
<td>flux of protoplasm</td>
<td>current</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The radius of tubes and wires are represented with \( r_i \).  

\[\text{doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089231.t001}\]
and $D_y$. $Q_y$ is then calculated using Eq. (3) again. In the numerical calculations, $L_y = 1.0$ is set at all links.

As mentioned in the introductory section, the conductance $D_y$ adapts to flux. Therefore, the conductance is assumed to evolve according to the following differential equation:

$$\frac{dD_y}{dt} = f(|Q_y|) - D_y,$$

meaning that the tube grows depending on the flux (the first term on the right hand side of the equation) while it degenerates (the second term). It is natural in biological systems for the growth rate to be saturated by an upper limit. Thus, function $f(\cdot)$ can be defined as a sigmoid function:

$$f(|Q_y|) = \frac{|Q_y|^\mu}{1 + |Q_y|^\mu}.$$  \hfill (5)

This function is widely found in biological cooperative processes [9]. The parameter $\mu$ is the key parameter governing the dynamics of this system. When $\mu > 1$, the tube grows only slightly when the flow is extremely weak, although the growth speed is accelerated when it once starts to grow, then it is finally saturated at one. When $\mu = 1$, the function is categorized into the Michaelis–Menten type, which represents the simplest enzymatic reaction. When $\mu < 1$, $f(\cdot)$ represents fast initial growth and slow saturation, suggesting no meaning related to biological processes.

**Application to Networks with Oscillating Conditions**

The outputs at $out_{1,2}$ are assumed to oscillate as follows:

$$I_{out_1} = 1 + \sin \omega t, \quad I_{out_2} = 1 + \sin (\omega t + \phi),$$  \hfill (6)

where $\omega$ is angular frequency and $\phi$ represents a phase lag between the outputs. In Eq. (6), $\omega = 2\pi \times 10^2$ is assumed so that the period of oscillation $T = 2\pi/\omega = 10^{-2}$ is small enough to the time constant of the degeneration process of $D_y$, which is estimated as one. We confirmed that the outline of the results in this paper is valid over the frequency range $2\pi \times 10^{-1} \leq \omega \leq 2\pi \times 10^2$, namely, over the period range $10^{-7} \leq T \leq 10^1$ (see §1 in File S1 for details).

**Converged Value of $D_y$**

We repeat the computation of Eq. (4) with Eqs. (1)–(3), and Eq. (6) until $D_y$ converges within a certain accuracy. In fact, $D_y$ continues to oscillate slightly even after a long evolution period (Figs. A and B in §1 in File S1). Therefore, the completion of the convergence is judged according to the following criterion.

First, a variation amount of $D_y$ at time $t$ is defined as follows:

$$\epsilon(t) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_y \left\{ D_y(t + nT) - D_y(t) \right\}^2,$$

where $n(=100)$ is the number of cycles in the input/output oscillation, and $m$ is the total number of links. The convergence of $D_y$ is judged to be complete when $\epsilon(t) < \epsilon_c \equiv 10^{-26}$ (the value of $\epsilon_c$ is set by the reasoning below). Consequently, the averaged value $D_y$ at time $t + nT$ is calculated using the following definition:

$$D_y(t) = \frac{1}{T} \int_t^{t + T} D_y(s) \, ds.$$

After the convergence is ascertained, $D_y(t)$ is denoted as $\check{D}_y$. The link is removed ($\check{D}_y$ set to zero) when $D_y$ becomes less than a certain threshold $D_{\min} \equiv 10^{-13}$. The value is sufficiently smaller than the order of the maximum value of $D_y$ ($\sim 10^{-5}$). Finally, the network topologies and traffic distributions (magnitude of conductances) recommended by the Physarum algorithm are obtained (Figs. 2 and 3). Note that the threshold value for judgment of $D_y$-convergence $\epsilon_c$ is sufficiently smaller than $D_{\min}$.

**Performance Functions**

We now introduce three performance functions to evaluate the performance of the networks recommended by the Physarum algorithm: power or transportation loss, building and/or maintenance cost, and vulnerability in network topology.

Loss $P$ is defined using an analogy to electric energy loss, which is calculated with $(current) \times \text{(electric resistance)}$ in a wire. Consequently, the loss for a link $l_y$ is defined as $Q_y^2$ multiplied by $L_y/D_y$ (see also §2 in File S1). The total loss for the network is calculated by summing the loss for each link over all the links as follows:

$$P = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left\{ \sum_y Q_y^2(t) \frac{L_y}{D_y} \right\} \, dt,$$

where the loss is averaged over a period of input/output oscillation because $Q_y$ oscillates.

Cost $B$ is that for building and/or maintaining a network, which is expected to be proportional to the total volume of the network. Because the cross section of each link is proportional to $D_y$ in the case of power grids, as described in Table 1, $B$ is defined as follows:

$$B = \sum_y L_y D_y.$$  \hfill (10)

Note that $B = \sum_y L_y \sqrt{D_y}$ should be adopted when considering the original Physarum network because the relation between conductivity and a tube of radius $r_y$ is described as $D_y \propto r_y^2$ (see also Table 1) [3,6].

Vulnerability $V$ is defined as the probability that the connection $out_1$ or $out_2$ from $in$ is divided when one of the links in the network is randomly deleted. The deletion frequency is assumed to be proportional to the length of the link when $L_y$ is not homogeneous, where the probability is normalized by the total length of the network, $L_{\text{tot}}$. Consequently, the vulnerability is defined as follows:

$$V = \sum_y G_y \frac{L_y}{L_{\text{tot}}},$$

where disconnectivity $G_y$ for a link $l_y$ is set to one if transportation flows out from $in$ can reach neither $out_1$ nor $out_2$; otherwise, it is set to zero.
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and on the initial conditions of \( m \), and the initial conditions of \( m \) of Figs. 3 and S1.

The numerical calculation started from a homogeneous initial condition of \( D_{ij} = 1.0 \) or were distributed according to a normal distribution with mean 1.0 and standard deviation 0.1. Solid and dashed lines of the network diagrams denote surviving and removed links, respectively. A Complete mesh (type 1), \( 0 < \mu < 1 \). B Partial mesh (types 2–5), \( 1 \leq \mu \leq 1.4 \). C V-shaped network (types 6 and 7), \( \mu \geq 1.4 \). D Y-shaped network (type 8), \( \mu \geq 1.8 \). When the initial conditions of \( D_{ij} \) are exactly homogeneous, the V-shaped network appears in the range of \( 1.4 \leq \mu \leq 2.2 \) and the Y-shaped network appears in the range of \( \mu \geq 2.3 \). Type numbers correspond to those of Figs. 3 and S1.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089231.g002

**Results**

We considered two cases, constant and oscillating flux at input and output nodes, and evaluated the network topologies and traffic distributions recommended by the *Physarum* algorithm using the three performance functions.

**Constant Condition**

Before capturing the effect of oscillatory input/output on the network design, we tested the effect with constant input/output. We set the fluxes to constant values, \( I_{in} = 2 \), \( I_{out,out} = 1 \), in Eq. (1). The numerical calculation started from a homogeneous initial condition of \( D_{ij} = 1.0 \) or a non-homogeneous condition according to normal distribution with mean 1.0 and standard deviation 0.1. We observed eight types of network topologies in the parameter range \( 0 < \mu \leq 5.0 \) as shown in Fig. 2.

The network topology changes from dense to sparse depending on \( \mu \). When \( \mu \) is smaller \( \mu \leq 1.4 \), the network forms a mesh accompanied by circular structures (Figs. 2A and 2B). When \( \mu \) becomes larger \( \mu \geq 1.4 \), the network forms a tree structure (Figs. 2C and 2D). The mesh networks are categorized into two types, complete mesh (type 1; Fig. 2A) and partial mesh (types 2–5; Fig. 2B). The tree networks are categorized into two types, V-shaped (types 6 and 7; Fig. 2C) and Y-shaped (type 8; Fig. 2D) networks. The Y-shaped networks appear when \( \mu \geq 1.8 \). The paths from the input are partially shared in the Y-shaped network, while they are directly connected to the two outputs in the V-shaped network.

**Oscillating Condition**

We set the input/output flux oscillating using the definition in Eq. (6). The numerical calculation started from a homogeneous initial condition of \( D_{ij} = 1.0 \) or non-homogeneous conditions according to normal distribution with mean 1.0 and standard deviation 0.1. We observed 20 types of network topologies in the parameter range \( 0 < \mu \leq 5.0 \), as shown in Figs. 3, S1 and S2. In this case, the dependence of network topology on \( \mu \) is similar to that of the constant condition: when \( 0 < \mu < 1 \), complete mesh (type 1) appeared. As \( \mu \) increased over 1, the topology changes to partial mesh (types 2–5 and 9–19). Finally, when \( \mu > 1.5 \), V-shaped (types 6 and 7) or Y-shaped (type 8) networks were observed. It should be noted that the variation of the topologies becomes broader than in the case of the constant condition when \( 1 \leq \mu \leq 1.8 \): a variety of partial meshes, i.e., networks of types 9–19 besides types 2–5, were observed.

The network topology depends not only on \( \mu \) but also on phase lag \( \phi \) and on the initial conditions of \( D_{ij} \). The characteristics are particularly evident in \( \mu > 1 \). Figure 4 shows the network types observed according to \( \mu \), \( \phi \), and the initial conditions of \( D_{ij} \). For \( \mu \leq 1.7 \), primarily partial meshes were observed (see Fig. S2 for details). Dependence of the topology on \( \phi \) can be seen more clearly when \( \mu \geq 1.7 \): the V-shaped network is more frequently observed.

![Figure 2. Dependence of network topology under constant input and output.](image)

Initial values of \( D_{ij} \) were either set as homogeneous \( (D_{ij} = 1.0 \) for all links) or were distributed according to a normal distribution with mean 1.0 and standard deviation 0.1. Solid and dashed lines of the network diagrams denote surviving and removed links, respectively. A Complete mesh (type 1), \( 0 < \mu < 1 \). B Partial mesh (types 2–5), \( 1 \leq \mu \leq 1.4 \). C V-shaped network (types 6 and 7), \( \mu \geq 1.4 \). D Y-shaped network (type 8), \( \mu \geq 1.8 \). When the initial conditions of \( D_{ij} \) are exactly homogeneous, the V-shaped network appears in the range of \( 1.4 \leq \mu \leq 2.2 \) and the Y-shaped network appears in the range of \( \mu \geq 2.3 \). Type numbers correspond to those of Figs. 3 and S1.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089231.g002

![Figure 3. Network types calculated with oscillating inputs and outputs.](image)

A type number is assigned to each topology. The full list of network topologies is represented in Fig. S1. The data are those for the homogeneous initial conditions of \( D_{ij} \). The plots of mesh, partial mesh, V-shaped and Y-shaped networks, are colored in black, red, blue, and green, respectively. The dependence of type of partial mesh on \( \phi \) is shown in Fig. S2.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089231.g003
Figure 4. Relation between network types and the parameters $\mu$ and $\phi$ under oscillating conditions. A Homogeneous initial condition of $D_{ij}=1.0$. B–D Examples of non-homogeneous initial condition of $D_{ij}$: Initial values of $D_{ij}$ were distributed according to a normal distribution with mean $1.0$ and standard deviation $0.1$. Black, gray, and white squares denote partial mesh, V-shaped and Y-shaped networks, respectively. The specific type-number of partial mesh depends on both parameters $\mu$ and $\phi$ (Fig. S2), and also on the initial condition of $D_{ij}$, which is not shown here in detail. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089231.g004
Figure 5. Performance depending on parameters $\mu$ and $\phi$. A Loss $P$. B Cost $B$. C Vulnerability $V$. Circles, triangles and squares denote performances when $\phi=0, \pi/2, \pi$, respectively. The crosses in C denote the performances of the constant condition. The data are those for the homogeneous initial conditions of $D_{ij}$. The case starting from non-homogeneous initial conditions is demonstrated in §3 in File S1.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089231.g005
when the two outputs are in phase ($\phi \approx 0$) and the observation ratio of the Y-shaped network increases accordingly as the lag approaches anti-phase ($\phi \approx \pi$). Note that the dependence of the topology on $\phi$ is also subject to the initial distribution of $D_{ij}$ in detail (compare the diagrams A of homogeneous condition and B–D of three different non-homogeneous conditions in Fig. 4).

**Evaluation of the Networks**

Figure 5 shows the performances $P$, $B$, and $V$ estimated for each combination of parameters $\mu$ and $\phi$, where each network is calculated from the homogeneous initial conditions of $D_{ij}$. Smaller values mean better performances in these analyses. Loss $P$ increases until around $\mu = 1.7$, then slightly decreases, irrespective of $\phi$, as shown in Fig. 5A. Notably, $P$ for $\phi = \pi$ is clearly always smaller than those for $\phi = 0$ and $\pi/2$. The discontinuity in the plots

---

**Figure 6. Comparison of the performances for the networks designed under constant and oscillatory conditions.**

A Ratio in loss, $P_o/P_c$. B Ratio in cost, $B_o/B_c$. Circles, triangles, and squares respectively denote $\phi = 0, \pi/2, \pi$. The data are those for the homogeneous initial conditions of $D_{ij}$.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089231.g006
for \( \phi = 0 \) when \( 4 \leq \mu \leq 4.4 \) is caused by the discontinuous change of network topology. Cost \( B \) decreases rapidly until around \( \mu \approx 1 \), then it becomes almost constant, as shown in Fig. 5B. Vulnerability \( V \) equals 0 when \( \mu \leq 1.5 \), as shown in Fig. 5C because the network includes circular structures (Figs. 2A and B). As \( \mu \) exceeds around 1.5, \( V \) jumps to 1.0 because the network includes no circular structure. In conclusion, the network is well balanced at \( 1 \leq \mu \leq 1.5 \). The results for the non-homogeneous initial conditions of \( D_{ij} \) are valid for virtually the same feature as in the case for the homogeneous conditions (see §3 in File S1 for details).

**Benefit Derived from the Introduction of Oscillatory Condition**

To investigate the benefit derived from the introduction of the oscillatory condition, we calculated the ratio of the performances between the constant and oscillatory input/output, as shown in Fig. 6. Note that the performance \( P_c \) and \( B_c \) were estimated with oscillatory input/output against the networks obtained under constant condition by the Physarum algorithm. The performances \( P_o \) and \( B_o \) were estimated with oscillatory input/output against the networks obtained under the oscillatory condition, which are the same as those of Fig. 5.

A ratio with value smaller than 1.0 suggests that the performance of the network considering the oscillatory condition is better. Loss \( P \) for the oscillatory condition is better than that for the constant condition only when \( 1.4 \leq \mu \leq 1.5 \). In contrast, cost \( B \) almost always shows better performance in the oscillatory condition. The cost can be reduced to about 80% in the best performance. The effect of vulnerability is captured in Fig. 5C: Vulnerability is improved by considering the oscillatory condition when \( 1.3 \leq \mu \leq 1.8 \).

**Discussion**

**Stability Analysis of Network Topology**

To understand the parameter dependence of the network topology, we conducted stability analyses of network topologies and estimation of their basin size against a network with small compositions of nodes and links (Fig. 7).

In this subsection, the notation of link \( l_{ij} \) is redefined as \( l_k \). In accordance with this definition, the equations for conductances \( D_k \) are rewritten instead of using Eq.(4) as follows:

\[
\frac{dD_k}{dt} = f((Q_k) - D_k), \quad k = 1, \cdots, 5. \tag{12}
\]

**Figure 7. The simple network used for stability analysis.** The link lengths were set as \( L_1 = L_2 = L_3 = 1.0 \) and \( L_4 = L_5 = 2.0 \) so that any path length from \( \text{in} \) to \( \text{out} \) is 2.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089231.g007

**Figure 8. Twelve equilibria for the network in Fig. 7 represented in network-topology form.** A Complete mesh, B–F partial mesh, G Y-shaped network, H–J V-shaped network, K and L the others.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089231.g008
The equation (6) is redefined as \( I_{\text{out}} = 0.5(1 + \sin(\sigma t)) \), where the magnitude of the input/output flux is set as half of those in Eq. (6) because the network size is now reduced. In Eq. (12), \( D_k \) has two time scales: slow and fast. The fast time scale is caused by \( k \), which gives fluctuations with small amplitude to \( D_k \). Accumulation of the small asymmetric fluctuations finally derives a slow drift in \( D_k \). The final network topology must be determined mainly by the slow dynamics. Therefore, \( D_k \) can be averaged over a period of the fast dynamics when we focus only on slow dynamics, which is denoted as \( D_k \) hereafter. The slow dynamics of \( D_k \) can be written as follows:

\[
\frac{dD_k}{dt} = f_k - D_k = g_k
\]

where \( f_k \) is obtained by numerical integration of \( f(\cdot) \) according to the above definition using Eqs. (1)–(3), (5). The integration of \( f(t) \) over the period of output oscillation in Eq. (13) depends on \( \phi \) because of the nonlinearity of the function (see §4 in File S1 for details).

We obtained 12 equilibria of \( D' \), as summarized in Fig. 8, where the topologies are drawn based on the magnitude of the elements' values, \( D_1, \ldots, D_5 \). The magnitudes of individual elements of \( D' \) determine the topology of the network. Note that \( Q_k \), and also \( f_k \), are a function of \( D_k \) owing to Eq.(3). Therefore, we solved equation \( g_k = 0 \) using Newton's method, where \( f_k \) is obtained by numerical integration of \( f(\cdot) \) according to the above definition using Eqs. (1)–(3), (5). The integration of \( f(t) \) over the period of output oscillation in Eq. (13) depends on \( \phi \) because of the nonlinearity of the function (see §4 in File S1 for details).

We conducted linear stability analysis for each equilibrium \( D' \). The Jacobian matrix \( J \) of \( g = (g_1, \ldots, g_5) \) is defined using Eq. (13) as follows:

\[
\frac{dD_k}{dt} = f_k - D_k = g_k
\]

The steady state of Eq. (13), \( \frac{dD_k}{dt} = 0 \), is considered then the solutions of the equation \( g_k = 0 \), namely equilibria, are denoted as \( D' = (D_1', \ldots, D_5') \). The magnitudes of individual elements of \( D' \) determine the topology of the network. Note that \( Q_k \), and also \( f_k \), are a function of \( D_k \) owing to Eq.(3). Therefore, we solved equation \( g_k = 0 \) using Newton's method, where \( f_k \) is obtained by numerical integration of \( f(\cdot) \) according to the above definition using Eqs. (1)–(3), (5). The integration of \( f(t) \) over the period of output oscillation in Eq. (13) depends on \( \phi \) because of the nonlinearity of the function (see §4 in File S1 for details).

The equation (6) is redefined as \( I_{\text{out}} = 0.5(1 + \sin(\sigma t)) \), where the magnitude of the input/output flux is set as half of those in Eq. (6) because the network size is now reduced. In Eq. (12), \( D_k \) has two time scales: slow and fast. The fast time scale is caused by \( \sigma \), which gives fluctuations with small amplitude to \( D_k \). Accumulation of the small asymmetric fluctuations finally derives a slow drift in \( D_k \). The final network topology must be determined mainly by the slow dynamics. Therefore, \( D_k \) can be averaged over a period of the fast dynamics when we focus only on slow dynamics, which is denoted as \( D_k \) hereafter. The slow dynamics of \( D_k \) can be written as follows:

\[
\frac{dD_k}{dt} = f_k - D_k = g_k
\]

where \( f_k \) is obtained by numerical integration of \( f(\cdot) \) according to the above definition using Eqs. (1)–(3), (5). The integration of \( f(t) \) over the period of output oscillation in Eq. (13) depends on \( \phi \) because of the nonlinearity of the function (see §4 in File S1 for details).

We conducted linear stability analysis for each equilibrium \( D' \). The Jacobian matrix \( J \) of \( g = (g_1, \ldots, g_5) \) is defined using Eq. (13) as follows:

\[
\frac{dD_k}{dt} = f_k - D_k = g_k
\]
Table 2. Evaluation of the network type for each item.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>μ</th>
<th>Network</th>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>Loss</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Vulnerability</th>
<th>Cascading</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
<td>Complete mesh</td>
<td>A⁺</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>A⁺</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0–1.4</td>
<td>Partial mesh</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A⁺</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1.4</td>
<td>V-shaped or Y-shaped</td>
<td>B or C</td>
<td>A⁺</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>A⁺</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A⁺: best, A: good, B: acceptable, C: bad.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089231.t002

\[ J_{kl} = \frac{\partial g_k}{\partial D_l}, \quad k,l = 1, \ldots, 5 \]
\[ = \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial D_l} - \lambda_k \] \hspace{1cm} (14)
\[ = \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial D_l} - 1 \quad (k = l), \]
\[ = \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial D_l} \quad (k \neq l). \]

Because it is difficult to calculate Eq. (14) directly, we estimated the Jacobian matrix at \( D^* \) (denoted as \( J^* \) hereafter) using the following approximate form:

\[ \frac{\partial^2 f_k}{\partial D_l \partial D_l} \bigg|_{D^*} = \frac{\tilde{f}_k(D^* + \Delta D_l) - \tilde{f}_k(D^*)}{|\Delta D_l|}, \]

(15)

where \( \Delta D_l \) is a vector with an \( l \)-th element valued \( \delta \) and the others zero, e.g., \( \Delta D_2 = (0, \delta, 0, 0, 0) \). For the numerical calculation, \( \delta = 10^{-5} \) was used. We then calculated the eigenvalues for \( J^* \), \( \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_5 \). When \( \max[\text{Re}(\lambda_1), \ldots, \text{Re}(\lambda_5)] < 0 \), the equilibrium \( D^* \) is determined as stable.

The above method is not appropriate to examine whether the V-shaped network (Fig. 8G) is globally stable because changing the V-shaped network (Fig. 8G) to other network types, such as complete or partial mesh (Fig. 8A, D, E, or F), requires at least two additional links. In Eq. (14), only a single additional link can be considered. Therefore, instead of calculating eigenvalues, we estimate a time constant \( \lambda_{tc} \) converging to \( D^* \) from a vicinity. We tested four combinations of deviations from the V-shaped equilibrium, \((\delta, \delta, \delta, -\delta), (\delta, \delta, -\delta, \delta), (\delta, -\delta, \delta, -\delta), (\delta, -\delta, -\delta, \delta)\). Finally, we defined the maximum time constant as \( \lambda_{tc} \).

Figure 10 summarizes the dependence of the maximum eigenvalues \( \lambda_{max} \) (or \( \lambda_{tc} \) for the V-shaped network) on the parameter \( \mu \). The single stable equilibrium, complete mesh, is found in the region of \( \mu \leq 1.0 \). The complete mesh remains stable over \( \mu > 1.0 \) followed by participation of the Y-shaped, V-shaped, and partial mesh networks. The complete and partial meshes become unstable when \( \mu \) exceeds 1.3. The stability change from complete mesh, via partial mesh, to Y-shaped or V-shaped network resembles that of the larger network (Fig. 3). However, no significant difference can be found in the features of the stability among different phase-lags \( \phi = 0, \pi/2 \) and \( \pi \) (Fig. S3) while appearance of Y-shaped or V-shaped network apparently depends on \( \phi \) in the larger network, as seen in Fig. 4. The dependence would be caused by the difference in the basin sizes between the Y-shaped and V-shaped networks. Figure 9 shows the observation ratio of the Y-shaped and the V-shaped networks. Both types are always observed but the ratio of the Y-shaped network increases in accordance with \( \phi \). The change in basin size depending on \( \phi \) could explain the observation that the Y-shaped network is more frequently observed in anti-phase lag in the larger network, as seen in Fig. 4.

Summary and Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed using the Physarum algorithm to design transportation network topologies and traffic distribution under oscillating conditions. The results of numerical experiments indicate that this approach is valid and has the following benefits:

1) Only one parameter \( \mu \) can control the morphology of the network. The client using the network can choose a particular parameter according to which they consider to be the most important among loss, cost, and vulnerability.

2) By introducing oscillating condition, building and/or maintenance cost is reduced to a maximum of 80% that of cases in which conditions are static.

3) Phase lag among outputs results in a wide variety of network morphology when \( \mu > 1 \) (sigmoidal growth in the conductance).

Table 2 summarizes the first item. Partial mesh can be recommended when the client requests a system with loss, cost, and vulnerability well-balanced. The third index, vulnerability, should be noted when considering power grids. The meshed network has a low vulnerability index but it includes loop connections, which are prone to cascading failure problems. When some nodes or links in a meshed network are damaged, the current that would normally go through those links must be distributed to the surrounding links. However, if the current goes beyond the capacity of the surrounding links, the damage
propagates rapidly to the outer surrounding links. This results in large-scale blackouts [10,11]. Considering these phenomena, V-shaped and Y-shaped networks are recommended rather than partial mesh. For railroads and highways, in which cascades need not be considered, partial mesh can be recommended. The cascading problem was not treated as a performance function in this paper because, for the sake of simplicity, the capacity of the current for each link was not considered. This will be dealt with in future work.

For the second item, if a client considers the reduction of power loss more important than building and maintenance cost, a network that is designed under static conditions is recommended. The recommendation can be reversed by considering the third item, phase lag. Then, the problem of loss can be overcome.

For the third item, the Y-shaped network is observable more frequently than the V-shaped network as the phase lag gets larger when \( \mu > 1.4 \). This topological selection delivers a maximum of 20% loss reduction to the system. Notably, the loss decreases when the lag approaches anti-phase away from in-phase, as shown in Fig. 11. This result theoretically supports a justification of the “peak shift” action developed in Japan for reducing electric power after the Fukushima nuclear disaster in 2011. The peak shift action shifts usage of electricity from on-peak to off-peak periods. This allows the electric power consumption in power grid systems to flatten during the day and to be reduced in peak periods. By introducing this action, the number of standby power plants can be reduced: Such the plants, e.g., thermal ones, are on standby to regulate power generation flexibly and to avert power shortages in peak periods. Our results suggest that peak shift action contributes to a reduction in not only the number of standby power plants but also in power loss in the grid.

Natural systems may gain advantages by self-organizing their network. Argentine ants are known to make supercolonies, which consist of multiple colonies with a single family. They form V-shaped or Y-shaped trails connecting the multiple colonies [12]. Army ants build dendritic trails—large-scaled Y-shaped branching structures [13]. Tao et al. showed, by a computer simulation, that ants selected the optimum way without any systematic plan long before humans analyze such as these.

**Supporting Information**

**Figure S1** Full list of network topologies with oscillating condition. The topology number corresponds to that of Figs. 2 and 3.

**Figure S2** Relation between the types of partial mesh and \( \phi \). \( 1 \leq \mu \leq 1.9 \). The type number corresponds to that of Fig. S1.

**Figure S3** Maximum eigenvalues depending on \( \mu \) when \( \phi = 0, \pi/2, \pi. A \phi = 0, B \phi = \pi/2, C \phi = \pi \). Circles, triangles, and squares, respectively, denote \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) at the equilibria of complete mesh (Fig. 8A), partial mesh (Fig. 8E), and Y-shaped (Fig. 8G). Crosses represent \( \lambda_{\text{eq}} \) for V-shaped (Fig. 8H) networks.

**File S1 Footnotes.**

**Acknowledgments**

We thank Y. Hayashi, H. Shen, H. Hino, N. Murata, and S. Wakao of Waseda University for useful discussions about power grids and electricity consumption patterns. We also thank R. Kobayashi and K. Ito of Hiroshima University for stimulating discussions about the *Physarum* transportation network and ant foraging trails.

**Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: AT SW. Performed the experiments: SW. Analyzed the data: SW. Wrote the paper: SW AT.

**References**