**S7 Table. Abiotic and Biotic Variables Influencing Ranavirosis Prevalence for Criteria 2 Excluding Winter Mortalities**. Estimates, unconditional standard error and confidence intervals for each parameter from model averaging of the top ranking models (Δ <6) for ranavirosis prevalence for criteria 2 [1] but with winter mortalities excluded, as per criteria 1 [2]. Parameters with confidence intervals that do not span zero help explain ranavirosis prevalence (bolded). Negative estimates indicate a negative association between the variable and ranavirosis prevalence and positive estimates indicate a positive association between the variable and ranavirosis prevalence. Spatial position of the mortality event significantly contributed to the model fit (χ2 27.18=679, p<0.001). Deviance explained by the model was 15.3%, n=653.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Parameter** | **Estimate** | **Unconditional SE** | **Confidence Interval 2.5%** | **Confidence Interval****97.5%** |
| Intercept | -0.105  | 0.076 | -0.254 | 0.044 |
| **Frog density** | **0.224** | **0.024**  | **0.178** | **0.270** |
| **Toad presence** | **-0.242** | **0.028** | **-0.296** | **-0.188** |
| **Newt presence** | **0.100** | **0.023** | **0.054** | **0.146** |
| **Fish presence** | **0.153** | **0.031** | **0.092** | **0.214** |
| Fish care | -0.017 | 0.036 | -0.088 | 0.055 |
| **Herbicide** | **0.155** | **0.032** | **0.092** | **0.219** |
| **Slug pellets** | **0.091** | **0.030** | **0.033** | **0.150** |
| Level of urbanisation | -0.060 | 0.033 | -0.124 | 0.005 |
| **Pond depth** | **0.098** | **0.026** | **0.048** | **0.149** |
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