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## SUMMARY

The bi-directional permeability of three test compounds was examined in an MDR1-MDCK system. Test compounds RO-15-0216-001-004 and RO-15-6547-000-001 were classified as having a low brain penetration potential, while test compound Fexinidazole was classified as having a high brain penetration potential.
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## 1. OBJECTIVE

The objective of this study was to determine the bi-directional MDR1-MDCK permeability and efflux limited absorption potential of three test compounds.

## 2. PERMEABILITY, MDR1-MDCK

MDR1-MDCK monolayers were grown to confluence on collagen-coated, microporous, polycarbonate membranes in 12-well Costar Transwell ${ }^{\circledR}$ plates. Details of the plates and their certification are shown below. The permeability assay buffer for the donor chamber was Hanks Balanced Salt Solution containing 10 mM HEPES and 15 mM glucose at a pH of 7.4. The buffer in the receiver chamber also contained $1 \%$ Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA). The test compound dosing concentrations were $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in the assay buffer. The cells were dosed on the apical side (A-toB) or basolateral side (B-to-A) and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in a humidified incubator. After two hours, aliquots were taken from the receiver and donor chambers. Each determination was performed in duplicate. The Lucifer Yellow flux was also measured for each monolayer after being subjected to the test compounds to ensure no damage was inflicted to the cell monolayers during the flux period. All samples were assayed by LC/MS/MS using electrospray ionization. Analytical conditions are outlined in Attachment I. The apparent permeability, $\mathrm{P}_{\text {app }}$, and percent recovery were calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{app}}=\left(d \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{r}} / d \mathrm{t}\right) \times \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r}} /(\mathrm{A} \times \mathrm{C}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Percent Recovery }=100 \times\left(\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r}} \times \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\text {final }}\right)+\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{d}} \times \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\text {final }}\right)\right) /\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{d}} \times \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$d \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{r}} / d \mathrm{t}$ is the slope of the cumulative concentration in the receiver compartment versus time in $\mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{s}{ }^{-1}$.
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r}}$ is the volume of the receiver compartment in $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$.
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{d}}$ is the volume of the donor compartment in $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$.
A is the area of the cell monolayer ( $1.13 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ for 12 -well Transwell ${ }^{\circledR}$ ).
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}$ is the nominal concentration of the dosing solution in $\mu \mathrm{M}$.
C is the average of the nominal dosing concentration and the measured concentration in the donor chamber at 2 hours.
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{r}}{ }^{\text {final }}$ is the cumulative receiver concentration in $\mu \mathrm{M}$ at the end of the incubation period.
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{\text {final }}$ is the concentration of the donor in $\mu \mathrm{M}$ at the end of the incubation period.

| Plate: | TW12 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seed Date: | 9/11/06 PSK |  |
| Passage \#: | 26 |  |
| Age (days): | 9 |  |
|  |  | Acceptance Criteria |
| TEER Value ( $\Omega \cdot \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ): | 1819 | >1200 |
| Lucifer Yellow $\mathrm{P}_{\text {app }}, \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$ : | 0.12 | $<0.40$ |
| Atenolol $\mathrm{P}_{\text {app }}, \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$ : | 0.09 | $<0.50$ |
| Propranolol $\mathrm{P}_{\text {app }}, \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$ : | 17 | 10-30 |
| Digoxin (A-B) $\mathrm{P}_{\text {app }}, \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$ : | 0.13 | None |
| Digoxin (B-A) $\mathrm{P}_{\text {app }}, \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$ : | 8.83 | None |
| Digoxin ( $\mathrm{B}^{\text {A }} \mathrm{P}_{\text {app }}$ ) / $\left(\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}^{\text {app }}\right.$ ): | 68.8 | >10 |

Table 2.1 Recovery and Apparent Permeability $\left(10^{-6} \mathbf{c m} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ of Test Compounds

| Test Compound Identification | Percent Recovery (B) |  | $\mathbf{P a p p}_{\text {ap }}, \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{P a p p}^{\text {a }}$ B $\rightarrow$ A |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \frac{\mathbf{P}_{\text {app }} \mathbf{B - A}}{\mathbf{P}_{\text {app }} \mathbf{A - B}} \\ \text { Ratio } \end{gathered}$ | Brain <br> Penetration Potential |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ | Rep. 1 | Rep. 2 | Avg | Rep. 1 | Rep. 2 | Avg |  |  |
| RO-15-0216-001-004 | 90 | 97 | 3.93 | 4.08 | 4.00 | 66.1 | 68.0 | 67.0 | 17 | Low |
| RO-15-6547-000-001 | 95 | 96 | 2.01 | 2.27 | 2.14 | 57.5 | 70.8 | 64.1 | 30 | Low |
| Fexinidazole | 71 | 77 | 58.9 | 62.4 | 60.6 | 53.6 | 56.6 | 55.1 | 0.9 | High |

${ }^{(A)}$ Brain Penetration Classification:

$$
P_{\text {app }}(\text { A-to-B }) \geq 3.0 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s} \text { and Efflux }<3.0: \quad \text { High }
$$

$$
P_{\text {app }}(\text { A-to-B }) \geq 3.0 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s} \text { and } 10.0>\text { Efflux }>3.0: \quad \text { Moderate }
$$

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\text {app }}(\text { A-to-B }) \geq 3.0 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s} \text { and Efflux }>10.0: \quad \text { Low }
$$

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\text {app }}(\text { A-to-B })<3.0 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}: \quad \text { Low }
$$

${ }^{(B)}$ Low recoveries caused by non-specific binding, etc. can affect the measured permeability.

## COMMENTS

Test compounds RO-15-0216-001-004 and RO-15-6547-000-001 were classified as having a low brain penetration potential, primarily due to excessive efflux. Fexinidazole was classified as having a high brain penetration potential.
All cell monolayers passed the post-experiment Lucifer Yellow integrity test (NB\# AS521, pages 90-91).

## ATTACHMENT I

## Liquid Chromatography

Column:
M.P. Buffer:

Aqueous Reservoir (A):
Organic Reservoir (B): $\quad 90 \%$ acetonitrile, $10 \%$ buffer
Flow Rate: $\quad 300 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ minute
Gradient Program:

| Time (Min) | \% A | \% B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 100 | 0 |
| 1.5 | 0 | 100 |
| 2.0 | 0 | 100 |
| 2.1 | 100 | 0 |
| 3.5 | 100 | 0 |

Total Run Time: $\quad 3.5 \mathrm{~min}$

Autosampler: $\quad 10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Injection Volume
Autosampler Wash: water/acetonitrile/2-propanol: $1 / 1 / 1$; with $0.2 \%$ formic acid

## Mass Spectrometer

Instrument: PE SCIEX API 2000
Interface: Electrospray ("Turbo Ionspray")
Mode: $\quad$ Multiple Reaction Monitoring
Method: $\quad 3.5$ minute duration

Settings:

| Compound | Q1/Q3 | DP | FP | EP | CE | CXP | IS | TEM | GS1 | GS2 | CUR | CAD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RO-15-0216-001-004 | $+334.1 / 58.0$ | 66 | 200 | 10 | 43 | 10 | 5500 | 500 | 40 | 80 | 20 | 4 |
| RO-15-6547-000-001 | $+360.1 / 84.1$ | 66 | 200 | 10 | 44 | 4 | 5500 | 500 | 40 | 80 | 20 | 4 |
| Fexinidazole | $+280.3 / 140.3$ | 87 | 200 | 10 | 24 | 7 | 5500 | 500 | 40 | 80 | 20 | 4 |

