

Human Probing Behavior of *Aedes aegypti* when Infected with a Life-Shortening Strain of *Wolbachia*

Luciano A. Moreira^{1,2}, Emad Saig¹, Andrew P. Turley¹, José M. C. Ribeiro³, Scott L. O'Neill¹, Elizabeth A. McGraw^{1*}

1 School of Biological Sciences, The University of Queensland, St. Lucia, Queensland, Australia, **2** Laboratory of Malaria, Rene Rachou Research Center, CPqRR-FIOCRUZ, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil, **3** Laboratory of Malaria and Vector Research, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Rockville, Maryland, United States of America

Abstract

Background: Mosquitoes are vectors of many serious pathogens in tropical and sub-tropical countries. Current control strategies almost entirely rely upon insecticides, which increasingly face the problems of high cost, increasing mosquito resistance and negative effects on non-target organisms. Alternative strategies include the proposed use of inherited life-shortening agents, such as the *Wolbachia* bacterium. By shortening mosquito vector lifespan, *Wolbachia* could potentially reduce the vectorial capacity of mosquito populations. We have recently been able to stably transfect *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes with the life-shortening *Wolbachia* strain wMelPop, and are assessing various aspects of its interaction with the mosquito host to determine its likely impact on pathogen transmission as well as its potential ability to invade *A. aegypti* populations.

Methodology/Principal Findings: Here we have examined the probing behavior of *Wolbachia*-infected mosquitoes in an attempt to understand both the broader impact of *Wolbachia* infection on mosquito biology and, in particular, vectorial capacity. The probing behavior of wMelPop-infected mosquitoes at four adult ages was examined and compared to uninfected controls during video-recorded feeding trials on a human hand. *Wolbachia*-positive insects, from 15 days of age, showed a drastic increase in the time spent pre-probing and probing relative to uninfected controls. Two other important features for blood feeding, saliva volume and apyrase content of saliva, were also studied.

Conclusions/Significance: As *A. aegypti* infected with wMelPop age, they show increasing difficulty in completing the process of blood feeding effectively and efficiently. *Wolbachia*-infected mosquitoes on average produced smaller volumes of saliva that still contained the same amount of apyrase activity as uninfected mosquitoes. These effects on blood feeding behavior may reduce vectorial capacity and point to underlying physiological changes in *Wolbachia*-infected mosquitoes.

Citation: Moreira LA, Saig E, Turley AP, Ribeiro JMC, O'Neill SL, et al. (2009) Human Probing Behavior of *Aedes aegypti* when Infected with a Life-Shortening Strain of *Wolbachia*. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 3(12): e568. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000568

Editor: Cheng-Chen Chen, National Yang-Ming University, Taiwan

Received: August 19, 2009; **Accepted:** November 9, 2009; **Published:** December 15, 2009

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Public Domain declaration which stipulates that, once placed in the public domain, this work may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose.

Funding: This research was supported by grants from the Foundation for the National Institutes of Health through the Grand Challenges in Global Health Initiative of the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (SLO) and The National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia (EAM and SLO). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: e.mcgraw@uq.edu.au

Introduction

Insect transmitted diseases such as malaria and dengue occur in more than 100 countries worldwide, placing at risk around half the world's population. The disease burden is high with more than 500 million cases each year. Despite various vector control measures, there continues to be emergence and resurgence of these diseases [1]. *Aedes aegypti* is the main vector of dengue fever causing millions of cases and thousands of deaths each year. Vector control is the only method for dengue and dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF) prevention, however current strategies are failing to prevent the increasing global incidence of dengue fever [2]. The development of practical alternative strategies to control dengue, which could be used in conjunction with current measures, is much needed.

Recently our group reported the successful stable infection of *A. aegypti* with the wMelPop *Wolbachia* strain that reduces insect

lifespan [3]. *Wolbachia* is an inherited bacterium able to manipulate the insect host's reproductive biology [4] in a manner that promotes its rapid spread through insect populations [5]. Releasing *Wolbachia*-infected mosquitoes that could initiate an invasion of *Wolbachia* into a wild mosquito population [6] and that resulted in reduced lifespan of wild mosquitoes could theoretically greatly reduce transmission of dengue virus. This is because only old mosquitoes transmit the virus [3,7,8]. In addition to lifespan reduction, we have recently shown that the wMelPop infection substantially reduces dengue load in *A. aegypti* mosquitoes [9] and reduces their ability to successfully obtain blood meals as they age [10].

Mosquitoes rely on chemical and physical cues (e.g. carbon dioxide, body odors, air movement and heat) to locate suitable hosts for feeding [11,12] and this complex set of activities is known as host-seeking behavior [13,14]. Once the host is located, the mosquito must quickly obtain blood to avoid any host defensive

Author Summary

Mosquitoes transmit diseases when they are actively searching for a source of blood. This so called probing behavior comprises the “searching” time, the beginning of the feeding process until the first sign of blood can be seen within the insect body. The manipulation of this behavior can have important consequences for the mosquito’s ability to transmit pathogens, such as dengue virus or *Plasmodium*. In this study we examined the probing behavior of the main vector of dengue viruses, *Aedes aegypti*, when infected with an intracellular bacterium, *Wolbachia pipientis*. This bacterium alters the probing behavior of older mosquitoes such that they take longer to find a feeding site and longer to imbibe blood, which may make them more susceptible to human defense responses. The bacterium appears to reduce mosquito feeding success by preventing the mosquito from successfully inserting its stylet into human skin. The old age onset of reduced mosquito feeding success due to *Wolbachia* could selectively promote a reduction in dengue transmission.

behavior [15,16]. Behavior during feeding can then be divided into the stages of pre-probing (foraging) [17] and probing (feeding) activities [18]. After finding a suitable blood vessel and thrusting its stylet into the host skin [19], the saliva plays an important role in preventing blood clotting, through the anti-platelet aggregation activity of the enzyme apyrase [20,21] and other antihemostatic and anti-inflammatory compounds [19]. Insect vector competence for the transmission of viruses and parasites is dependent upon the successful execution of these upstream steps in the process.

Here we report the results of an examination of the effects of *wMelPop* infection on pre-probing behavior, probing behavior, saliva production, and apyrase content of saliva of *A. aegypti*. The goals of the study were to identify possible mechanisms for the insect’s reduced ability to obtain a blood meal with age and further evaluate the capacity for *Wolbachia* infection to reduce vector competence.

Methods

Ethics statement

This study was conducted according to the principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki. The study was approved by the Medical Research Ethics Committee at the University of Queensland (Project #2007001379). Volunteers were made aware of the risks of bloodfeeding (allergy and discomfort) and the plans to analyze and publish all data prior to providing written consent to participate in the study.

Mosquitoes

Aedes aegypti mosquitoes, *wMelPop* infected (PGYP1) and its Tetracycline-cured counterpart (PGYP1.tet) [3], were kept in a controlled environment insectary at 25 °C and 80% RH. Larvae were maintained with fish food pellets (Tetramin, Tetra) and adults were offered 10% sucrose solution, *ad libitum*. Adult females were fed on human blood (UQ human ethics approval 2007001379) for egg production and eggs were dried for at least 96 h prior to hatching.

Behavior assays

Fertilized and non-blood fed females of different ages (5, 15, 26 and 35 days old) were used in all behavior experiments. Sucrose

solutions were removed from cages on the night before the experiments. Forty females were used per age and per infectious status. Single mosquitoes were transferred to a transparent Perspex cage (25 cm³) and filmed through a digital camera with 6mm Microlens (IEEE-1394, Point Grey Research) mounted on a tripod. Mosquitoes were given about five minutes to settle within the cage before a human gloved-hand was inserted into the cage. A window of about 15 cm² was cut of the upper part of the latex glove in order to delineate the probing field.

Movies were recorded (QuickTime Player) for a maximum of 10 minutes or until blood was seen within the mosquito midgut and sub-sequentially watched for time calculations. Two electronic timers were used, one for recording pre-probing time and the second for probing time. Pre-probing time was defined and the time since the mosquito has landed on the bare hand area until the insertion of mouthparts into the human skin. Probing time is defined as the initial insertion of insect mouthparts until blood can be seen within the mosquito midgut through the abdominal pleura [21]. Timing stopped when mosquitoes left the bare hand area or withdrew their mouthparts before taking blood and began again when the mosquito came back or after subsequent stylet penetration. If blood was not found by the end of 10 minutes, we defined this case as unsuccessful probing and it was measured as a proportion. Movies were also used to visualize additional abnormal phenotypes as the jittering action of mosquito body while landed on top of the human hand, and named “shaky”. Furthermore, the inability of mosquitoes to insert they mouthparts due to a bendy proboscis was also analyzed. The bendy phenotype was recently described by Turley et al [10].

Mosquito saliva collection

Mosquitoes of different ages (5, 26 and 35-days-old) and infectious status were starved overnight (without sucrose solution or water). On the following morning mosquitoes were briefly anesthetized with CO₂ and placed on a glass plate over ice. Wings and legs were removed with forceps and their proboscis introduced into a 1cm piece of polypropylene tubing (0.61×0.28mm, Microtube Extrusions, NSW, Australia) (modified from [21]). Females were allowed to salivate for 5 minutes and then the diameter of the saliva droplets was measured through an ocular micrometer at 40× magnification. Volumes were calculated via the sphere formula [22]. Saliva was then collected into 20 μL of 0.05mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5 by attaching the needle of a 10 μL Hamilton syringe and rinsing the tubing content a few times. Samples were centrifuged at 14,000g for 2 minutes and kept frozen (−80°C) in 20 μL of 0.05mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5 for enzymatic assay (see below).

Apyrase assay

Saliva samples (8 μL) were transferred, in duplicates, into individual wells of a plastic 96-well ELISA plate (NUNC Maxisorp). For the blank, 8 μL of the 0.05mM Tris buffer was added to the wells. To each well, 100 μL was added of a mixture containing 100mM NaCl, 50mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.95), 5mM CaCl₂, 2mM ATP and 20 mM B-Mercapthanol. The plate was incubated at 37°C for 10 min and then the reaction was immediately stopped, by adding 25 uL of acid molybdate solution (1.25% ammonium molybdate in 2.5mM H₂SO₄). Immediately after termination of the reaction, 2 μL of a reducing solution (0.11mM NaHSO₃, 0.09mM Na₂SO₃ and 8mM 1-amino-2-naphthol-4-sulphonic acid) was added to each well and the plate was incubated at 37°C for 20 min [22]. Plates were read at a FLUOstar OPTIMA ELISA plate reader (BMG Technologies) at 660nm. Readings were quantified by comparison with an

inorganic phosphate standard curve (1, 0.5, 0.25, 0.125, 0.06125, 0.03125, 0.015625 mM of sodium phosphate).

PCR confirmation of mosquito infection status and saliva screening

Wolbachia infection was confirmed through PCR to detect both mosquito (apyrase gene: ApyF: 5'-TTTCGACGGAAGAGCT-GAAT-3' and ApyR: 5'-TCCGTTGGTATCCTCGTTTC-3') and *Wolbachia* (IS5-F: 5'-CTGAAATTTTAGTACGGGGTA-AAG-3' and IS5-R: 5'-CAAGCATATTCCTCTTTAAC-3') sequences. Saliva screening to check the presence of *Wolbachia* was also done via PCR (with IS5 primers) using saliva samples of infected and non-infected mosquitoes. Mosquito sequences in this case were detected with primers for the ribosomal protein gene RpS17 [23].

Statistical analysis

In all cases, general linear models were employed to examine the effects of the variables age and infection status and their interaction with one another. Models demonstrating significance for the variable infection status were then followed by individual t-tests examining the differences between infected and uninfected mosquitoes for each age class. The proportion of infected and uninfected mosquitoes that obtained blood meals were examined using Mann-Whitney U tests instead of linear models, given the deviation of the data from normality, and were based on four populations of mosquitoes. Chi-square 2×2 contingency tests were employed to examine the relationship between observed behavioral traits and lack of feeding success. The correlation between these traits was quantified using a cox-proportional hazards model for age, with the behavioral traits and lack of blood meal success covariates. All statistical analyses were carried out in STATISTICA v8 (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK).

Results

Pre-probing time

We measured the time mosquitoes spent from first contact with a human volunteer until the insertion of the insect's mouthparts as a measure of pre-probing time. All feeding trials were carried out with individual mosquitoes, which had been starved prior to the assay, at four adult ages (5, 15, 26 and 35-days-old). Mosquitoes that never successfully achieved a blood meal were excluded from this analysis. Overall both age ($df=3$, $F=13.73$, $P<0.0001$) and infection status ($df=1$, $F=23.18$, $P<0.0001$) had a significant effect on the length of pre-probing time. On average infected mosquitoes spend more time pre-probing especially as they age (Fig. 1). This change with age is clearly exhibited by a significant interaction between the variables age and infection status ($df=3$, $F=8.11$, $P<0.0001$). At five days of age infected and uninfected mosquitoes do not differ in their pre-probing time ($df=78$, $t=0.63$, $P=0.52$), which lasted on average 11 seconds. Uninfected mosquitoes maintained the same foraging time as they aged, while *wMelPop* insects exhibited a steady and significant increase (15d: $df=75$, $t=-3.37$, $P=0.0012$; 26d: $df=63$, $t=-4.17$, $P=0.014$; 35d: $df=48$, $t=-2.25$, $P=0.0034$), reaching a mean length of 45 sec by 35 days of age (Fig. 1).

Probing time

In the same feeding trials described above, the length of time between insertion of mouthparts and the first visible sign of blood in the abdominal pleura [21] was recorded as probing time for the mosquitoes. As with pre-probing time, the variables of age ($df=3$, $F=11.36$, $P<0.0001$), infection status ($df=1$, $F=29.46$,




Figure 1. Pre-probing behavior of *A. aegypti* mosquitoes. Comparison of time spent by mosquitoes infected with *Wolbachia* (black bars) or tetracycline treated counterparts (white bars) of different ages (5, 15, 26 and 35 days) after landing on a human hand until the insertion of mouthparts into the skin ($N=12-40$ per group). Bars depict means \pm S.E.M. * $p<0.05$; ** $p<0.01$ by t-test. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000568.g001

$P<0.0001$) and the interaction ($df=3$, $F=10.56$, $P<0.0001$) between these two variables were highly significant. Infected and uninfected mosquitoes did not differ in their probing time (~33 sec) at 5 ($df=78$, $t=-0.46$, $P=0.64$) and 15 ($df=75$, $t=1.43$, $P=0.15$) days of age (Fig. 2). In contrast, infected mosquitoes at 26 ($df=63$, $t=-3.76$, $P<0.001$) and 35 ($df=48$, $t=-4.06$, $P<0.001$) days of age took significantly longer during probing, exhibiting up to a seven-fold increase in their probing time relative to uninfected mosquitoes (Fig. 2).

Blood meal acquisition

In the assays detailed above we then compared the ability of infected and uninfected mosquitoes to obtain blood meals (Fig. 3) using Mann-Whitney U tests. At 5 ($Z=0$, $P=1$) and 15 ($Z=0$, $P=1$) days of age infected and uninfected mosquitoes did not differ in their success. At 26 ($Z=-2.39$, $P=0.020$) and 35 ($Z=-2.39$, $P=0.020$) days of age infected mosquitoes were less successful at obtaining blood meals in comparison to their uninfected counterparts. Only *Wolbachia* infected mosquitoes refused to land on the hand during the assay or landed but did not take a blood meal. Percentages of individuals that did not land on the human




Figure 2. Probing behavior of *A. aegypti* mosquitoes. Comparison of time spent by mosquitoes infected with *Wolbachia* (black bars) or tetracycline treated counterparts (white bars) of different ages (5, 15, 26 and 35 days) from the insertion of mouthparts into the skin of a human hand and the first sign of blood within the insect midgut. ($N=12-40$ per group). Bars depict means \pm S.E.M. *** $p<0.0001$ by t-test. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000568.g002




Figure 3. Percent of *A. aegypti* mosquitoes that obtained a blood meal. Percentage of *wMelPop*-infected (black bars) and tetracycline-treated mosquitoes (white bars), that successfully imbibed blood within 10 minutes of observation, by age class. Bars depict medians±quartiles for four replicate experiments, each based on 10 individual mosquitoes. * $p < 0.05$ by Mann-Whitney U test. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000568.g003

were: 2.5% at 15 d; 0% at 26 d and 7.5% at 35d. Percentages that landed but that did not feed were 5% at 15 d; 37.5% at 26d and 67.5% at 35 d.

Number of probings

It is important to note that as infected mosquitoes aged, the frequency of events where they pierced the skin did not increase despite failed attempts at feeding (Fig. 4). A general linear model revealed that age ($df = 3, F = 20.47, P < 0.0001$), infection ($df = 3, F = 29.12, P < 0.0001$) and age X infection ($df = 3, F = 27.18, P < 0.0001$) were significant determinants of the number of probings. Subsequent t-tests comparing the number of probings between infected and uninfected mosquitoes at each of the age points (data not shown), however, demonstrated that only 35 day old ($df = 1, t = -8.44, P < 0.0001$) mosquitoes differed. In this case, uninfected females probed more on average per session (1.05 ± 0.05) than *wMelPop* infected mosquitoes (0.3 ± 0.073). This is due to other behaviors, which impaired the infected mosquitoes to feed (see below).

Additional behavioral phenotypes

We recently have reported the appearance of a “bendy” proboscis in association with *wMelPop*, which was the inability of




Figure 4. Number of probings in *A. aegypti* mosquitoes. Comparison of number of probings of mosquitoes infected with *Wolbachia* (black bars) or tetracycline treated counterparts (white bars) of different ages (5, 15, 26 and 35 days). ($N = 40$ per group). Bars depict means±S.E.M. *** $p < 0.0001$ by t-test. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000568.g004

the mosquito to properly orient its mouthparts and insert the stylet into the skin [10]. Here we quantified the occurrence of this trait. The bendy proboscis was never observed in any of the uninfected mosquitoes in this study, nor was it present in a small cohort of very old mosquitoes (~90 days) we examined in a second pilot study. The phenotype was also not present in 5 day-old infected mosquitoes. The trait first appeared at a low level (2.5%) in 15 day-old *wMelPop* infected mosquitoes and rose to a frequency of 65% by 35 days of age (Fig. 5).

Another phenotype observed, although in lower frequencies, was the jittering action of the insect body (named here as “shaky”) when the mosquito was sitting on top of the human hand (Fig. 5, Video S1). The association between each of these traits and lack of success in blood meal acquisition was explored using 2×2 contingency tests in each of the age classes where the trait was expressed. There was a significant association between the failure to obtain a blood meal and both the bendy phenotype (26d: $df = 1, \chi^2 = 14.1, P = 0.0002$; 35d: $df = 1, \chi^2 = 11.8, P = 0.0006$) and the shaky phenotype (35d: $df = 1, \chi^2 = 4.2, P = 0.038$). Using survival analysis we obtained estimates of the correlation between lack of feeding success and the bendy phenotype (0.63) and the shaky phenotype (0.19). These correlations reveal the presence of a relationship between the traits and success in feeding, but do not completely explain lack of success. There are mosquitoes in the older age classes that fail to feed and that are not shaky or bendy. To discard any possibility that this other abnormal phenotypes were due to the lack of blood feeding, which could have physiologically compromised the mosquitoes we also blood fed females of both groups when they were 3 to 5-days-old and then after 38 days evaluated their feeding behavior. None of the *wMelPop* mosquitoes were able to feed and all presented the bendy proboscis, although all the tetracycline-treated mosquitoes successfully imbibed blood (data not shown).

Saliva volume and apyrase activity

To check whether the probing behavior and the additional phenotypes we observed were due to differences in saliva volume and salivary gland apyrase activity we measured both traits in infected and uninfected mosquitoes at three adult ages. Apyrase

wMelPop Mosquitoes




Figure 5. Additional phenotypes observed in *Wolbachia*-infected *A. aegypti*. Proportion of *wMelPop*-infected mosquitoes exhibiting abnormal pre-probing behavior as: body jittering (“shaky”) or bended proboscis (“bendy”) in mosquitoes from their first occurrence at 15 days of age. Bars depict medians±quartiles for four replicate experiments, each based on 10 individual mosquitoes. Neither of these behaviors was observed in *Wolbachia* non-infected mosquitoes. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000568.g005

activity (Fig. 6A) did not differ in infected and uninfected mosquitoes regardless of age ($df=1$, $F=0.44$, $P=0.51$). Infection status ($df=1$, $F=11.99$, $P<0.01$) and age ($df=2$, $F=14.54$, $P<0.0001$), however, were determinants of saliva volume (Fig. 6B) and on average infected mosquitoes produced less saliva. When saliva volumes of infected and uninfected mosquitoes were compared to each other for each age class, only the 26 days old mosquitoes were significantly different ($df=1$, $t=-2.9$, $P<0.01$). During collection, 80–100% of the mosquitoes produced saliva droplets. Infection status was not a predictor of whether saliva was produced or not (data not shown).

Evidence of *Wolbachia* in the saliva

To begin to dissect the functional role of *Wolbachia* in these feeding effects we sought to determine the presence of the bacterium in both the saliva and salivary glands. PCR amplification of the *Wolbachia* *wsp* gene or mosquito apyrase has shown only the presence of *Wolbachia* in salivary glands, but not in saliva (see Figure S1). The transposable element IS5, present in at least 13 copies within the bacteria genome [24], was also used in extra samples as a very sensitive PCR target ($N=16$ of each group) but no amplification was obtained (data not shown). These results are supported by the size of the intracellular *Wolbachia* (around $1\mu\text{m}$ in diameter) [4] and the diameter of mosquito salivary ducts (also about $1\mu\text{m}$) [25], which indicate that even if *Wolbachia* was able to be present in the secreted salivary fluid it would be unlikely to be able to freely move through the salivary ducts.

Discussion

The results presented here indicate that infection with *wMelPop* alters the blood-feeding behavior of female *A. aegypti* mosquitoes in a manner that intensifies with increasing mosquito age. Older infected mosquitoes spend more time pre-probing and probing, commonly exhibit evidence of shaking and a bendy proboscis, produce less saliva and overall are less successful in obtaining blood meals. None of our experiments revealed any evidence of *Wolbachia* in the saliva or *Wolbachia*-associated changes in salivary apyrase activity.

Female mosquitoes must balance the feeding time required to obtain a sufficient blood meal against the risk of death from human defensive behavior upon their detection [26]. On the other hand, parasites or viruses that are transmitted through the insect saliva benefit from feeding times that enhance transmission but possibly cause a higher risk for the mosquito. Parasite manipulation of insect feeding behavior often includes increases in the insect's probing time or number of probings. This has been seen in mosquitoes infected with malaria parasites [27] and viruses [28], and in trypanosome-infected triatomine bugs [29]. As *Wolbachia* is not transmitted via saliva, the increased length of time infected mosquitoes are taking to feed could be advantageous only if blood-meal sizes acquired were greater and subsequent fecundity rates higher. Our group recently demonstrated evidence to the contrary, with infected females taking smaller blood meals on average [10]. This in conjunction with the data reported here, with overall reduced rates of feeding in combination with physiological changes like the observed “bendy” and “shaky” traits, suggest that the feeding biology is not likely to be a *Wolbachia* parasite “adaptation.”

In the absence of evidence for a specific *Wolbachia* manipulation of the host, blood-feeding effects are likely explained as a by-product of infection or as the direct result of pathogenesis on host cells or tissues. *Wolbachia* are found in many different insect tissues, especially in nervous tissue, muscles and reproductive systems [9,30]. While in *Drosophila* the deleterious effects of *wMelPop* are related to age and bacterial density [4], the relationship between bacterial density and pathogenicity has yet to be empirically determined for *wMelPop*-infected *A. aegypti*. The decreasing ability to feed with increasing age in combination with the increased prevalence of shaking behavior and the bendy proboscis could be explained by direct damage on host cells or tissues, resulting from *Wolbachia* over-replication. Interestingly, failure to obtain a blood meal cannot be completely explained by these two traits. Alternatively, *Wolbachia* infection may be having direct and targeted effects on neuro-regulators, which would influence their ability to forage for blood and actually feed. The bendy proboscis and shaky phenotypes may represent very extreme endpoints in the process.




Figure 6. Apyrase content and saliva volume. Comparisons of apyrase and saliva volume of mosquitoes infected with *Wolbachia* (black bars) or tetracycline treated counterparts (white bars) of different ages (5, 26 and 35 days). A) Apyrase activity measured through the release of inorganic phosphate from ATP. B) Saliva volume measured through the sphere volume of saliva droplets. Number of replicates in each group and age are represented. Bars depict means \pm S.E.M. P values relate to univariate tests of significance derived from general linear models. ** indicates $P<0.01$ from t -tests for the specific age category. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000568.g006

The *wMelPop* strain of *Wolbachia* was initially targeted for biocontrol development given its life-shortening capacity [7]. More recently, the presence of *wMelPop* has been shown to block the accumulation of dengue virus in *A. aegypti* [9]. The pattern of the reduced blood-feeding success seen here, like life-shortening leaves the young breeding individuals unaffected while targeting older members of the population. While the dengue transmission rates of *wMelPop*-infected mosquitoes are yet to be estimated, initial concerns that infected mosquitoes, failing to successfully feed, might actually bite more and hence possibly enhance viral transmission appear unfounded. While probing frequency decreased with age in *Wolbachia* infected individuals probing time increased. This was compensated by a reduction in saliva produced by *Wolbachia* infected individuals, which should counteract any potential increases to pathogen transmission risk in *Wolbachia* infected individuals. In conclusion, the presence of *Wolbachia* bacterium in *A. aegypti* mosquitoes significantly reduces their feeding success in an age dependent manner that is more likely a by-product of virulence than a direct manipulation of host behavior. These effects on feeding could substantially improve the efficacy of *wMelPop* biocontrol strategies in combination with the traits of life-shortening and viral blocking.

Supporting Information

Alternative Language Abstract S1 Translation of the abstract into Portuguese by LAM.

References

- TDR (2007) Business line 5 – Innovative vector control interventions. In: TDR, editor. Business plan 2008/2013 TDR/WHO.
- Kyle JL, Harris E (2008) Global spread and persistence of dengue. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 62: 71–92.
- McMeniman CJ, Lane RV, Cass BN, Fong AW, Sidhu M, et al. (2009) Stable introduction of a life-shortening *Wolbachia* infection into the mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. *Science* 323: 141–144.
- Min KT, Benzer S (1997) *Wolbachia*, normally a symbiont of *Drosophila*, can be virulent, causing degeneration and early death. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 94: 10792–10796.
- Hoffmann AA, Turelli M, Simmons GM (1986) Unidirectional incompatibility between populations of *Drosophila simulans*. *Evolution* 40: 692–701.
- Turelli M (2009) Cytoplasmic incompatibility in populations with overlapping generations. *Evolution*;doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00822.x.
- Brownstein JS, Hett E, O'Neill SL (2003) The potential of virulent *Wolbachia* to modulate disease transmission by insects. *J Invertebr Pathol* 84: 24–29.
- Cook PE, McMeniman CJ, O'Neill SL (2008) Modifying insect population age structure to control vector-borne disease. In: Aksoy S, ed (2008) *Transgenesis and the management of vector-borne disease*. AustinTX: Landes Biosciences.
- Moreira LA, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Jeffery JAL, Lu G, Pyke AT, et al. (2009) A *Wolbachia* symbiont in *Aedes aegypti* limits infection with dengue, Chikungunya and *Plasmodium*. *Cell*;In press.
- Turley AP, Moreira LA, O'Neill SL, McGraw EA (2009) *Wolbachia* infection reduces blood-feeding success in the dengue fever mosquito, *Aedes aegypti*. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 3: e516.
- Zwiebel LJ, Takken W (2004) Olfactory regulation of mosquito–host interactions. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 34: 645–652.
- Takken W, Knols BG (1999) Odor-mediated behavior of Afrotropical malaria mosquitoes. *Annu Rev Entomol* 44: 131–157.
- Logan JG, Birkett MA, Clark SJ, Powers S, Seal NJ, et al. (2008) Identification of human-derived volatile chemicals that interfere with attraction of *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes. *J Chem Ecol* 34: 308–322.
- Torr SJ, Della Torre A, Calzetta A, Costantini C, Vale GA (2008) Towards a fuller understanding of mosquito behaviour: use of electrocuting grids to compare the odour-orientated responses of *Anopheles arabiensis* and *An. quadrimaculatus* in the field. *Med Vet Entomol* 22: 92–108.
- Gillett JD (1967) Natural selection and feeding speed in a blood-sucking insect. *Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 167: 316–329.
- Walker ED, Edman JD (1985) The influence of host defensive behavior on mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae) biting persistence. *J Med Entomol* 22: 370–372.
- Walker ED, Edman JD (1985) Feeding-site selection and blood-feeding behavior of *Aedes triseriatus* (Diptera: Culicidae) on rodent (Sciuridae) hosts. *J Med Entomol* 22: 287–294.
- Labuda M, Kozuch O (1989) Amplification of arbovirus transmission by mosquito intradermal probing and interrupted feeding. *Acta Virol* 33: 63–67.
- Ribeiro JMC (1987) Role of saliva in blood-feeding by arthropods. *Annu Rev Entomol* 32: 463–478.
- Ribeiro JMC, Sarkis JFF, Rossignol PA, Spielman A (1984) Salivary apyrase of *Aedes aegypti*: characterization and secretory fate. *Comp Biochem Physiol* 79B: 81–86.
- Ribeiro JMC, Rossignol PA, Spielman A (1984) Role of Mosquito Saliva in Blood Vessel Location. *J Exp Biol* 108: 1–7.
- Novak MG, Ribeiro JMC, Hildebrand JH (1995) 5-Hydroxytryptamine in the salivary glands of adult female *Aedes aegypti* and its role in regulation of salivation. *J Exp Biol* 198: 167–174.
- Cook PE, Hugo LE, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Williams CR, Chenoweth SF, et al. (2006) The use of transcriptional profiles to predict adult mosquito age under field conditions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 103: 18060–18065.
- Wu M, Sun LV, Vamathevan J, Riegler M, Deboy R, et al. (2004) Phylogenomics of the reproductive parasite *Wolbachia pipiensis* wMel: a streamlined genome overrun by mobile genetic elements. *PLoS Biol* 2: e69.
- Janzen HG, Wright KA (1971) The salivary glands of *Aedes aegypti* (L.): an electron microscope study. *Can J Zool* 49: 1343–1345.
- Edman JD, Kale II HW (1971) Host behavior: its influence on the feeding success of mosquitoes. *Ann Entomol Soc Am* 64: 513–516.
- Rossignol PA, Ribeiro JMC, Spielman A (1984) Increased intradermal probing time in sporozoite-infected mosquitoes. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 33: 17–20.
- Grimstad PR, Ross QE, Craig GB (1980) *Aedes triseriatus* (Diptera: Culicidae) and LaCrosse virus II. Modification of mosquito feeding behavior by virus infection. *J Med Entomol* 17: 11–16.
- Anez N, East JS (1984) Studies on *Trypanosoma rangeli* Tejera, 1920 II. Its effect on feeding behaviour of triatomine bugs. *Acta Trop* 41: 93–95.
- Dobson SL, Bourtzis K, Braig HR, Jones BF, Zhou W, et al. (1999) *Wolbachia* infections are distributed throughout insect somatic and germ line tissues. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 29: 153–160.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000568.s001 (0.03 MB DOC)

Figure S1 PCR analysis to detect *Wolbachia* in mosquito saliva. Mosquito (apyrase) or *Wolbachia* (WSP) specific primers in infected (InfMq) or uninfected mosquitoes (UnMq), saliva (InfSal or UnSal) or salivary glands (InfSG or UnSG). Specific bands were only detected in whole mosquitoes or salivary glands. Neg = negative control; M = 100bp NEB DNA ladder.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000568.s002 (1.56 MB TIF)

Video S1 Video of jittering behavior (Shaky) seen in *wMelPop* infected mosquitoes only, aged 35 days.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000568.s003 (6.89 MB MOV)

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Nichola Kenny and Yi San Leong for technical assistance and Oliver Evans for advice during the early phase experimental setup. We are also grateful to Andrew Barnes (SBS/UQ) for the use of his ELISA plate reader.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: LAM JMC R SLO EAM. Performed the experiments: LAM ES. Analyzed the data: LAM APT JMC R SLO EAM. Wrote the paper: LAM APT JMC R SLO EAM.