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S1 Phylogenetic analysis

We analyzed of 2808 HIV-1 partial-pol sequences collected from MSM in Southeastern Michigan between 2004 and April 2012 inclusively. All sequences consisted of genes encoding Protease and Reverse Transcriptase and were originally collected for the purpose
of drug resistance testing. Clinical covariates of patients were associated with each sequence, including CD4 cell count, viral load, BED test result, presence of AIDS defining illnesses, and date of last negative HIV test. Demographic covariates were also available, including age at diagnosis, race, residence (county), and primary risk behavior associated with HIV transmission. We considered only subtype-B sequences from men residing in the Detroit metropolitan area (DMA) whose primary risk behavior was sex with men.

When more than one sequence was available for a patient, we excluded all but the one collected nearest to the diagnosis date.

Sequences with fewer than 1150 non-missing characters were excluded. Subtype was determined using Hyphy [1], and only sequences identified as subtype B were retained. Hyphy was also used to detect possible intra-subtype recombinants, which were excluded from subsequent analysis. Reverse transcriptase and protease sequences were aligned separately against a subtype B reference (HXB2) and reassembled using Biopython [2]. A list of sites associated with drug resistance was collected from the Stanford HIV database [3]. Because these sites are under selection, these sites were masked (treated as missing data) using Biopython. Subsequent phylogenetic and coalescent analysis was conducted on a subset of 662 sequences for which the sequence was collected within 12 months of diagnosis.

Each of 662 sequences was analyzed with BLAST to find a closest match in the LANL HIV database. This yielded 100 unique matches. We realigned our data with the matches and included these in subsequent phylogenetic and coalescent analysis. In the coalescent analysis, these sequences were indicated as belonging to the ‘source’ population (see Text S1).

To speed phylogenetic estimation, the sequence alignment with \( n = 662 + 100 \) was divided into 9 parts by the following algorithm:
- A NJ tree was calculated for all sequences using the ape library in R and using the TN93 with θ rate variation.

- The tree was re-rooted with path-o-gen [4] and using known sample dates.

- Recursively select internal branches with 50-150 descendants. Prune this clade from the tree, and generate new sequence alignment corresponding to its terminals. Repeat until no terminals are left in the tree.

This algorithm was implemented in Biopython and ETE [5].

Phylogenies were estimated using BEAST 1.6.3 [6] which is a Bayesian relaxed clock method that provides an estimate of branch lengths and node heights in scaled in calendar time. We used a relaxed molecular clock [7] with discretized Gamma rate variation between sites (4 categories). The model of nucleotide substitution was GTR with a fraction $I$ invariant sites. The starting tree was found by UPGMA. The evolutionary model assumed a skyride [8] coalescent prior of demographic history. The MCMC ran for 250 million iterations and sampling at every $5 \times 10^4$ iterations. Results were analyzed in Tracer [6], and each log file was checked for consistency with results from other replicates. Combined log files had and effective sample size (ESS) of $>500$ for all parameters.

The coalescent analysis was performed on a tree derived from all 9 BEAST analyses:

- A tree is sampled from each of 9 posterior distributions.

- To render branch lengths comparable between trees, the substitution rates are adjusted and branch lengths changed accordingly.

  - The mean substitution rate across all trees is estimated as

$$\hat{s} = \frac{\sum_k \sum_i l_{ki}s_{ki}}{\left(\sum_k \sum_i l_{ki}\right)},$$
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where $l_{ki}$ is the length of branch $i$ on tree $k$, $s_{ki}$ is the substitution rate on branch $i$ of tree $k$.

- The mean substitution rate for each of 9 trees was also calculated:

$$
\hat{s}_k = \frac{\sum_i l_{ki} s_{ki}}{\left( \sum_i l_{ki} \right)}.
$$

- The height of each tree $k$ and all nodes were rescaled by a factor $\hat{s}_k/\hat{s}$. Branch lengths are recomputed given new node heights.

- If negative branch lengths are introduced by rescaling, than the original branch length is restored.

- The trees are joined at a polytomous root with a height equal to that of the highest node among the 9 trees.

For subsequent model fitting using coalescent methods, we used a sample of 10 trees from each of 9 posterior distributions corresponding to each BEAST analysis. Coalescent models were fitted by averaging the likelihood from 10 trees (see Text S2).
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