## Supplemental Table 3.

Analysis of crossover interference using non-parental ditype ratios.

|  |  | Interval |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CHA1:HIS4 | HIS4:LEU2 | LEU2:CEN3 | CEN3:MAT | MAT:THR4 | THR4:CUP1 | CUP1:GIT1 |
| wild type | PD:NPD:TT | 499:6:506 | 721:5:269 | 767:6:242 | 655:4:365 | 643:5:347 | 499:13:474 | 796:3:206 |
|  | NPD ratio | 0.11+/-0.05 | 0.44+/-0.20 | 0.69+/-0.29 | 0.18+/-0.09 | 0.25+/-0.11 | 0.29+/-0.08 | 0.49+/-0.29 |
|  | $P$ value | <0.0001* | 0.0739 | 0.3896 | 0.0002* | 0.0011* | <0.0001* | 0.2174 |
| hed1 | PD:NPD:TT | 259:3:216 | 342:0:130 | 358:2:114 | 280:4:195 | 334:3:132 | 235:2:229 | 389:0:84 |
|  | NPDobs/exp | 0.16+/-0.09 | 0 | $0.48+/-0.34$ | 0.28+/-0.14 | 0.52+/-0.30 | 0.09+/-0.06 | 0 |
|  | $P$ value | 0.0006* | 0.019 | 0.3139 | 0.0105 | 0.2678 | <0.0001* | 0.1456 |
| dmc1 hed1 | P value WT | 0.6272 | 0.0278 | 0.6394 | 0.5479 | 0.3981 | 0.0455 | 0.0911 |
|  | PD:NPD:TT | 617:14:555 | 898:6:273 | 890:7:288 | 767:20:403 | 804:22:359 | 638:25:523 | 926:4:261 |
|  | NPDobs/exp | 0.26+/-0.07 | 0.63+/-0.26 | 0.66+/-0.25 | 0.88+/-0.20 | 1.3+/-0.28 | $0.58+/-0.12$ | 0.47+/-0.2 |
|  | $P$ value | <0.0001* | 0.2832 | 0.2981 | 0.615 | 0.3323 | 0.0133 | 0.139 |
|  | P value WT | 0.0812 | 0.5624 | 0.9375 | 0.0014* | 0.0005* | 0.0443 | 0.9547 |

NPD ratios of NPDs observed/NPDs expected in the absence of interference. NPDs expected were calculated using the Papazian equation[75]. P values for interference were calculated by first calculating the fractions of $\mathrm{PD}_{\text {exp }}, ~ N P D_{\text {exp }}$, and $\mathrm{TT}_{\text {exp }}$ using the method of Stahl [74]. To determine the numbers of $P D_{\text {exp }}, N P D_{\exp }$, and $T T_{\text {exp }}$ the fractions expected were multiplied by the total number of tetrads. The numbers of $P D_{\exp }, N P D_{\exp }$, and $T T_{\exp }$ were then compared to the $\mathrm{PD}_{\text {obs }}, \mathrm{NPD}_{\text {obs }}$, and $\mathrm{TT}_{\text {obs }}$ using the chi-square goodness of fit test. All values were calculated using the Stahl Laboratory online tool: http://www.molbio.uoregon.edu/~fstahl/. PD, parental ditype; NPD, non-parental ditype; TT, tetratype. Non-exchange tetrads were excluded from this analysis. The P value WT rows are a P value for evaluating the difference between the NPD ratios of wild-type and the hed1 single mutant and wild-type and the dmc1 hed1 double mutant. The significance was determined by calculating a $Z$ score:
((Ratio1-Ratio2)/(sqrt((SE1^2)+(SE2^2))) and corresponding $P$ value for each comparison. The $P$ value for significance using the Bonferroni Correction for 7 measurements is 0.007 . All $P$ values marked with an asterisk are significant.

