Table S2: Pairwise correlations between variables for the higher-coverage data.

|  | Rec. rate | Genic content ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{GC} \\ \text { content }^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ | Coverage | $d^{\text {d }}$ | \# SNPs ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $S_{\text {norm }}{ }^{\text {f }}$ | $\pi_{\text {norm }}{ }^{\text {g }}$ | Average MAF | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tajima's } \\ D \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rec. rate |  | -0.0695 | 0.3808 | 0.3590 | 0.2486 | 0.3094 | 0.2093 | 0.2141 | 0.0865 | 0.0704 |
| Genic content | 4.0E-22 |  | 0.1533 | 0.2653 | -0.3101 | -0.1683 | -0.0330 | -0.0442 | -0.0435 | -0.0451 |
| GC content | 0.0 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}-102$ |  | 0.4475 | -0.0333 | 0.1296 | 0.1451 | 0.1468 | 0.0460 | 0.0372 |
| Coverage | 0.0 | 2.5E-308 | 0.0 |  | -0.0388 | 0.0950 | 0.1260 | 0.1291 | 0.0507 | 0.0350 |
| Divergence | 6.1E-270 | 0.0 | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $7.0 \mathrm{E}-08$ |  | 0.3982 | -0.0618 | -0.0309 | 0.0684 | 0.0677 |
| \# SNPs | 0.0 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-122$ | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-73$ | $5.6 \mathrm{E}-40$ | 0.0 |  | 0.8619 | 0.8146 | 0.1631 | 0.1634 |
| $S_{\text {norm }}$ | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-190$ | 4.6E-06 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-91$ | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-69$ | $7.9 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 0.0 |  | 0.9267 | 0.1451 | 0.1459 |
| $\pi_{\text {norm }}$ | 3.9E-199 | 8.2E-10 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-93$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-72$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.4617 | 0.4693 |
| Average MAF | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-33$ | 1.5E-09 | 1.6E-10 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-21$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-115$ | 2.1E-91 | 0.0 |  | 0.9809 |
| Tajima's $D$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 3.6E-10 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-21$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-115$ | 2.1E-92 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |

Values of Spearman's $\rho$ for each pair of variables are shown above the diagonal. $P$-values are shown below the diagonal.
a. The fraction of each 100 kb window that overlapped with a RefSeq transcript.
${ }^{\text {b. }}$ Denotes the number of hg 18-pantro2 alignable bases that were not Repeat Masked and did not fall in phastCons regions that were G or C in hg 18 divided by the total number of alignable bases within the window that were not Repeat Masked and did not fall in phastCons regions.
${ }^{\text {c. }}$ The number of bases per window that were alignable between hg 18 and pantro2, were not Repeat Masked, did not fall in phastCons regions, where all six individuals had sequencing data.
${ }^{\text {d. }}$ Denotes the number of hg 18 -pantro 2 differences that were not Repeat Masked and did not fall in phastCons regions divided by the total number of positions within the window where differences could have been called (i.e. the total number of alignable bases that were not Repeat Masked and did not fall in phastCons regions).
${ }^{\text {e. Denotes the number of SNPs per window divided by the total number of bases where SNPs could have been called (i.e. the total }}$ number of alignable bases within the window that were not Repeat Masked and did not fall in phastCons regions where all six individuals had sequencing data).
${ }^{\text {f. }}$ Denotes the "\# SNPs" divided by $d$.
${ }^{\text {g. }}$ Denotes the average number of pairwise differences between sequences within a window divided by $d$.

