Text S1: Difference between the estimates of variance explained by all SNPs in Europeans and in Koreans

The estimate of $h_G^2$ (variance explained by all SNPs) for height in Koreans ($h_G^2 = 0.316$, SE = 0.042) was significantly smaller than that in Europeans ($h_G^2 = 0.448$, SE = 0.029) [1]. The estimate of $h_G^2$ for BMI in Koreans ($h_G^2 = 0.165$, SE = 0.029) was, however, not significantly different from that in Europeans ($h_G^2 = 0.134$, SE = 0.029). The difference for height was statistically significant, suggesting that it is likely to be a real difference. There could be two possible reasons 1) the heritability itself for height is smaller in Koreans than that in Europeans; 2) there is no difference in heritability for height between Koreans and Europeans but the SNP array (Affymetrix 5.0) used in this study is not as good as that used in [1] (Affymetrix 6.0) in tagging the causal variants. We therefore performed additional analyses to test these two hypotheses.

We have got access to a dataset from a family study of 1758 Koreans genotyped on Affymetrix 6.0 array and with phenotype data available for 11 traits. After quality control (QC), 1,282 individuals and 520,484 SNPs were retained for analysis. We used GCTA to estimate the relatedness between individuals using all the autosomal SNPs and removed one of each pair of individual with an estimate of genetic relatedness > 0.8 (identical twins). We then used the SNP-derived pedigree structure to estimate the genetic variance in GCTA. The estimate of variance explained by all the SNPs from GCTA in family data is similar to the estimate of narrow-sense heritability ($h^2$) from a conventional pedigree analysis because the estimate is dominated by close-relatives. We chose GCTA to do the “pedigree analysis” simply because it is easy to use and it can recover some cryptic relatedness that were not documented in the pedigree record. As shown in the Table S5, the estimate of $h^2$ for height was 0.744 (SE = 0.048), which was not significantly ($P = 0.243$) different from that in Europeans (usually quoted as 0.8), and the estimate for BMI was 0.478 (SE = 0.057), which was also similar to the $h^2$ estimate for BMI in Europeans (usually quoted as 0.4 ~ 0.6). We then regressed the heritability estimated from the pedigree analysis in this studies against that from the literature in Europeans for the 11 traits (see figure below). The regression slope (0.83, SE = 0.39) was not significantly different from 1 ($P = 0.67$). All these results suggest that there is no significant difference in heritability between Koreans and Europeans for traits such as...
height and BMI.

**Figure.** Heritability estimated from the pedigree analysis in Koreans against that from the literature in Europeans

In addition, we have got access to the GWAS data of Europeans used in [1]. This dataset includes 11,586 unrelated European Americans selected from three population-based GWAS (the Health Professionals Follow-up Study (HPFS), the Nurses’ Health Study (NHS) and the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study [2,3]). The samples were genotyped on Affymetrix 6.0 arrays. After QC, there were 565,040 autosomal SNPs. We included only the SNPs on Affymetrix 5.0 arrays (341,690 autosomal SNPs) in the analysis and estimated $h^2_G$ for height and BMI in these 11,586 unrelated European samples. The estimate of variance explained by all SNPs on Affymetrix 5.0 arrays was 0.394 (SE = 0.027) for height and 0.140 (SE = 0.026) for BMI. If we compare the estimate of $h^2_G$ from the Korean sample with that from the European sample, the difference is neither significant for height ($P = 0.118$) nor for BMI ($P = 0.885$).

In conclusion, there is no evidence suggesting that the heritability is different between Koreans and Europeans, and the difference in the variance explained by the same set of SNPs (SNPs on Affymetrix 5.0) between Koreans and Europeans is also not statistically significant.
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