Inhibition of the Smc5/6 Complex during Meiosis Perturbs Joint Molecule Formation and Resolution without Significantly Changing Crossover or Non-crossover Levels
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Abstract

Meiosis is a specialized cell division used by diploid organisms to form haploid gametes for sexual reproduction. Central to this reductive division is repair of endogenous DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) induced by the meiosis-specific enzyme Spo11. These DSBs are repaired in a process called homologous recombination using the sister chromatid or the homologous chromosome as a repair template, with the homolog being the preferred substrate during meiosis. Specific products of inter-homolog recombination, called crossovers, are essential for proper homolog segregation at the first meiotic nuclear division in budding yeast and mice. This study identifies an essential role for the conserved Structural Maintenance of Chromosomes (SMC) 5/6 protein complex during meiotic recombination in budding yeast. Meiosis-specific smc5/6 mutants experience a block in DNA segregation without hindering meiotic progression. Establishment and removal of meiotic sister chromatid cohesin are independent of functional Smc6 protein. smc6 mutants also have normal levels of DSB formation and repair. Eliminating DSBs rescues the segregation block in smc5/6 mutants, suggesting that the complex has a function during meiotic recombination. Accordingly, smc6 mutants accumulate high levels of recombination intermediates in the form of joint molecules. Many of these joint molecules are formed between sister chromatids, which is not normally observed in wild-type cells. The normal formation of crossovers in smc6 mutants supports the notion that mainly inter-sister joint molecule resolution is impaired. In addition, return-to-function studies indicate that the Smc5/6 complex performs its most important functions during joint molecule resolution without influencing crossover formation. These results suggest that the Smc5/6 complex aids primarily in the resolution of joint molecules formed outside of canonical inter-homolog pathways.
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Introduction

Meiosis is the cell division by which haploid gametes are created in sexually reproducing organisms. It is specialized to preserve the chromosome number among generations and to create genetic diversity in a population. Meiosis begins with the replication of each homologous parental chromosome (homolog) into a pair of sister chromatids. Two sequential rounds of DNA segregation then follow. The first, MI, segregates the homologs away from each other, while the second, MII, separates the sister chromatids. This leads to the formation of four haploid cells from a single diploid parent. Prior to homolog segregation, programmed DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) are induced that are repaired through a process called homologous recombination. In budding yeast and mice, recombination is essential for proper homolog segregation at MI. Together with sister chromatid cohesion, recombination facilitates segregation by creating stable attachments between the maternal and paternal homologs, thus ensuring their correct organization in preparation for anaphase I [1].

Meiotic DSBs are catalyzed by the enzyme Spo11 [2,3]. After DSB induction, the ends of the DSB are resected to form single-stranded DNA overhangs that can invade a homologous sequence for repair. An initial DNA joint molecule (JM) is then formed following exchange of the broken end with a homologous sequence (Figure S1). The JM is further processed and enzymatically resolved according to its composition to generate two types of products: Those that mutually exchange DNA sequences between the homologs to physically attach them, called crossovers (COs), and those that repair without mutual exchange, called non-crossovers (NCOs) [4,5]. Initial stabilization after invasion of the break end forms a transient JM called a single-end invasion (SEI) (Figure S1) [6]. Displacement of the invading strand of the SEI, such as in helicase-mediated unwinding by the BLM ortholog Sgs1, followed by ligation with the free DSB end, forms a NCO in a process called synthesis-dependent strand annealing (SDSA) (Figure S1A) [6–8]. Alternatively, the SEI can be stabilized and processed to form a stable JM intermediate known as a double-Holliday junction (dHJ) (Figure S1B) [9]. The dHJ must be cleaved by endonucleases or dissolved using a helicase in
Author Summary

Most eukaryotic cells are diploid, which means that they contain two copies of each chromosome—one from each parent. In order to preserve the chromosome number from generation to generation, diploid organisms employ a process called meiosis to form gametes containing only one copy of each chromosome. During sexual reproduction, two gametes (sperm and eggs in mammals) fuse to form a zygote with the same chromosome number as the parents. This zygote will develop into a new organism that has genetic characteristics unique from, but still related to, both parents. The reduction of chromosome number and the reshuffling of genetic traits during meiosis depend on the repair of naturally occurring DNA breaks. Improper break repair during meiosis may block meiosis altogether or form genetically unstable gametes, leading to fertility problems or defects in the offspring. The study presented here demonstrates the importance of the evolutionarily conserved Smc5/6 protein complex in upholding the integrity of meiotic repair processes. Our results show that cells deficient in components of the Smc5/6 complex lead to inviable meiotic products. Cells lacking functional Smc5/6 complex are unable to direct DNA repair to the proper template and accumulate abnormal repair intermediates, which inhibit the reductive division.

combination with a topoisomerase in order to be processed into its products [5,10]. During meiosis, NCOs and dHJ-JMs form concurrently while COs form after dHJ disappearance, indicating that COs are the main products of dHJ resolution (Figure S1C) [11,12]. NCOs, on the other hand, are primarily formed via SDSA [11]. Regulating the formation and resolution of dHJ-JMs is essential for homolog segregation at MI, and several factors have been identified that specifically promote CO formation without influencing overall DSB repair [10]. Most of these proteins belong to the meiosis-specific ZMM (Zip1-4, Mer3, Msh4, Msh5, Spo16) family, which stimulate COs by stabilizing proteins belonging to the meiosis-specific ZMM (Zip1-4, Mer3, Msh4, Msh5, Spo16) family. The phosphatase Ptk (Pph3/Psy2) also promotes proper CO formation by stabilizing SEIs [16]. Moreover, recent evidence has implicated the mismatch repair components Exo1 and the MutL complex Mlh1–Mlh3 as crossover-specific JM resolution factors [17]. While the ZMM proteins regulate the majority of COs in budding yeast and mice, a subset is dependent on the endonuclease Mus81-Mms4 [18–20]. In fission yeast, however, all COs form via the Mus81-Eme1 [Mus81-Mms4 in budding yeast] pathway and are derived from single, rather than double, HJs [21–24].

Chromatin in budding yeast is organized in a loop-axis configuration [25]. Meiotic DSB hotspots are located in the DNA loops while recombination is carried out close to the meiotic axis [26,27]. Normal DSB induction is dependent on the tethering of DSB hotspot sequences to accessory DSB proteins at the axis prior to break induction [28–30]. Hence, proper meiotic recombination relies on correct loop-axis configuration and events that change this architecture alter recombination events and outcomes [27–31]. Despite the presence of the sister chromatid, the homologous chromosome is preferred as a repair template during meiosis [32]. This inter-homolog (IH) bias is due to combined efforts of mechanisms that promote invasion of the homolog strand, and components of the meiotic axis that physically block sister invasion [32–37]. The meiotic axis includes the cohesin subunit Rec8, which is required for proper axis formation and loop organization [38]. In the absence of Rec8, the loop-axis configuration is perturbed and DSBs form at low levels with altered distribution as compared to wild-type cells [39,40]. The axis-organizing function of Rec8 is also needed to maintain IH bias during the SEI-to-dHJ transition, even though Rec8 is actually a promoter of inter-sister (IS) recombination most likely due to its role in sister chromatid cohesion (see below) [40]. The presence of IH-promoting axis components antagonize the IS bias created by Rec8 to allow IH events to dominate [40]. To further promote IH-recombination, the ZMM proteins form a structure called the synaptonemal complex (SC) between the homologs. The SC holds the homologs close to one another during recombination, thereby facilitating homolog-directed strand invasion [14,15]. Together these mechanisms establish a bias for IH recombination but do not eliminate IS recombination, with the possibility that up to one-third of all wild-type recombination events may be directed to the sister [40,41]. These IS repair events rarely go via a detectable JM intermediate, most likely due to the decreased preference for recombination via a IS-JM in combination with fast turnover rates for IS-JMs that may arise [42]. If inefficiently resolved, an inter-sister DNA link on the telomere-proximal side of a CO will inhibit the segregation of homologs at MI, making it crucial for cells to properly process inter-sister recombination events.

As stated, the cohesin complex is a meiotic axis component required for proper recombination. It is also essential for sister chromatid cohesion during mitosis and meiosis [43]. Cohesin is a member of the evolutionarily conserved structural maintenance of chromosomes (SMC) family of proteins, which also includes the Smc5/6 complex. Components of the Smc5/6 complex were first identified as repair proteins working in the homologous recombination pathway [44–46]. The complex consists of eight subunits: Smc5, Smc6, Nse1, Mms21 (Nse2) and Nse3-6, and assists in the reduction of topological stress during replication as well as DSB repair in post-replicative vegetative cells [46–50]. Cells harboring mutations in SMC5, SMC6 or MMS21 accumulate recombination intermediates following DNA damage inflicted during mitotic S phase [51–53]. Mutating genes involved in the resolution of aberrant recombination structures at blocked replication forks, such as MUS81-MM4, SGSI and TOP3, aggravates this phenotype [54–56]. Recent studies have pointed to a role for the Smc5/6 complex during meiotic recombination as well. A study in C. elegans showed that the Smc5 and Smc6 proteins are required to process recombination structures in germ line cells [57]. In fission yeast, nse1-3 are needed for proper meiotic chromosome segregation [58,59]. In addition, fission yeast cells harboring mutations in nse6 accumulate meiotic JMs in the form of single HJs that resemble those found in cells lacking the endonuclease Mus81 [59]. Although the HJs are DSB-dependent, the nse6 mutant used in this study was not meiosis-specific and accumulated recombination intermediates during mitosis and pre-meiotic S phase as well [59]. Thus, the meiotic intermediates observed may have been a consequence of lesions accumulated prior to meiotic induction. A study in budding yeast was also unable to isolate a meiosis-specific phenotype for mutants of the Smc5/6 protein complex. The segregation block in these smc6 mutants was not DSB-dependent and most likely caused by defects accumulated during mitosis or pre-meiotic S-phase [60]. Due to the discrepancies between these studies, the meiotic function of the Smc5/6 protein complex remains unclear.

In this study, we employed meiosis-specific alleles of genes encoding for the Smc3/6 complex to investigate the meiotic role of the complex in the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Cells lacking components of the Smc5/6 complex during meiosis experience a segregation block that is dependent on DSB formation. Mutants are normal in meiotic prophase progression...
and DSB repair and have no significant defects in sister chromatid cohesion. Return-to-function studies indicate that the complex works at later stages of meiotic recombination. This function is most critical at times of JM resolution, and cells with non-functional Smc6 accumulate high levels of JMIs in the form of both IS- and IH-JM intermediates. CO and NCO levels remain unchanged, indicating that the majority of IH-JMs are processed normally, and suggesting that most of the unresolved JM intermediates are derived from inter-sister recombination events. These findings demonstrate that the Smc5/6 protein complex is directly involved in meiotic recombination and suggest that Smc6 plays a key role in resolving recombination intermediates during meiosis, especially those that form between sister chromatids.

Results

The Smc5/6 protein complex is required for meiotic chromosome segregation

To initially address the meiosis-specific function of the Smc5/6 protein complex, the temperature-sensitive smc6-56 allele was utilized. This mutant has known mitotic recombination defects at high temperature [51,61]. At permissive temperature, smc6-56 cells underwent normal meiotic divisions and formed viable spores (Figure S2A). When meiosis was carried out at non-permissive temperature from the time of meiotic induction, the smc6-56 mutant exhibited a mixture of two phenotypes: cells that did not appear to have entered the meiotic program and accumulated as mononucleates, and cells that failed to segregate chromosomes but formed spores (Figure S2B). A mixed cell population was also observed in a previous study when cells with the temperature-sensitive allele smc6-9 were grown at non-permissive temperature from the time of meiotic induction [60]. The authors of this paper concluded that the meiotic defects in smc6-9 cells were largely due to problems acquired during mitosis or pre-meiotic S phase [60].

The mononucleate population in the smc6-9 and smc6-56 mutants resembles that observed in mitotic cells harboring the smc6-56 allele, in which approximately half of the cells arrest in G2/M after replication at non-permissive temperature [49]. To focus on non-replicative meiotic functions, smc6-56 cells were allowed to complete pre-meiotic replication at permissive temperature before shifting to non-permissive temperature (Figure 1A, Figure S2C). Under such “soft-shift” conditions, the smc6-56 mutant only formed cells containing one unsegregated DNA mass outside four empty spores (Figure 1B). To confirm that this defect was not caused by high temperature and reflected the true meiotic phenotype of a smc5/6 mutant, meiotic-null (mn) alleles of SMC5, NSE4 and NSE2 were constructed by replacing their endogenous promoters with the mitosis-specific CLB2 promoter [62]. Because CLB2 is not down-regulated until after pre-meiotic S phase, replication defects were avoided using this system [63,64]. As in the smc6-56 mutant, smc5-mn, nse4-mn and nse2-mn mutants were not able to segregate their chromosomes and instead formed cells with one DNA mass outside of four empty spores (Figure 1B). This demonstrates that the smc6-56 phenotype reflects a meiotic function of the Smc5/6 complex.

Mutants of the Smc5/6 complex complete the meiotic program

In S. cerevisiae, spores form around duplicated spindle pole bodies regardless of DNA location [65]. Thus the “one DNA mass outside of four empty spores” phenotype of smc3/6 mutants suggests that they complete the meiotic program. To test this hypothesis, spindle morphology was monitored in the smc6-56 mutant. In line with the idea that smc5/6 mutants do not hinder meiotic progression, smc6-56 cells were able to duplicate their spindle-pole bodies and elongate their spindles despite abnormal spindle morphology due to failure to segregate the DNA (Figure 1C). To further challenge the assumption that smc5/6 cells complete the meiotic program, meiotic progression was analyzed by scoring the dynamics of Zip1 axes. Zip1 is a ZMM component of the SC [66]. Cells that are unable to complete recombination form incomplete Zip1 axes and do not progress past prophase [67]. Mutants lacking the transcription factor Ndt80 can initiate recombination but fail to signal downstream factors necessary to complete recombination and exit prophase and accumulate with full Zip1 axes [69-70]. Zip1 axes were formed and removed normally in smc6-56, smc5-mn and nse4-mn mutants (Figure 1D). The smc6-56 mutant was also normal in the timing and morphology of Zip1 and Rec8 axes (Figure 1E). Together, these data demonstrate that cells lacking Smc5/6 components fail to segregate their DNA but do not halt the meiotic cell cycle.

The segregation block in Smc5/6 mutants is dependent on meiotic recombination

To test if the segregation block in Smc5/6 complex mutants was due to meiotic recombination, nuclear divisions were monitored in a spo11A background. Cells devoid of SPO11 do not initiate meiotic recombination and improperly segregate their DNA since they lack attachments between the homologs [2]. Even though the resulting spores are unviable, DNA segregation can be monitored within the cells. Deletion of SPO11 in smc6-56, smc5-mn, nse4-mn and nse2-mn mutants abolished the segregation block (Figure 1F), indicating that the segregation defect in these cells is the result of problems during DSB repair.

To test whether the nuclear division failure was due to break-independent sister entanglements, segregation was examined in cells containing the smc6-56 mutation in a spo11A spo13A background. SPO13 is required to prevent biorientation of sister kinetochores at meiosis I, and, in the absence of recombination, spo13A cells undergo a single meiotic division, segregating sister chromatids to form cells with two viable, diploid spores called dyads [71,72]. The spo11A spo13A smc6-56 mutant segregated its sisters efficiently and formed viable dyads under soft-shift conditions (Figure S3). These data confirm that the segregation block in smc6 mutants is not due to recombination-independent sister entanglements.

Sister chromatid cohesion and double-strand break repair are largely unaffected in the smc6-56 mutant

To further study meiosis in smc6-56 cells, sister chromatid separation was assessed at sites 35 kb from the centromere and 23 kb from the telomere of chromosome V. These regions were observed using the previously described GFP-tagged Tetracycline repressor/operator (TetR-GFP/Tet-O) system. This system is based on endogenously expressed TetR-GFP, which accumulates at multiple copies of Tet-Os inserted at the chromosomal region of interest, thereby allowing its visualization by fluorescence microscopy [73,74]. Despite the full segregation block in smc6-56 cells, no major defect in sister chromatid cohesion or sister chromatid separation was observed at the centromere or telomere of chromosome V (Figure 2A). Final levels of sister chromatid separation did not reach those in wild-type cells, but the results showed that sister chromatids were able to separate within the unsegregated DNA masses in smc6-56 mutants. This suggests that the Smc5/6 complex has little influence on meiotic sister chromatid cohesion and implies that the chromosomal sites in smc6-56 cells are held together by cohesion-independent mechanisms. This
Figure 1. Smc5/6 mutations cause a recombination-dependent segregation block without affecting meiotic progression. (A) Set-up of the soft-shift method. Cells were shifted to non-permissive temperature (33°C) upon completion of pre-meiotic S phase as judged from FACS profiles shown in Figure S2. (B) Meiotic time courses for wild-type (CB1017), smc6-56 (CB1032), smc5-mn (CB1872), nse4-mn (CB1511) and nse2-mn (CB2053) strains. At indicated times, cells were fixed and stained with DAPI to determine their nuclear content. Percent of MI+MII cells shown at left, percent of cells with one DNA mass outside four empty spores shown at right. Inset picture illustrates the “one DNA mass outside of four empty spores” phenotype.
spores” phenotype, scale bar = 1 μm. Graphs represent a single synchronous meiotic time course. N = 200. (C) Meiotic spindle formation in wild type (CB1017) and smc6-56 (CB1032). Fixed whole cells were stained with an anti-α-tubulin antibody (green) and DAPI (red). The images represent spindle morphology in wild type and smc6-56 at prophase (pro), metaphase I (meta I), metaphase II (meta II), anaphase II (ana II) and after completing sporulation (spores). Meiotic progression demonstrated by plotting the fraction of cells with a single tubulin focus remaining at each time point on an inverted y-axis. N = 200. (D) Meiotic progression determined as percent of nuclei with full or partial Zip1 axes analyzed on meiotic spreads at indicated times. Picture demonstrates full Zip1 axes shown in green, scale bar = 2 μm. Dark blue line shows Zip1 axis formation when in the absence of ND780 function. N = 100. (E) smc6-56 (CB1346) and wild-type (CB46) cells undergoing meiosis under soft-shift conditions were isolated and surface-spread to detect Zip1 (green) and epitope-tagged Rec8 (red). DNA was visualized with DAPI (gray). Scale bar = 1.8 μm. (F) Meiotic progression in spo11Δ (CB1302), spo11Δ smc6-56 (CB1301), spo11Δ smc6Δ5 (CB1754), spo11Δ nse4ΔΔ (CB1510) and spo11Δ nse2ΔΔ (CB2067) shown in percent of MI+MII cells. N = 200.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003898.g001

The smc6-56 mutant accumulates unresolved joint molecules

To assess whether JMs accumulate in smc6-56 mutants, recombination was examined at the molecular level at the ectopic URA3-ARG4 locus on chromosome III, which allows the detection of JMs in the form of dHJs using one-dimensional (1D) gel electrophoresis (Figure S5) [84–86]. This hotspot was used in combination with NDT80-IN under soft-shift conditions to test the hypothesis that the segregation block in smc6-56 cells is caused by the accumulation of unresolved JMs. The smc6-56 mutant accumulated a ~3-fold higher number of JMs than the SMC6 strain (6.6% vs. 2.2% at 7 h) prior to NDT80 induction (Figure 4A–C). Following induction, approximately two-thirds of JMs in the smc6-56 mutant remained unresolved after 24 h. In spite of unresolved recombination intermediates, NCOs and COs accumulated at the same time and level in the smc6 mutant as compared to the SMC6 strain (Figure 4B,C). Recombination products were also observed at wild-type levels at the HIS4LEU2 hotspot in the smc6-56 mutant (data not shown).

To better identify JM species, native-native two-dimensional (2D) gel electrophoresis was utilized at the URA3-ARG4 locus in combination with the NDT80-IN system. This method of electrophoresis separates JMs by size in the first dimension and by shape plus size in the second dimension [87]. Prior to NDT80 induction, SMC6 cells accumulated a strong JM spot corresponding to the predicted size for IH-JMs [P1×P2] (Figure 4D, 7 h). This spot was flanked by two weaker regions: a slower-migrating spot predicted to be IS-JMs from P2 (P2) and a faster-migrating, less defined spot corresponding to IS-JMs from P1 (P1×P1) (Figure 4D). To verify the identity of these flanking spots as IS-JMs, recombination was examined in cells lacking the axial element protein Hop1, in which the sister chromatid is preferred over the homolog as a repair template [42,88]. As anticipated, the hop1Δ mutant lacked the middle spot corresponding to IH-JMs and acquired the two outer spots predicted for IS-JMs, with P2×P2 being the dominating IS species (Figure S6A). The indistinctness of the P1×P1 spot is due to the fact that the DSB hotspots at this locus are only located on the P2 homolog, directing the majority of inter-sister repair to this set of sister chromatids (Figure S5).
Similar to the results obtained from the 1D gels, levels of total JMs from the 2D gels were approximately 2.5-fold higher in the smc6-56 mutant than in the SMC6 strain prior to NDT80 induction (Figure 4D–E). A homolog-specific probe identified many of these JMs as IS-JMs in the smc6-56 mutant (Figure S6B). Though some JMs in the smc6-56 mutant were resolved following release, about two-thirds of the total persisted at the final time point (Figure 4E). Further examination of JM composition revealed that smc6-56 cells formed JMs composed of a higher ratio of IS-JMs compared to SMC6 cells in the ndt80 arrest (Figure 4D 7 h, 0.43 vs. 0.19 of total). After NDT80 induction, the ratio of IS-JMs to total JMs increased to over 0.6 in smc6-56 cells, though some IH-JMs

![Figure 2. Sister chromatid cohesion, Rec8 dynamics and DSB repair are largely unchanged in smc6-56 mutants.](image1.png)

(A) Sister chromatid separation of chromosome V. Percent of sister chromatid separation 35 Kb away from the centromere (CenV) in wild type (CB1197) and smc6-56 (CB1248) is shown in solid lines. Percent separation 50 Kb away from the right telomere (TelV) in wild type (CB1427) and smc6-56 (CB1426) indicated in dotted lines. N = 200. (B) Percent of cells with full Rec8 protein axes in wild type (CB46) and smc6-56 (CB1346). Rec8 axes were assessed by detecting epitope-tagged Rec8 (Rec8-3HA) on chromosome spreads using standard immunofluorescence techniques, picture illustrates a cell with full Rec8 axes shown in red, scale bar indicates 2 μm. N = 100. (C) DSB repair at the HIS4LEU2 hotspot on chromosome III in wild type (CB1183) and smc6-56 (CB1303). The curves represent mean break levels at the two DSB sites at the indicated time points. The Southern blot shown is representative for the three used for quantifications, DSB species were identified according to their size. (D) Cumulative DSB levels at the HIS4LEU2 hotspot in rad50S (CB2059) and rad50S smc6-56 (CB2060). The Southern blot shown is representative for those used to quantify DSBs in the rad50S background. Plots in (C) and (D) represent mean ± standard deviation from three independent experiments. All experiments were run under soft-shift conditions.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003898.g002
persisted as well. Similar results were obtained in an independent experiment (Figure S7A). These data indicate that SMC6 prevents the formation of excess JMs and facilitates the resolution of JMs; especially those formed between sister chromatids.

To test if SMC6 is needed for JM resolution, JMs were examined under conditions when the Smc6 protein was functional during the ndt80-mediated arrest and then made non-functional during release. In this situation, the smc6-56 mutant was inviable and unable to segregate its DNA (Figure 3A–B). JM formation was normal when smc6-56 cells were arrested at permissive temperature until 7 h when 1 μM β-estradiol (+ED, arrow) was added and cultures were shifted to non-permissive temperature. (A) Cells were accumulated in an ndt80 arrest at permissive temperature until 7 h when 1 μM β-estradiol (+ED, arrow) was added and cultures were shifted to non-permissive temperature. (B) Cell viability for cells undergoing meiosis under the same conditions described for (A) at 0 h and 24 h after meiotic induction. (C) Cells were accumulated in ndt80 arrest under soft-shift conditions at non-permissive temperature until 7 h when 1 μM β-estradiol (+ED, arrow) was added and cultures were shifted to permissive temperature. (D) Cell viability for cells undergoing meiosis under the same conditions described for (C) at 0 h and 24 h after meiotic induction.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003898.g003

The Smc5/6 complex performs its most critical functions at the time of joint molecule resolution. Meiotic progression and cell viability were determined following temperature shifts in strains carrying an inducible NDT80 allele (NDT80-IN) under the control of estradiol (ED). Meiotic progression given as percent of MI+MII cells in NDT80-IN SMC6 (CB1753) and NDT80-IN smc6-56 (CB1723) at the indicated time points. N = 200. For viability assessment, cells were collected at the indicated time points, sonicated briefly, diluted to the desired concentration, spread onto YPD plates and grown at permissive temperature for 3 days. Viability is given in percent as determined by the number of colony-forming units divided by the total number of cells plated. (A) Cells were accumulated in an ndt80 arrest at permissive temperature until 7 h when 1 μM β-estradiol (+ED, arrow) was added and cultures were shifted to non-permissive temperature.

SMC6 is required for the resolution of joint molecules

To test if SMC6 is needed for JM resolution, JMs were examined under conditions when the Smc6 protein was functional during the ndt80-mediated arrest and then made non-functional during release. In this situation, the smc6-56 mutant was inviable and unable to segregate its DNA (Figure 3A–B). JM formation was normal when smc6-56 cells were arrested at permissive temperature (Figure 5A–E). When shifted to non-permissive temperature at the time of NDT80 induction, JMs were not fully resolved, and no significant decrease in CO or NCO levels was detected (Figure 5A–E). Possible reasons for the counter-intuitive finding that IH-JMs persist without a detectable decrease in CO formation are considered in the discussion. Upon closer examination, the ratio of IS-JMs out of total JMs at the final time point is increased to 0.67 from its ratio of 0.23 at the time of ndt80 release (Figure 5D). IH-JMs remained as well, but some were apparently resolved, as reflected in the decreased IH-JM ratio and formation of COs at later time points. Similar results were found in an independent experiment (Figure S7B). Together these results implicate a role for SMC6 in the resolution of IS-JMs and, to a lesser extent, IH-JMs that form under normal conditions.

Joint molecules accumulated in the absence of SMC6 function are resolved after restoration of Smc6 activity

When Smc6 is non-functional during ndt80 arrest but functional during release, cells successively completed nuclear divisions (Figure 3C) and formed normal levels of CO and NCO products (Figure 6A–C). Prior to NDT80 induction, the smc6-56 mutant formed ~3-fold higher total JM levels (Figure 6C, E). At this time point, the ratio of IS-JMs to the total on the 2D gels was 0.62 in the smc6 mutant, compared to 0.20 in the SMC6 strain. When Smc6 function was restored at the time of NDT80 induction, all JMs were resolved (Figure 6A,C,D,E). Similar results were
Figure 4. The smc6-56 mutant accumulates unresolved joint molecules but forms normal levels of recombination products. Analysis of recombination measured at the ectopic URA3-ARG4 interval on chromosome III (Figure S5) [84] in strains containing the estradiol-inducible NDT80 allele (NDT80-IN) under soft-shift conditions. NDT80-IN was induced at 7 h with 1 µM β-estradiol (+ED, arrow). Blue curves indicate NDT80-IN SMC6 (CB2096); red NDT80-IN smc6-56 (CB2097). (A) Representative Southern blot used to detect JMs after digesting with XmnI and probing for a region in ARG4. P1, P2 and JM regions based on expected sizes. (B) Representative Southern blot used to detect CO/NCO products at the same interval after digesting with XhoI/EcoRI and probing for HIS4 sequences. P2, CO and NCO regions based on expected sizes. (C) Quantifications of total JM levels, NCOs and COs in percent of total DNA from blots illustrated in (A) and (B). Dotted line and arrow indicate time of NDT80-IN induction. Plots represent mean ± standard deviation from three independent experiments. (D) Two-dimensional analysis of JM species. DNA from NDT80-IN SMC6 and NDT80-IN smc6-56 undergoing meiosis under soft-shift conditions was isolated and subjected to two-dimensional electrophoresis as described in Materials and Methods. The interpretive panel shows the assumed identity of JM species after probing for a region which recognizes both homologs. JMs between P1×P1 are the result of random breaks and are therefore expected to give a broader signal than P2×P2 and P2×P1. Dashed line, P2×P2 IS-JM; solid line, P2×P1 IH-JM; dotted line, P1×P1 IS-JM. The enlarged panels are enhanced images of the JM region from the designated time point. Ratio of IH-JMs/ΣJMs and IS-JMs/ΣJMs given below relevant images and were calculated as described in Figure S9. (E) Quantification of total JMs in
obtained from 2D gels from an independent experiment (Figure S7C). This supports the notion that the rescue in nuclear divisions is due to the restoration of JM resolution. The JMs that accumulated in the absence of SMC6 function were not lethal, as viability was also restored when the cells were shifted to permissive temperature (Figure 3D). These results show that all JMs formed without functional SMC6 can properly be resolved if Smc6 function is restored during JM resolution.

SMC6

This supports the notion that the rescue in nuclear divisions is due to the restoration of JM resolution. The JMs that accumulated in the absence of SMC6 function were not lethal, as viability was also restored when the cells were shifted to permissive temperature (Figure 3D). These results show that all JMs formed without functional SMC6 can properly be resolved if Smc6 function is restored during JM resolution.

Discussion

To gain additional insights into the function of the SMC5/6 complex during meiotic recombination, an N-terminal epitope-tagged version of Smc6 was used to analyze the complex’s binding on chromosome spreads using immunofluorescence. The tagged version of SMC6 was fully functional and neither impeded events during meiotic prophase nor delayed meiotic segregation (data not shown). Smc6-Myc appeared on chromosomes during early prophase around the time of Rec8 foci formation (Figure 7A). When the Rec8 axis began to organize, Smc6’s binding became more diffuse and formed an axis-like structure. On full-length axes, Smc6 localized at regions with weaker Rec8 signals as well as at sites with more diffuse Rec8 signals (Figure 7C, solid and dashed arrows, respectively). Consistent with results from a previous study [60], Smc6 bound abundantly to the rDNA, seen by the brightly staining Smc6 region (Figure 7A, green) corresponding to weak DAPI staining. After late prophase, the Smc6-Myc signal became diffuse and disappeared prior to MI. Removing cohesin seemed to reduce the amount of Smc6 foci and eliminated the axis-like pattern of Smc6 (Figure 7B). Western blotting revealed that Smc6 protein levels were similar in the wild type and in the rec8Δ mutant, indicating that the diminished levels of Smc6 binding were not due to decreased Smc6 protein levels in this cohesin mutant (Figure S8). These results demonstrate that cohesin is required for the proper organization of Smc6 foci on chromosomes and suggest that localization of the SMC5/6 complex is influenced by meiotic axis structure and/or the presence of sister chromatid cohesion.

The results presented here suggest that the SMC5/6 complex prevents excessive JM formation and aids in JM resolution during meiosis. This resolution function is particularly critical for JM intermediates formed between sister chromatids. Similar to other mutants defective in JM processing, smc5/6 mutants experience a recombination-dependent segregation block without halting meiotic progression [8,17,80,81]. This could indicate that Smc6 works together with established resolution pathways, such as those mediated by the ZMMs or Mus81-Mms4. Unlike those mutants, however, the smc6-56 mutant does not lead to any detectable decrease in CO or NCO levels, suggesting that it works predominately outside of canonical meiotic recombination pathways. Given the normal levels of COs and NCOs, the nature of the persisting JMs, we propose that in the absence of Smc6, cells accumulate primarily IS-JMs, but also a subset of IH-JMs (Figure 8).

When JM formation occurs without Smc6 function, overall JM levels are 2.5–3-fold higher than in cells with functional Smc6 (Figure 4C,E, Figure 6C,E). The ratio of IS-JMs to total JMs in the smc6-56 mutant is twice that in SMC6 cells (Figure 4D, Figure 6D). Although IH-JMs are still the dominating species, the absence of Smc6 diminishes the IH-bias slightly. This may be due to higher levels if IS recombination in the smc6-56 mutant or due to an accumulation of normally transient IS-JM intermediates that cannot be resolved without functional Smc6. When NDT80 is induced in the continued absence of Smc6 function, about three-fourths of total JMs persist and the IS-JM ratio increases further, though some IH-JMs also persist (Figure 4C,D). Surprisingly, CO formation is normal in spite of persisting IH-JMs. One explanation for this could be that these lingering IH-JMs are not significant enough in number to cause a detectable decrease in CO levels (Figure 8). As an alternative, extra IH-JMs could come from additional recombination-initiating events, which has been shown to occur in some mutants [11]. The smc6-56 mutant does form slightly higher numbers of DSBs at one break site in the rad50Δ background (Figure 2D, DSBI). If this also occurs at other sites, it may account for some of the increase in the levels of recombination in the smc6 mutant.

More recombination-initiating events would also explain the IH-JMs that are never resolved, despite normal CO levels, when Smc6 is non-functional from the time of meiotic induction (Figure 4A–D). This suggests that cells lacking functional Smc6 accumulate recombination intermediates that will require Smc6 for their resolution. In line with this hypothesis, when cells lacking Smc6 function during JM formation are released from the ndt80Δ arrest in the presence of functional Smc6, all JMs are resolved, despite the higher ratio of IS-JMs at the time of release and higher overall JM levels (Figure 6). COs and NCOs are formed efficiently (Figure 6B,C), and DNA segregation and viability is rescued (Figure 3C,D). This indicates that the JMs formed in the mutant can be properly resolved when Smc6 function is restored (Figure 8). This reversible phenotype is similar to what has been observed for the inter-sister recombination intermediates which accumulate in mitotic cells lacking Smc6 function [89].

Finally, when Smc6 is functional during JM formation in an ndt80Δ-mediated arrest, both the levels and ratios of JMs are normal (Figure 5A,C,E). Upon release into conditions that render Smc6 non-functional, however, three-fourths of total JMs persist and cells are unable to segregate their DNA (Figure 5C, Figure 3A). The remaining JMs are composed of both IS-JMs and IH-JMs, but the ratio of IS-JMs increases upon shift to non-permissive temperature (Figure 5D). A fraction of IH-JMs is resolved, as reflected in the efficient formation of COs and decrease in the IH-JM ratio (Figure 5A–D). One explanation for why these unresolved IH-JMs do not lead to a detectable decrease in CO formation is that they contribute to a very small portion of total CO levels not distinguishable in the assays used here (Figure 8). NCO formation is also normal, indicating that the remaining JMs are not caused by converted SDSA events (Figure 5B,C). These data suggest that a subset of IH-JMs that form under normal conditions require Smc6 for their resolution, while nearly all IS-JMs seem to rely on Smc6 for their resolution (Figure 8). This finding correlates with the role of the SMC5/6 complex in the resolution of sister chromatid intermediates during homologous recombination during mitosis in yeast [53] and in germ line cells in C. elegans [57].

Even though the presented evidence suggests a role for the SMC5/6 complex outside of canonical recombination pathways, the possibility that it works together with other recombination pathways remains to be tested.
Figure 5. Smc6 protein function is required to resolve a subset of joint molecules. Cells were accumulated in an ndt80 arrest at permissive temperature (green lines, –ED) until ND80 induction when cultures were shifted to non-permissive temperature (red lines, +ED) at 7 h. Arrows indicate addition of 1 μM β-estradiol. Blue curves indicate ND80-IN SMC6 (CB2096) and red curves indicate ND80-IN smc6-56 (CB2097). One-dimensional JM and CO/NCO detection and two-dimensional JM analysis were performed using the same locus and techniques described in Figure 4. (A) Representative Southern blot used to detect joint molecules. (B) Representative Southern blot used to detect CO/NCO species. (C) Quantifications of total JM levels, NCO and CO products from blots in (A) and (B), respectively. Dotted line and arrow indicate time of ND80 induction. Plots represent mean ± standard deviation from three independent experiments. (D) Identification of JM species using two-dimensional electrophoresis on ND80-IN SMC6 and ND80-IN smc6-56 undergoing ND80 arrest at permissive temperature and release at non-permissive temperature. The enlarged panels are enhanced images of the JM region from the designated time point. Ratio of IH-JMs/SJMs and IS-JMs/SJMs given below relevant images and were calculated as described in Figure S9. (E) Quantification of total JMs in percent of total DNA from the gels represented in (D). Arrow denotes ND80-IN induction. Curves represent a single experiment, with similar results from a second independent experiment shown in Figure S7B. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003898.g005
Figure 6. Joint molecules accumulated without functional Smc6 protein can be resolved when its function is restored during resolution. Cells were accumulated in an ndt80 arrest with soft-shift to non-permissive temperature (red lines, −ED). NDT80 was induced concurrently with the shift to permissive temperature (green lines, +ED) at 7 h. Arrows indicate addition of 1 μM β-estradiol. Blue curves indicate NDT80-IN SMC6 (CB2096) and red curves indicate NDT80-IN smc6-56 (CB2097). One-dimensional JM and CO/NCO detection and two-dimensional JM analysis were done using the same locus and techniques described in Figure 4. (A) Representative Southern blot used to detect joint molecules. (B) Representative Southern blot used to detect CO/NCO species. (C) Quantifications of total JM levels, NCO and CO products from blots in (A) and (B), respectively. Dotted line and arrow indicate time of NDT80 induction. Plots represent mean ± standard deviation from three independent experiments. (D) Identification of JM species using two-dimensional electrophoresis on NDT80-IN SMC6 and NDT80-IN smc6-56 undergoing NDT80 arrest at non-permissive temperature and release at permissive temperature. The enlarged panels are enhanced images of the JM region from the designated time point. Ratio of IH-JMs/SJMs and IS-JMs/SJMs given below relevant images and were calculated as described in Figure S9. (E) Quantification of total JMs in percent of total DNA from the gels represented in (D). Arrow denotes NDT80-IN induction. Curves represent one experiment, with similar results from a second independent experiment shown in Figure S7C. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003898.g006
Figure 7. The Smc6 protein localizes to meiotic chromosomes during prophase in a cohesin-dependent manner. Cells were isolated at the indicated time points and surface-spread to detect epitope-tagged proteins Smc6 (Smc6-13Myc, green) and Rec8 (Rec8-3HA, red) using standard immunofluorescence techniques. DNA visualized with DAPI shown in grey. (A) Wild type (CB1361). (B) rec8Δ (CB1411). (C) Enlarged image of a representative cell from the wild type (CB1361) at the 4 h time point. Solid arrows indicate an Smc6 site at a weaker-staining Rec8 site. Dashed arrows indicate a strong Smc6 site on top of a strong Rec8 site. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003898.g007
**Figure 8. Model for the role of the Smc5/6 complex during meiosis.** Schematic diagram depicting the result of having non-functional Smc6 protein before and/or after NDT80 induction as indicated (red = non-permissive/non-functional, green = permissive/functional) and described in the text. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003898.g008
pathways cannot be ruled out (Eva Hoffman, personal communication; Franz Klein, personal communication). In a wild-type meiosis, almost all NCOs are derived from the SDSA pathway and do not resolve via a JM intermediate [11,12]. In contrast, in cells lacking SGO, what should have been SDSA events are instead stabilized and transformed into dHJs [8]. These are later resolved into both NCO and CO products, thereby delaying the timing of NCO formation until JM resolution is induced [8]. With that in mind, the additional JMs in the smc6-56 mutant presented here could come from the conversion of NCO-forming SDSA events into JM intermediates. However, the smc6-56 mutant is not defective in the timing of NCO formation under non-permissive conditions, and forms most of its NCOs prior to NDT80 induction (Figure 4B,C, Figure 6B,C). Final NCO levels are also normal, indicating that the JMs that remain in the smc6-56 mutant are not derived from the conversion of SDSA events into unresolvable JMs.

We here show that the smc6-56 mutant is able to establish sister chromatid cohesion and efficiently localize and remove cohesin from chromosomes (Figure 2B, Figure 1C). Mutants in other subunits of the Smc5/6 complex have been reported to inhibit full removal of meiotic cohesin (Eva Hoffman, personal communication). One explanation for this discrepancy could be that some components of the Smc5/6 complex work in different pathways. The segregation block in an smc5-56 mutant is also reported to be partially rescued when Rec8 is artificially removed from chromosomes (Eva Hoffman, personal communication). While this result could point towards a function for Smc5 in cohesin removal, it could also support the notion that the Smc5/6 complex is needed to resolve IS recombination intermediates. Removing sister chromatid cohesion reduces the likelihood of IS repair, thereby decreasing the level of IS recombination and allowing some smc5-56 cells to segregate their chromosomes. While the smc6-56 mutant can separate some of its sister chromatids at the telomere and centromere on chromosome V, this segregation is not complete (Figure 2A). This is not due to an abnormal version of cohesion, as cells forced to undergo a mitosis-like division in the absence of recombination can separate their sister chromatids (Figure S3). Instead, the sisters are most likely held together by DNA attachments and not cohesin, as illustrated by data showing that the smc6-56 mutant accumulates unresolved JMs between sister chromatids. In addition, the centromeric and telomeric regions have been suggested to be hotspots for meiotic inter-sister repair [90], which could explain why less than half of the sister chromatids are able to separate at these regions despite cohesin removal in smc6-56 cells.

Smc6 localizes to meiotic chromosomes as well as to the rDNA (Figure 7A). Preliminary evidence suggests that its binding pattern may reveal its precise localization on the meiotic axis, i.e., whether it sits at or between Rec8 sites (Figure 7C). Indeed, abolishing sister chromatid cohesion by removing Rec8 reduces the binding of Smc6 to chromosomes, suggesting that cohesin may guide Smc6 localization (Figure 7B). It is possible that the absence of sister chromatid cohesion reduces the likelihood of inter-sister recombination, which in turn diminishes the loading of Smc6. Alternatively, or in addition, the stable association of Smc6 to chromosomes may require proper axis formation and/or cohesion as such. Deletion of RECD only diminishes the binding of Smc6, indicating that other factors dictate the loading of Smc6 to meiotic chromosomes. It will be interesting to learn what role the Smc5/6 complex plays in meiotic chromatin organization in order to gain further insights into its role during recombination.

In conclusion, this study identifies a crucial role for the Smc5/6 complex in processing of recombination intermediates during meiotic recombination. Mutants in the Smc5/6 complex acquire high levels of recombination intermediates between homologs and sister chromatids. The majority of IH-JMs are resolved, as reflected by the decreased ratio of IH-JMs at the final time points and the normal level of COs. IS-JMs, on the other hand, seem to depend on the function of Smc6 for their resolution. We therefore propose that the main impediment to homolog segregation in smc6-56 mutants is unresolved linkages between sister chromatids, though some homolog attachments contribute to the segregation defect as well.

Materials and Methods

Yeast strains and methods

Strains used for this investigation are derivatives of SK1 [91] and are shown in Table S1. Gene deletions and C-terminal epitope tags were introduced using standard methods [92]. The smc6-56 allele was integrated at its endogenous locus and contains three point mutations in the coil-coil region of the protein which render it temperature-sensitive [61]. Meiotic nulls for SMC5, SNE4 and SWE2 were made by replacing the endogenous promoters with the CLB2 promoter using one-step gene replacement [62]. The NDT80-IN strains have been described [82,83].

Sporulation

Liquid media, pre-sporulation and sporulation conditions were done using SPS media according to previously described methods [93]. Cultures were grown with vigorous shaking in baffled flasks at least ten times larger than the culture volume to achieve optimum synchrony. Permissive temperature was defined as 25°C; non-permissive temperature was 33°C. For the soft-shift setup, pre-sporulation plates and cultures were grown at 25°C. Once shifted to sporulation media, the cells were grown for 2.5 hours at 25°C before raising the temperature to 33°C. All experiments were performed at least twice with results similar to those presented in the figures here. Expression of NDT80-IN was induced by the addition of β-estradiol at a final concentration of 1 μM 7 h after meiotic induction.

Molecular analyses

The HIS4LEU2 locus used for DSB analysis has been described [6,78]. The ectopic locus on chromosome III used for 1D and 2D JM analyses as well as CO/NCO detection is illustrated in Figure S5 and has been described [11,84]. For native-native two-dimensional gel electrophoresis, psoralen cross-linked DNA was extracted from meiotic cultures as described in [94] and references therein. After digesting with XmnI, DNA samples were run on a 0.4% SeaKem GTG agarose gel (Lonza) lacking ethidium bromide in 1X TBE (90 mM Tris-borate, 2 mM EDTA pH 8) at 1 V/cm for 24 hours at room temperature. Gels were stained for 10 minutes in 1X TBE containing 0.3 μg/mL ethidium bromide and were excised and laid perpendicular to the direction of current for the second dimension. The gel for the second dimension, 0.8% SeaKem GTG agarose (Lonza) in 1X TBE plus 0.3 μg/mL ethidium bromide, was cast around the gel slices and allowed to harden. Electrohoresis in the second dimension was carried out in 4°C for 6 hours at 4 V/cm in 1X TBE containing 0.3 μg/mL ethidium bromide with pumping from the cathode to the anode. Gels were subjected to Southern blot analysis and probed with ARG4 coding sequences (+165 to +1413). DNA preparation and one-dimensional electrophoresis for JM assays were done as described using conditions that stabilize JM intermediates [95]. JMs were analyzed using XmnI digests probed with ARG4 coding sequences (+165 to +1413, argD), COs/NCOs were analyzed using XhoI/EcoRI double digests probed with HIS4

PLOS Genetics | www.plosgenetics.org

November 2013 | Volume 9 | Issue 11 | e1003898

14
coding sequences (+538 to +718, hisU). DNA was transferred to nylon membranes via downward capillary transfer using standard techniques. After cross-linking the DNA, the membranes were pre-hybridized in Church buffer (1% w/v BSA, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 M phosphate buffer, 7% w/v SDS) for approximately 4 hours at 65°C and hybridized with the radioactively labeled probe overnight at 65°C. After washing, signals were detected on an imaging plate with a FLA-7000 image reader and quantified using Multi Gauge software, all from Fujifilm.

Quantifications of 1D and 2D gels were done using Multi Gauge software by selecting equivalent regions of interest, including one to measure the background of the region. Sizes of expected products were determined using molecular weight standards. The signal was corrected for background and divided by the sum of the measured region and the standard region (in all cases, the parental region). Similar blots were treated equally; for instance, regions of interest used to measure CO/NCO species were the same size for each blot. Further details regarding quantifications of JM species from 2D gels are given in Figure S9. All experiments were performed at least twice with results similar to those presented.

Cytology and immunofluorescence

Nuclear morphology was scored by DAPI (4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) staining of ethanol-fixed cells using standard protocols. In situ immunostaining of fixed whole cells for microtubule detection was performed using conventional techniques with a monoclonal mouse anti-alpha Tubulin antibody (DM1A, Abcam) at a 1:1000 dilution. Stained slides were mounted and DAPI-stained using ProLong Gold (Invitrogen). Meiotic spreading was done on SuperFrost Plus slides according to the protocol previously described [96] with the exception that 5% Lipsol was used as a detergent. A 1:500 dilution of rabbit-anti-Zip1 (gift from K. Schmekel) was used to detect Zip1. Smc6-13Myc was detected using 1:200 mouse-anti-Myc (Invitrogen) and Rec8-3HA (gift from K. Schmekel) was used to detect Zip1. Smc6-13Myc was detected using 1:500 dilution of rabbit-anti-Myc (Invitrogen). Image acquisition of a single focal plane was done in Volocity (Perkin Elmer) with a Leica confocal microscope. Image processing and analysis was carried out in Volocity.

Additional methods describing results shown in supporting figures can be found in Text S1.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Schematic representation of meiotic recombination. Meiotic recombination is initiated by Spo11-catalyzed DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs). Spo11 is removed from the DNA in the form of Spo11-oligonucleotide complexes, allowing the 5' ends of the DSB to be resected to generate 3' single-stranded overhangs coated by Rad51 and Dmc1 (not shown) that can invade a homologous strand for repair. Strand invasion gives rise to a D-loop, forming an initial joint molecule (JM) intermediate. Following stabilization and DNA synthesis, the initial JM gives rise to another transient JM species called the single-end invasion (SEI). (A) The SEI can be quickly dissociated to re-ligate the newly synthesized DNA end to the complementary free break end in a process called synthesis-dependent strand annealing (SDSA). Additional DNA synthesis and ligation yields a mature non-crossover product. (B) Alternatively, the SEI can be stabilized to facilitate capture of the second 3' DSB end via engagement of the intact homologous strand. Further processing yields give rise to a stable JM intermediate known as a double-Holliday junction (dHJ). (C) Endonuclease-mediated resolution of the dHJ yields primarily crossover products.

Figure S2 Segregation, viability and FACS profiles from wild-type and smc6-56 strains. (A) Meiotic progression and viability of wild type (CB1017) and smc6-56 (CB1032) at permissive temperature (25°C). Progression indicated as percent of MII+MII cells observed via DAPI staining of fixed whole cells, N = 200. Spore viability determined after dissection of 72 spores following sporulation for 3 days at permissive temperature. (B) Meiotic progression in wild type (blue curves, top) and smc6-56 (red curves, bottom) at non-permissive temperature (33°C). The smc6-56 mutant does not divide its nuclei and instead forms cells containing one DNA mass outside of four spores as described in Figure 1 but also has a population of cells that remain mononucleate when kept at non-permissive temperature from the time of transfer into meiotic media. N = 200. (C) FACS profiles from wild type (blue) and smc6-56 (red) undergoing meiosis under soft-shift conditions. Cultures were kept at permissive temperature (25°C) until 2.5 hours after meiotic induction (red asterisk) when the majority of the cells in the wild type and mutant had completed replication and the temperature was raised to non-permissive (33°C). (TIF)

Figure S3 Segregation and spore viability in a spo11A spo13A background. Percent dyad formation in spo11A spo13A (CB1466) and smc6-56 spo11A spo13A (CB1465) undergoing meiosis under soft-shift conditions. N = 200. (B) Spore viability after dissection of 36 spores for spo11A spo13A (CB1466) and smc6-56 spo11A spo13A (CB1465) after sporulation for three days at non-permissive temperature. Spores were grown for 3 days at permissive temperature. (TIF)

Figure S4 Whole-chromosome break pattern of chromosome IV in a rad50S background. Analysis of whole-chromosome break pattern for chromosome IV for rad50S (CB58) and rad50S smc6-56 (CB1360) strains undergoing meiosis under soft-shift conditions. At the indicated time points, cells were isolated and treated for DNA extraction and subsequent pulse-field gel electrophoresis as described in Text S1.

Figure S5 The URA3-ARG4 recombination hotspot on chromosome III. Figure is adapted from that shown in [84]. The URA3-ARG4 construct is inserted at LEU2 on one homolog (P1) and at HIS4 on the other homolog (P2). P2 contains an EcoRI site containing palindrome (indicated by the grey circle) in the ARG4 sequence, denoted arg4-EcoRI. The restriction sites XhoI (N), EcoRI (E), and XmnI (N) are as indicated. NCOs and COs are detected by digesting DNA with EcoRI and XhoI and then probing with HIS4 sequences (blue bar, hisU). To detect JMs, genomic DNA is digested with XmnI and probed with ARG4 sequences (black bar, argD). Probe sequences are described in Materials and Methods in the main text.

Figure S6 Identification of IS-JMs on 2D gels. (A) Analysis of JM formation via two-dimensional gel electrophoresis in hop1A NDT80-IN (CB2272) at the indicated time points. Gel conditions and species identification are as described for Figure 4. (B) The blot used in Figure 4D from NDT80-IN smc6-56, containing the 0 h and 7 h time points, was stripped and re-probed with the hisU probe, which only recognizes one homolog (P2) and detects the P2×P2 IS-JM (solid line) and the P1×P2 H1JM (dashed line) as indicated in the schematic drawing. The grey square indicates the region that has been enlarged and enhanced to better visualize the JM spots in the lower panel. Species determined based on predicted size.
Figure S7  Independent experiments for two-dimensional analysis of JMs. JM analysis at indicated time points for NDT80-IN SMC6 (CB2996) and NDT80-IN smc-6-36 (CB2097). Arrows above the blot images and below the graphs denote time of NDT80 induction with 8-Br-cAMP (ED). Gel conditions are as described for Figure 4 and in Materials and Methods. Ratios of IS-JM and IH-JM species given under relevant images; quantifications of shown blots given to the right of each panel. Schematic diagram represents inferred JM species. (A) JM levels and species for cells undergoing meiosis under soft-shift conditions. (B) Cells were accumulated in an ndt80 arrest at permissive temperature (green lines, −ED) until NDT80 induction when cultures were shifted to non-permissive temperature (red lines, +ED) at 7 h. (C) Cells were accumulated in an ndt80 arrest with soft-shift to non-permissive temperature (red lines, −ED). NDT80 was induced concurrently with the shift to permissive temperature (green lines, +ED) at 7 h. (TIF)

Figure S8  Smc6 protein levels. Western blots detecting an epitope-marked allele of SMC6 (SMC6-3xFLAG) in a wild-type background (CB1181) and a re/ΔA background (CB1430) by extracting protein and probing for anti-FLAG as described in Text S1 at the indicated time points. Anti-actin was used as a loading control. (TIF)

Figure S9  Quantification methods for calculating the ratio of JM species and total JM levels from 2D gels. (A) Determination of the ratios of IS-JMs and IH-JMs. Image is from the 7 h time point from Figure 5D, NDT80-IN smc-6-36 (permissive to non-permissive). A single line was drawn to intersect the approximate centers of each JM spot using the Multi Gauge program (Fujifilm). The software generated a peak profile and the peaks representing each JM species were defined and selected after setting a threshold value as illustrated. As shown to the right, the software then generated intensity values, found from the area under each peak, and the subsequent ratios were calculated as signal/2 × JM signals. Because this method of analysis does not require using the parental bands as standards, images could be exposed for longer periods to get stronger signals in the JM region without being concerned about overexposure of the parental bands. It is also important to note that the sum of the two IS-JM signals was used for comparison. This is crucial because analyzing just one set of IS-JMs would be incorrect since the two homologs exhibit different levels of DSBs (Figure S5) [40]. Values in bold are those shown in Figure 5D. (B) Demonstration of how total JM levels were calculated from blot from the 7 h time point from Figure 6D, NDT80-IN SMC6 (non-permissive to permissive). The areas corresponding to JM and parental regions (P1 + P2) were selected using equal-sized regions of interest, plus an equivalent region near the JM region corresponding to background. Percent of Σ JMs was then determined by: (measured value for JM region − measured background value)/(measured value for JM region + measured value for parental region) × 100. (TIF)

Table S1  Yeast strains used in this study. All strains are derivatives of SK1 [91]. CB1017 was created by diploidizing K0379 (MATa, ho:LYS2, ura3, leu2::hisG, trpl::hisG, his3::hisG, fyl2), a kind gift from the lab of Dr. Kim Nasmyth. Modifications to CB1017’s genotype are indicated for each strain. Strains are MATa/α and homozygous for described loci unless otherwise indicated. The rad50S (rad50-K181 → rad50S) strains were derived from NKY1002 [79] and were a gift from Dr. Kim Nasmyth’s lab. Strains used for HIS4LEU2 recombination assays were derived from NKY1303 (MATa, ho:LYS2, fyl2, leu2::hisG, ura3, arg4-Bgl2, his4::LEU2-Mud1IB and NKV1543 (MATalpha, ho:LYS2, fyl2, leu2::hisG, ura3, his4XLEU2-Mud1B::Mud1URAD3, arg4-Npy), which were originally generated in the lab of Dr. Nancy Kleckner and described in [12] and [77]. CenV-GFP and TrdV-GFP strains were derived from FKY756 (MATa, ho:LYS2, promURA3::tetR::GFP::LEU2, tetOx224-URA3) and FKY4124 (MATα/α, alpha, ho:LYS2, fyl2, trp1, promURA3:TetR::GFP::LEU2, Mud1::tetOx224-URA3, ura3, leu2::hisG, his3::hisG, ura3). The strains used for JM and CO/NCO detection are descendents of MLS1827 (MATalpha, ho:LYS2, fyl2, arg4::decreased expression of actin), leu-R, ura3, his4::SAD1-Clade::URA3 (SAD1-Eco47III)-arg4-ExPat(1691)) and MLS1076 (MATα, ho:LYS2, fyl2, arg4::decreased expression of actin) [ARG4 cloned], which were created in the lab of Dr. Michael Lichten and originally described in [11]. Strains harbouring the NDT80-IN allele were derived from FKY4453 (MATα/α, ho:LYS2, fyl2, ura3, leu2::hisG, trp1::hisG, his3::hisG, lys2::hisG), which were derived from FKY1725 (MATα, ho:LYS2, fyl2, spo11::URA3, spo12::hisG, trp1::hisG, leu2::hisG, his3::hisG, ura3). The NKY, FKY and MLS strains are kind gifts from the lab of Dr. Franz Klein. (PDF)

Text S1  Supplementary methods and references. (DOCX)
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