Regulation of Active DNA Demethylation by a Methyl-CpG-Binding Domain Protein in Arabidopsis thaliana

Qi Li*, Xiaokang Wang*, Han Sun, Jun Zeng, Zhendong Cao, Yan Li, Weiqiang Qian*

State Key Laboratory of Protein and Plant Gene Research, The Peking-Tsinghua Center for Life Sciences, School of Advanced Agricultural Sciences and School of Life Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, China

☯ These authors contributed equally to this work.
* wqqian@pku.edu.cn

Abstract

Active DNA demethylation plays crucial roles in the regulation of gene expression in both plants and animals. In Arabidopsis thaliana, active DNA demethylation is initiated by the ROS1 subfamily of 5-methylcytosine-specific DNA glycosylases via a base excision repair mechanism. Recently, IDM1 and IDM2 were shown to be required for the recruitment of ROS1 to some of its target loci. However, the mechanism(s) by which IDM1 is targeted to specific genomic loci remains to be determined. Affinity purification of IDM1- and IDM2-associating proteins demonstrated that IDM1 and IDM2 copurify together with two novel components, methyl-CpG-binding domain protein 7 (MBD7) and IDM2-like protein 1 (IDL1). IDL1 encodes an α-crystallin domain protein that shows high sequence similarity with IDM2. MBD7 interacts with IDM2 and IDL1 in vitro and in vivo and they form a protein complex associating with IDM1 in vivo. MBD7 directly binds to the target loci and is required for the H3K18 and H3K23 acetylation in planta. MBD7 dysfunction causes DNA hypermethylation and silencing of reporter genes and a subset of endogenous genes. Our results suggest that a histone acetyltransferase complex functions in active DNA demethylation and in suppression of gene silencing at some loci in Arabidopsis.

Author Summary

DNA cytosine methylation (5-methylcytosine, 5-meC) plays important roles in genome organization, genomic imprinting, transposon silencing and gene expression. DNA methylation patterns are dynamically controlled by methylation and demethylation reactions during development and reproduction in eukaryotes. In Arabidopsis thaliana, ROS1, a 5-methylcytosine DNA glycosylase, is responsible for active DNA demethylation via a base excision repair pathway. Our previous work showed that a histone acetyltransferase, IDM1, is an important regulator of active DNA demethylation. Here we identified two components, IDL1 and MBD7, as additional novel regulators of active DNA demethylation. Our data suggest that a histone acetyltransferase complex, composed of MBD7,
IDL1, IDM2 and IDM1, is required for the recruitment of ROS1 to specific loci for active DNA demethylation. Our findings significantly expand our understanding of the regulatory mechanisms underlying active DNA demethylation in plants.

Introduction

DNA methylation is an important epigenetic mark conserved in many eukaryotes. Many studies have demonstrated that DNA methylation plays central roles in genome organization, genomic imprinting, transposon silencing, and gene expression [1,2,3]. DNA methylation levels are coordinately determined by methylation and demethylation reactions during development and reproduction in both plants and animals. In the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*, levels of symmetric CG and CHG methylation are maintained by DNA METHYLTRANSFERASE 1 (MET1) and CHROMOMETHYLASE 3 (CMT3), respectively, during DNA replication [1]. In contrast, asymmetric CHH methylation needs to be established *de novo* during each cell cycle [1]. DNA methylation is antagonized by an active DNA demethylation pathway catalyzed by a subfamily of bi-functional DNA glycosylase/lyases represented by REPRESSOR OF SILENCING 1 (ROS1) and DEMETER (DME) [4,5,6,7,8].

Although the RNA-directed DNA methylation pathway and its regulation are well-established (Law and Jacobsen, 2010), little is known about the locus-specific targeting of proteins involved in active DNA demethylation in plants. Previous studies suggested that the RNA-binding protein ROS3 is required for the recruitment of ROS1 to some target loci [9]. INCREASED DNA METHYLATION 1 (IDM1), a histone acetyltransferase, was recently identified as another important regulator of DNA demethylation that functions upstream of ROS1 [10]. With the assistance of IDM2, an alpha-crystallin domain protein, IDM1 recognizes chromatin regions that are marked by CG methylation and low levels of histone 3 lysine 4 (H3K4) and arginine 2 (H3R2) methylation and subsequently catalyzes H3K18 and H3K23 acetylation, which triggers ROS1-mediated DNA demethylation [10,11]. However, the molecular mechanism(s) by which IDM1 is targeted to these specific genomic loci remains largely unknown.

In plants and animals, a set of proteins containing a methyl-CpG-binding domain (MBD) are capable of specifically recognizing and binding methylated DNA [12,13]. They are believed to function as an interpreter of DNA methylation signals [13]. In mammals, MBD proteins MeCP2, MBD1, MBD2 and MBD4 have methyl-CpG-binding activity and function in targeting of maintenance DNA methylation machinery, H3K9 methylation, transcriptional silencing and X chromosome inactivation [14]. They usually execute their functions by binding to other proteins. For example, MeCP2 was demonstrated to interact with Sin3 and histone deacetylases to transcriptionally silence methylated chromosome, suggesting a direct relationship between histone modification and methylated DNA [15]. In *Zebrafish*, MBD4, a protein that possesses DNA glycosylase activity, was reported to be involved in active DNA demethylation [16].

The *Arabidopsis* genome contains 13 genes encoding putative MBD proteins orthologous to their mammalian counterparts [17]. Only AtMBD5, AtMBD6 and AtMBD7 bind methylated CpG nucleotide *in vitro* [17]. AtMBD5, AtMBD6 and AtMBD7 localize in the nuclei, and assemble at highly methylated chromocenters. While, in DNA hypomethylated mutants *ddm1* and *met1* they dissociate from chromocenters, indicating their methyl-CpG-binding activity *in vivo* [18]. Different from AtMBD7, AtMBD5 and AtMBD6 mainly localize to chromatin regions covered by ribosomal DNA (rDNA) gene clusters [18,19]. Snf2 family nucleosome remodeler DDM1 is able to bind AtMBD5 and AtMBD6 *in vitro* and facilitate their heterochromatin localization [18]. AtMBD7, belonging to a unique class of plant MBD proteins that have multiple
MBD domains, was hypothesized to bind multiple methylated sites that are not close to each other and promote the formation and/or maintenance of a microenvironment in chromatin [13].

To find out how IDM1 is targeted to specific loci, we identified IDM1 and IDM2 interacting proteins by an approach that combines affinity purification and mass spectrometry. We found that IDM1 and IDM2 copurify with each other and with two novel proteins, IDL1 and MBD7. MBD7 directly binds to the target loci and is required for the H3K18 and H3K23 acetylation in planta. The mbd7 mutants exhibit DNA hypermethylation at thousands of genomic loci and show increased transcriptional silencing of reporter genes and some endogenous genes. Our results reveal novel components involved in DNA demethylation and novel functions for MBD proteins in plants.

Results

Affinity purification and mass-spectrometric identification of IDM1 and IDM2 interacting proteins

To better understand the mechanism of IDM1 targeting in active DNA demethylation, we set out to purify the protein complex associated with IDM1. Transgenic Arabidopsis plants expressing IDM1-3HA-YFP driven by native IDM1 promoter in idm1-3 mutant background were generated. Previous studies showed that IDM1 dysfunction caused the kanamycin sensitive growth phenotype and silencing of NPT II transgene [20]. The IDM1-3HA-YFP was able to complement the kanamycin sensitive growth phenotype (S1A Fig). Real time PCR results showed that the NPT II expression was also restored in the transgenic plants (S1B Fig), suggesting that the IDM1-3HA-YFP fusion protein was functional in vivo. The IDM1-3HA-YFP expression in the transgenic lines was confirmed by Western blot with the HA antibody (S1C Fig). Co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) of IDM1-3HA-YFP and its interacting proteins was performed using anti-HA antibodies and flower extracts. Tryptic peptides derived from the purified proteins were sequenced by Velos Pro Orbitrap Elite tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) (Table 1 and S1 Table). As expected, the MS analysis identified peptides corresponding to a known IDM1 interacting protein IDM2 [11]. Peptides corresponding to the IDM2-related protein IDL1 and methyl-CpG-binding domain protein 7 (MBD7) were also identified (Table 1), suggesting these two proteins may be new components of active DNA demethylation pathway. Meanwhile, we carried out affinity purification of 6Myc-IDM2 using transgenic plants overexpressing 6Myc-IDM2 in idm2-1 mutants [11] and 6Myc-IDL1 using transgenic plants overexpressing 6Myc-IDL1 in wild type plants. Mass spectrometric analysis revealed that IDM2 and IDL1 copurify with each other. We also identified a large number of peptides corresponding to IDM1 and MBD7 (Table 1). Our data suggest that IDM1, IDM2, IDL1, and MBD7 form a tight complex.

Confirmation of protein-protein interactions in a IDM1 and IDM2 protein complex

The interaction of IDL1 and MBD7 with IDM1 and IDM2 was corroborated using the yeast two-hybrid assay (Fig 1A). Interestingly, IDL1 can interact with the C-terminal of IDM1 while IDM2 can interact with the N-terminal of IDM1 (Fig 1A). MBD7 also interacts with IDM2 and IDL1, but not with IDM1 (Fig 1A). The association between IDM2, IDL1, and MBD7 was also confirmed by a pull down assay (Fig 1B). To confirm these protein-protein interactions in vivo, we performed two assays. First, results from the split-LUC assay demonstrated that IDL1 interacts with IDM2, and that MBD7 interacts with both IDM2 and IDL1 (Fig 1C). Second,
experiments using GFP-tagged IDM2 and Flag-tagged MBD7 transiently expressed in *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves revealed that MBD7 co-IP’d with IDM2 from IDM2-GFP-expressing leaves, but not from leaves of control plants (Fig 1D). MBD7 also co-IP’d with IDL1 (Fig 1D).

The interaction between MBD7 and IDM1 was also detected by co-IP in plants harboring both MBD7-Myc and IDM1-HA-YFP transgenes driven by their native promoters (Fig 1D). Taken together, our results suggest that IDM1, IDM2, IDL1 and MBD7 form a tight multiprotein complex in vivo.

The mbd7 mutation leads to DNA hypermethylation at specific loci

*IDL1* encodes an α-crystallin domain protein that belongs to the IDM2 protein family. Besides IDM2, the IDM2 protein family includes three IDM2-like proteins including IDL1 (At1g20870), IDL2 (At1g54850), and IDL3 (At1g76640) (S2 Fig). According to sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis, IDL1 belongs to the same clade as IDM2 and shares maximal sequence similarity with IDM2 [21]. *MBD7* encodes a methyl-CpG-binding domain protein, which binds methylated CpG dinucleotides *in vitro* and localizes to highly CpG-methylated chromocenters in vivo [17,18].

The tight association of IDL1 and MBD7 with IDM1 and IDM2 suggests that IDL1 and MBD7 may also be required for active DNA demethylation. In order to examine the possible role of MBD7 in active DNA demethylation, two T-DNA insertion lines were isolated and RT-PCR was done to confirm that they have a complete loss of mRNA expression (S3 Fig). Whole genome bisulfite sequencing was done using DNA purified from 12-day-old *mbd7-1* mutant plants compared with wild type control (S4 and S5A Figs). Hundreds of differentially methylated regions (DMRs) were apparent in *mbd7* knockouts, including 748 loci with DNA hypermethylation and 198 loci with DNA hypomethylation, respectively (S2 and S3 Tables). Six hypermethylated loci in *mbd7, idm1*, and *ros1* plants were selected for validation by PCR-based DNA methylation analysis, and all of them confirmed to be hypermethylated in *mbd7* mutants (Fig 2A and 2B and S5B and S5C Fig), as in *idm1-1* or *ros1-4*. However, the

### Table 1. Mass-spectrometric analysis of IDM1, IDM2 and IDL1 co-purifying proteins.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein Accession</th>
<th>Gene Accession</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Mass</th>
<th>Spectra</th>
<th>Unique Peptides</th>
<th>% Seq. Cov</th>
<th>% of IDM1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IPI00540986</td>
<td>AT3G14980</td>
<td>IDM1</td>
<td>4599</td>
<td>133697</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI00545650</td>
<td>AT1G20870</td>
<td>IDL1</td>
<td>925</td>
<td>52470</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>81.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI00524605</td>
<td>AT5G59800</td>
<td>MBD7</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>35127</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>83.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI00531355</td>
<td>AT1G54840</td>
<td>IDM2</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>39712</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>80.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IDM2 Purification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein Accession</th>
<th>Gene Accession</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Mass</th>
<th>Spectra</th>
<th>Unique Peptides</th>
<th>% Seq. Cov</th>
<th>% of IDM2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IPI00531355</td>
<td>AT1G54840</td>
<td>IDM2</td>
<td>4635</td>
<td>39712</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI00540986</td>
<td>AT3G14980</td>
<td>IDM1</td>
<td>3621</td>
<td>133697</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>47.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI00545650</td>
<td>AT1G20870</td>
<td>IDL1</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>52470</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>39.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI00524605</td>
<td>AT5G59800</td>
<td>MBD7</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>35127</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IDL1 (IDM2 Like 1) Purification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein Accession</th>
<th>Gene Accession</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Mass</th>
<th>Spectra</th>
<th>Unique Peptides</th>
<th>% Seq. Cov</th>
<th>% of IDL1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IPI00545650</td>
<td>AT1G20870</td>
<td>IDL1</td>
<td>3454</td>
<td>52470</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI00540986</td>
<td>AT3G14980</td>
<td>IDM1</td>
<td>938</td>
<td>133697</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI00524605</td>
<td>AT5G59800</td>
<td>MBD7</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>35127</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>34.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI00531355</td>
<td>AT1G54840</td>
<td>IDM2</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>39712</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>30.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005210.t001
chop PCR marker locus DT-77, which was hypermethylated in idm1 and ros1 [10,11], was not hypermethylated in mbd7 mutant plants (Fig 2B), suggesting not all IDM1 target loci were affected by MBD7 loss-of-function.

Same as the results in idm1-1 and ros1-4 mutants, the hypermethylated loci in mbd7-1 mutants spread evenly across the five chromosomes and there was no enrichment at the chromocenters (S5D Fig), although MBD7 are mainly localized at highly methylated chromocenters in nuclei [18]. To determine whether the MBD7 mutation affects DNA demethylation in gene body regions or in TEs, DNA regions were classified into gene body regions, intergenic regions, TEs out of gene regions, and TEs overlapping with gene regions. The ratios of DMRs in each class were calculated. Interestingly, we found the ratios of hypermethylated loci in each class of mbd7-1 were similar to those of ros1-4 (S5E Fig). Both of these two mutants have a small number of hyper DMRs distributed in gene body regions. We also examined the methylation levels of ros1-4 and idm1-1 in those regions that are hypermethylated in mbd7-1 in CG, CHG, and CHH contexts and found most of them are hypermethylated to different degrees (S5F Fig). Approximately 18% and 48% of the 748 hyper-DMRs in mbd7-1 were also hypermethylated in the idm1-1 and ros1-4 mutants, respectively (Fig 2C). We found the hyper-DMRs identified from ros1-4 or idm1-1 that overlap with mbd7-1 mutant showed higher density of CG methylation compared with the non-overlapped loci (S5G Fig). This result suggests MBD7 dysfunction preferentially affects the genomic regions with high CG methylation density. The overlapped loci between mbd7-1 and ros1-4 were more frequently located in TE regions while the overlapped loci between mbd7-1 and idm1-1 were preferentially located in gene body regions (S5H and S5I Fig). The overlapped loci in these mutants are all hypermethylated in all sequence contexts (Fig 2C). The DNA methylation level at the mbd7-specific loci also appeared to be slightly increased in idm1-1 and ros1-4 (Fig 2C). However, the increases in ros1-4 and idm1-1 were not significant to make the loci counted as hyper-DMRs according to the parameters defined in this study, suggesting possible underestimation of the ratio of overlapping (Fig 2C). Taken together, our whole genome bisulfite sequencing results indicate that MBD7, IDM1 and ROS1 may function in the same pathway in active DNA demethylation at some loci.

MBD7 prevents the silencing of a reporter gene and a subset of endogenous genes

Previous studies indicated that IDM1 and IDM2 were required for preventing certain transgenes and endogenous genes from transcriptional silencing [10,11,20,22]. To determine whether MBD7 functions in anti-silencing of transgenes, we introduced the RD29A-LUC and 35S-NPTII into mbd7-1 mutant plants by crossing the mbd7-1 mutant with wild type C24 plants expressing the reporter gene. As shown in Fig 3A, the mbd7-1 mutant exhibited a kanamycin sensitive growth phenotype but normal LUC expression. Real time PCR analysis also showed reduced expression of the NPTII transgene, but the expression level of RD29A-LUC in mbd7-1 was comparable to that of the wild type control (Fig 3B). Thus, the mbd7 mutation
preferentially causes the silencing of 35S-NPTII but not RD29A-LUC, consistent with the idm1 or idm2 mutation [20,22].

Changes in the DNA methylation level in or near a gene may modulate the expression of that gene. To determine whether DNA hypermethylation affects the expression of adjacent genes in mbd7 mutant plants, we examined the expression levels of 14 genes that have detectable levels of transcript by real time PCR and show increased DNA methylation near or within the gene in mbd7-1, idm1-1 and ros1-4 plants (Fig 3C–3E and S6 Fig). Eleven of the tested genes showed a significant reduction in their transcript levels in these mutants (Fig 3C–3E and S6 Fig). Our results suggest that, like ROS1 and IDM1, MBD7 is critical for preventing the transcriptional silencing of some loci.

MBD7 is important for the in vivo function of IDM1

MBD7 can bind to methylated DNA through the methyl-CpG-binding domain in vitro [17], suggesting that MBD7 may recognize hypermethylated DNA regions and recruit IDM1 complex to the target loci. Previous data showed that IDM1 is critical for H3K18 and H3K23 acetylation in vivo [10]. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays revealed that the acetylated histone H3K18ac and H3K23ac markers were reduced in idm1-1 and mbd7 mutant plants at hyper-DMRs, although the reduction in mbd7-1 was not as dramatic as in idm1-1 (Fig 4A). ChIP assays also indicated that MBD7 was enriched at all of the hyper-DMRs tested, but not the control regions that contain pretty high CpG DNA methylation in the gene body regions (like At1g01260 and At1g10950) but did not show DNA hypermethylation in mbd7-1 mutant compared with wild type (Fig 4B).

Discussion

ROS1/DME family of 5mC DNA glycosylases initiated DNA demethylation is the major active DNA demethylation pathway in plants [23]. Unlike the well-established DNA methylation pathway, in which enzymes responsible for methylating DNA are guided to specific loci by base-pairing between small RNAs and the scaffold transcripts [1,24], how the DNA demethylation enzymes are recruited to specific genomic loci is poorly understood. Our findings demonstrate that, in addition to IDM1 and IDM2, MBD7 and IDL1 are required for ROS1-mediated active DNA demethylation and suppression of gene silencing at some loci in Arabidopsis.

DNA methylation is a conserved epigenetic marker that is important for TE and gene silencing, whereas DNA demethylation positively regulates gene expression. Some stress responsive genes are silenced by DNA methylation under normal conditions, but are induced by ROS1/DME mediated DNA demethylation under stressed conditions. The dynamic regulation of these genes is important for stress responses in Arabidopsis [25]. For example, the ros1 and rdd (ros1 dml2 dml3) triple mutant shows increased susceptibility to the fungal pathogen Fusarium oxysporum [25]. In light of the important roles of MBD7, IDM1 and IDM2 in DNA demethylation, we speculate that this complex is important for activating stress responsive genes via recruiting ROS1 for active DNA demethylation.

IDM2 encodes an α-crystallin domain (ACD) protein that interact with IDM1 and is required for the full activity of IDM1 in vivo [11]. IDL1 is also an ACD protein and shares the
Fig 3. MBD7 prevents the silencing of a report gene and some endogenous genes. (A) The *mbd7-1* mutation causes the silencing of *35S-NPTII* but not the *RD29A-LUC* transgene. Seedlings grown in MS plates were imaged after cold treatment at 4°C for 24 h. For kanamycin resistance test, the seeds were planted on MS medium supplemented with 50 mg/L kanamycin and incubated for 2 weeks before being photographed. The reporter genes were introduced.

**Figure Legend:**

- **A**
  - Kanamycin and Luminescence images showing the effect of the *mbd7-1* mutation.

- **B**
  - Bar graphs showing the relative transcript levels of *NPT II* and *LUC* in C24, *ros1-1*, and *mbd7-1*.

- **C**
  - Graphs showing the relative transcript levels of *At1g66770*, *At1g66780*, *ros1-4*, *idm1-1*, and *mbd7-1*.

- **D**
  - Graphs showing the relative transcript levels of *At2g15410* and *At2g15420*.

- **E**
  - Graphs showing the relative transcript levels of *At4g20790* and *At4g20800*.

---
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maximal sequence identity with IDM2. Due to the lack of mutants for IDL1 in the public database, we were unable to investigate the effects of IDL1 mutation on DNA methylation level. IDL1 directly interacts with IDM1, IDM2, and MBD7. Our co-IP and MS/MS results suggested that equal amounts of IDM1, IDM2, IDL1, and MBD7 polypeptides are present in the IDM1 complex (Table 1), indicating that IDL1 may be as important as MBD7, IDM1 and IDM2 for active DNA demethylation and gene activation.

Our results also revealed that dysfunction of MBD7 causes DNA hypermethylation at hundreds of genomic loci and silencing of a reporter gene and lots of endogenous genes. Because MBD7 binds to the methylated DNA, the role of MBD7 in DNA demethylation may be facilitating the recruitment of IDM1 to the specific loci. Since the ratio of overlapped hyper-DMRs between mbd7 and idm1 was low, MBD7 may also recruit histone modification enzymes other than IDM1 to create chromatin environment favorable for active DNA demethylation. In addition to MBD7, MBDS and MBD6 also bind to methylated DNA in vitro [18]. Interestingly, MBD6 was also identified during MS/MS analyses of IDM1- and IDL1-associated proteins (S7A Fig and S1 Table) and our yeast two-hybrid and split-LUC results confirmed that MBD6 can interact with IDM2 and IDL1 (S7B and S7C Fig). Chop-PCR results shown that the DNA methylation level of two loci were increased in mbd6 and idm1-1 mutants but not in mbd7-1 mutant plants (S7D Fig), suggesting that MBD6 may also involved in recruitment IDM1 to specific DNA regions, promoting active DNA demethylation. This is consistent with the fact only a subset of genomic regions demethylated by ROS1 and other related 5mC DNA glycosylases were influenced in the mbd7 knockout plants.

When this manuscript was under review, Lang et al. and Wang et al. reported that MBD7 and IDM3 were required for active DNA demethylation at some loci in Arabidopsis [26,27]. IDM3 is synonymous with IDL1. The identification of the same two proteins by three independent studies further underlines the importance of MBD7 and IDL1/IDM3 in the active DNA demethylation pathway. More importantly, in our study, we identified MBD7 and IDL1 from affinity purification and mass-spectrometric analysis. We characterized the IDM1-IDM2-IDL1-MBD7 complex and found the ratio of these four proteins should be 1:1:1:1 in the complex. Moreover, in addition to MBD7, MBD6 was found to be required for the recruitment of IDM1 to some specific loci in our study.

In summary, we propose a model in which MBD7 or MBD6 binds to the DNA hypermethylated region and recruits the histone acetyltransferase complex (IDM1, IDM2 and IDL1) to specific loci. Histone modification (i.e., H3K18 and H3K23 acetylation) creates the favorable chromatin environment for targeting of ROS1 (and other members of the ROS1 subfamily of 5-methycytosine DNA glycosylases) to the loci for active DNA demethylation (Fig 5). In accordance with this model, MBD7 binds the target loci and H3K18ac and H3K23ac markers are reduced in the mbd7 mutant plants, as in idm1 mutants, at the target regions (Fig 4A). Not all the hypermethylated CG sites exhibited MBD7 accumulation (Fig 4B), suggesting that in addition to high CpG DNA methylation, other features such as heterochromatic histone marks may also contribute to MBD7 binding. MBD7 interacting proteins may also be involved in IDM1 targeting. As previous reported, IDM1 also contain a MBD domain at the N-terminal that can bind CG methylated nucleotide in vitro [10]. It seems that single MBD domain in IDM1 is not sufficient to recruit IDM1 to the methylated DNA and three additional MBD domains in
Fig 4. Histone acetylation marks and MBD7 association with chromatin. (A) H3K18 acetylation (ac) and H3K23ac levels at the hyper-DMR loci and control regions. ChIP was performed with antibodies against H3K18ac and H3K23ac. The ChIP signal was quantified relative to input DNA. The no-antibody precipitates served as negative control. Two biological replicates were performed, and very similar results were obtained. Standard errors were calculated from three technical repeats, *P < 0.05. (B) Association of MBD7 protein with hyper-DMR loci. ChIP was performed in WT and MBD7-Myc transgenic plants with antibody against Myc.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005210.g004
MBD7 are required for the recognition of some of the IDM1 target loci. In addition, only some of the ROS1 target loci are affected in \textit{idm1}, \textit{idm2} and \textit{mbd7}, indicating the existence of IDM1-independent mechanisms for recruiting the ROS1/DME glycosylases.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant materials and growth conditions**

Two T-DNA insertion mutants of \textit{MBD7}, \textit{mbd7-1} and \textit{mbd7-2}, were obtained from Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center. The 35S::6Myc-IDM2 transgenic plants were as described [11]. \textit{Arabidopsis} seedlings were cultivated on Murashige-Skoog (MS) nutrient agar plates at 23°C with 16 h of light and 8 h of darkness for 12 days before DNA or RNA analysis.

**Cloning strategy**

The \textit{IDM1}promoter::\textit{IDM1-3HA-YFP} construct was as described [10]. For the 35S::6Myc-IDL1 construct, full length of \textit{IDL1} genomic DNA was amplified from wild type genomic DNA by PCR and sub-cloned into \textit{pCAMBIA1307} (6Myc tag). For complementation of \textit{mbd7} mutants, a 3.7-kb genomic DNA fragment containing the \textit{MBD7} gene was amplified from Col-0 genomic DNA by PCR and cloned into the \textit{pCAMBIA1305} vector for plant transformation. \textit{Agrobacterium tumefacines} strain GV3101 carrying different constructs was used to transform mutant or wild type plants via the standard floral dipping method. Primary transformants were selected on MS plates containing hygromycin.
Affinity purification and mass spectrometry

Approximately 5 g of flower tissue collected from IDM1-HA, IDM2-GFP and 6MYC-IDL1 transgenic plants was ground in liquid N₂ with a mortar and pestle. The fine powder was suspended in 25 ml of lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 230 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 10% glycerol, 0.2% NP-40, 0.5 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, and 1 protease inhibitor cocktail tablet (Roche, 14696200)) and centrifuged for 15 min at 18,300 g at 4°C. The supernatant was incubated with 50 μl of anti-HA agarose beads (Sigma, A4865), GFP-Trap A beads (ChromoTek), or anti-c-Myc agarose beads (Sigma, A7470) for 5 h at 4°C. The beads were washed twice with 25 ml of lysis buffer and four times with 1 ml of lysis buffer. Proteins bound to HA beads were eluted with HA peptide (Genescript), whereas proteins bound to Myc beads were eluted with 0.1 M ammonium hydroxide (pH 11.5). The GFP-Trap A beads were directly boiled in SDS-sample buffer.

The mass spectrometric identification of purified proteins was done using the method described by Li et al. [28]. Briefly, purified proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE. Then the entire gel lane was cut into 6 equal portions and dehydrated. Proteins were digested in-gel with endoproteinase trypsin (0.5 ng/μL trypsin in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate, pH 8.5). Extracted peptides were sequenced by LC-MS/MS on the Velos Pro Orbitrap Elite mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, USA) equipped with a nano-ESI source. The mass spectrometer was run in data-dependent mode with one MS scan in FT mode at a resolution of 120000. Ten CID (Collision Induced Dissociation) MS/MS scans were applied in the ion trap for each cycle [28]. Mascot server (Matrix Science Ltd., London, UK) and IPI (International Protein Index) Arabidopsis protein database were used as a searching platform.

Yeast two-hybrid assay

The coding sequences of MBD7 and IDL1 were amplified by PCR. After validation of the sequences, the genes were subcloned into pGBK-T7 or pGAD-T7 (Clontech) to generate DNA binding or activation domain fusion constructs, respectively. For protein interaction analysis, two combinatory constructs were transformed simultaneously into the yeast strain AH109 (Clontech) and tested for Leu-, Trp-, and His- auxotrophy according to the manufacturer’s protocols.

Split-LUC assay

The coding sequences of MBD7 and IDL1 were amplified by PCR and subcloned into pCAMBIA1300-NLUC or pCAMBIA1300-CLUC to generate N-terminal or C-terminal luciferase-fusion constructs, respectively. For protein interaction analysis, two combinatory constructs were transformed simultaneously into Nicotiana benthamiana leaves. To prevent the silencing of those genes, a construct encoding virus p19 protein was transformed at the same time [29,30].

Pull down assay

Pull down assays among IDM2, IDL2 and MBD7 were performed as described [31]. Briefly, Maltose binding protein (MBP) either alone or fused to IDM2 (MBP-IDM2) was expressed in E. coli and fixed to an amylase resin. His-tagged IDL1 purified from E.coli (His-IDL1) was incubated with either MBP-IDM2 or MBP bound to the resin. After washes, the proteins associated to the resin were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to a membrane, and immunoblotted with antibodies against His6- tag. To determine the interaction between MBD7 and IDM2 or IDL1, MBP alone or MBP-IDM2 or MBP-IDL1 was expressed in E. coli and fixed to an amylase resin. His-tagged MBD7 purified from E.coli (His-MBD7) was incubated
with either MBP-IDM2 or MBP-IDL1 or MBP bound to the resin. After washes, the proteins associated to the resin were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to a membrane, and immunoblotted with antibodies against His6-tag.

**Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transient co-expression**

Over-expression binary constructs were transformed into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* GV3101 and cultured overnight. After resuspending in 10 mM MgCl₂, 150 μM acetosyringone and 10 mM MES (pH 5.7) at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6, and incubating for 3 h at room temperature in dark, the microbodies were syringed into leaves of *N. Benthamiana*. The infiltrated plants were grown in the greenhouse for 2–3 days.

**Co-immunoprecipitation analysis**

For co-IP between MBD7 and IDM1, 1 g of flower tissue from MBD7-MYC and IDM1--HA-YFP and MBD7-MYC F1 hybrids were collected and ground in liquid N₂. Lysis buffer (4 ml; 50 mM Tris pH8.0, 230 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 10% glycerol, 0.2% NP-40, 0.5 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, 100 μM MG132 (Gene operation) and 1 protease inhibitor cocktail tablet (Roche, 14696200) was added and mixed carefully. After centrifugation for 15 min at 18,200 g and 4°C, the supernatants were incubated with 8 μL of anti-HA agarose (Sigma, A4865) overnight at 4°C while rotating. After washing the beads 5 times with 1 ml lysis buffer for 5 min each, the beads were resuspended in 60 μL SDS sample buffer and boiled for 5 min. Input and eluted proteins (20 μL and 15 μL, respectively) were resolved by 10% SDS-PAGE and electroblotted onto PVDF membranes for Western blotting. The primary antibodies against HA and MYC were diluted at 1:2000 and the second antibody goat anti-mouse IgG (Cwbio) was diluted at 1:5000.

For the co-IP between MBD7 and IDM2, MBD7 and IDL1, 0.3 g of co-transformed *N. Benthamiana* leaves were ground in liquid N₂ and incubated in 1 ml lysis buffer without MG132. After centrifugation, 5 μL GFP-Trap A (ChromoTek) or anti-c-Myc agarose (Sigma, A 7470) were added and incubated for 4 h. The beads were washed and then boiled in 50 μL SDS sample buffer. About 20 μL of input and 10 μL of eluate were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting. The primary antibody against GFP (EASYBIO), Flag (Sigma, F1804) and MYC (Cwbio) were diluted at 1:2000 and the second antibody goat anti-mouse IgG or goat anti-rabbit IgG (Cwbio) were diluted at 1:5000.

**Whole-genome bisulfite sequencing and data analysis**

DNA was extracted from 2 g of 12-d-old seedlings using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) and sent to the Center for High throughput sequencing (Biodynamic Optical Imaging Center, Peking University) for bisulfite treatment, library preparation, and sequencing. For data analysis, adapter, and low-quality sequences (q < 20) were trimmed and clean reads were mapped to *Arabidopsis thaliana* TAIR 10 (10th release of the *Arabidopsis* genome sequence from the *Arabidopsis* Information Resource) genome using Bisulfite Sequence Mapping Program allowing two mismatches. Identification of differentially methylated regions (DMRs) was conducted according to [32]. Heat maps were drawn according to [22].

**Chop-PCR**

Genomic DNA (100 ng) was cleaved with the methylation-sensitive restriction enzymes *HhaI* or the methylation-dependent restriction enzyme *McrBC*, and the products subjected to PCR using the loci-specific primers (S4 Table).
Real-time RT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from 12-d-old seedlings in plates using the RNeasy plant mini kit (Qiagen), and contaminating DNA removed with RNase-free DNase (Qiagen). About 2 μg mRNA was used for first-strand cDNA synthesis with the Super script™ III First-Strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen) for RT-PCR following the manufacturer’s instructions. The cDNA reaction mixture was then diluted five times, and 1 μl used as template in a 20 μl PCR reaction with iQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad).

ChIP assays

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays were performed according to a published protocol [33]. The following antibodies were used for ChIP assays: anit-H3K18ac (ab1191, Abcam), anti-H3K23ac (07–355, Millipore), and anti-MYC (M4439, Sigma). ChIP products were eluted into 50 μl of TE buffer, and a 2 μl aliquot was used for each qPCR reaction.

Accession numbers

We used whole genome bisulfite sequencing data to analyze the genome-wide methylation status in WT and mbd7-1 mutant plants. The dataset was deposited at NCBI (Col-0:SRX747290, mbd7-1:SRX833671). The ros1-4 and idm1-1 whole genome bisulfite sequencing data were from GEO accession GSE33071 [10].
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S1 Fig. Complementation of idm1-3 mutant with IDM1-3HA-YFP. (A) The IDM1-3HA-YFP transgene restored the kanamycin resistance in idm1-3. For kanamycin resistance test, the seeds were planted on MS medium supplemented with 50 mg/L kanamycin and incubated for 2 weeks before being photographed. (B) Real-time PCR analysis of the expression level of NPT II reporter gene in the different genotypes. (C) The IDM1-3HA-YFP protein levels in the transgenic line detected by Western blot. IDM1-HA-YFP was immunoprecipitated and detected by the anti-HA antibody. (PDF)

S2 Fig. Clustal analysis of the phylogenetic relationship for the IDM2 family. The phylogenetic tree was generated based on the sequence comparison of the α-crystallin domain (ACDs) only and using the method described by Scharf et al., 2001[21]. Amino acid sequences from At1g76440 (37–135), At1g54840 (93–192), At1g54840 (239–338), At1g20870 (360–459), At3g12570 (365–469), At2g37570 (364–468) and At5g02480 (373–477) were used to do the multiple sequence alignment using DNAMAN software. (PDF)

S3 Fig. Characterization of the mbd7 and mbd6 T-DNA insertion mutants. (A) Schematic diagram showing the positions of the T-DNA insertions at the MBD7 and MBD6 loci. Black rectangles represent exons. (B) Domain structure for the MBD7 and MBD6 proteins. Yellow boxes represent methyl-CpG-binding domains. (C-D) RT-PCR analysis of MBD7 and MBD6 transcript levels in wild type and mutant plants. TUB8 served as a control. The positions of RT-PCR primers were indicated in S3A Fig. (PDF)

S4 Fig. Density of DNA methylation in idm1-1, mbd7-1 and ros1-4 mutants as compared to wild type plants. The densities of methylcytosines of each sequence context (CG, CHG, and
CHH) across each chromosome in 50 kb segments are shown.

**S5 Fig. DNA methylome analysis by whole genome bisulfite sequencing in wild-type, mbd7-1, idm1-1 and rosl-4 mutant plants.** (A) Average methylation levels in gene and TE bodies. Genes or TEs were aligned from 1kb upstream of transcription start sites to 1kb downstream of transcription termination sites. (B) Snapshot in the Integrated Genome Browser showing DNA methylation levels of the cysteine/histidine-rich C1 domain gene family. (C) Confirmation the whole genome bisulfite sequencing results by chop PCR. (D) Distribution of hypermethylated loci on the five chromosomes in mbd7-1, idm1-1 and rosl-4 mutants. (E) Composition of the hypermethylated loci in mbd7-1, idm1-1 and rosl-4 mutants. (F) Heat map showing the methylation levels of rosl-4 and idm1-1 in those regions that are hypermethylated DMRs in mbd7-1 in three contexts. Light yellow indicates low methylation and black indicates high methylation. (G) Box plots shown CG DNA methylation density (Y-axis) in different groups of DMRs (X-axis). (H-I) Composition of hyper-DMRs in different groups.

**S6 Fig. Examples of whole genome bisulfite sequencing data showing DNA hypermethylation in mbd7-1, idm1-1 and rosl-4 mutants and the effects of the mutations on expression of the hypermethylated genes or nearby genes.** (A-D) Effect of mbd7 mutation on the expression of endogenous genes. Left panel: Snapshot in the Integrated Genome Browser showing DNA methylation levels in the mutants. Right panel: Gene expression level in the mutants determined by real time PCR. TUB8 was used as an internal control. Error bars represent standard error (n = 3). (E) Effect of mbd7 mutation on the expression of At3g62470, At3g62475, At3g62480 and At3g62490. Upper panel: Snapshot in the Integrated Genome Browser showing DNA methylation levels in the mutants. Lower panel: Gene expression level in the mutants determined by real time PCR. TUB8 was used as an internal control. Error bars represent standard error (n = 3).

**S7 Fig. Identification of MBD6 by IDM1 or IDL1 purification and confirm the protein-protein interaction.** (A) Mass-spectrometric analysis of IDM1 and IDL1 co-purifying proteins. (B) Split-luc assays showing that MBD6 can interact with IDM2 and IDL1 in N. benthamiana leaves. Three biological replicates were performed, and similar results were obtained. (C) Yeast two-hybrid assays. (D) Chop-PCR assay determine the DNA methylation level. Genomic DNA from wild type and mutant plants were digested with McrBC, a DNA methylation-dependent restriction enzyme.

**S8 Fig. Full western blot scans related to Fig 1D.**

**S1 Table. List of all identified peptides from mass-spectrometric analysis.** (XLS)

**S2 Table. Hyper-DMRs in mbd7-1.** (XLSX)

**S3 Table. Hypo-DMRs in mbd7-1.** (XLSX)

**S4 Table. Primers used in this study.** (DOCX)
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