Arabidopsis miR171-Targeted Scarecrow-Like Proteins Bind to GT cis-Elements and Mediate Gibberellin-Regulated Chlorophyll Biosynthesis under Light Conditions
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Abstract

An extraordinarily precise regulation of chlorophyll biosynthesis is essential for plant growth and development. However, our knowledge on the complex regulatory mechanisms of chlorophyll biosynthesis is very limited. Previous studies have demonstrated that miR171-targeted scarecrow-like proteins (SCL6/22/27) negatively regulate chlorophyll biosynthesis via an unknown mechanism. Here we showed that SCLs inhibit the expression of the key gene encoding protochlorophyllide oxidoreductase (POR) in light-grown plants, but have no significant effect on protochlorophyllide biosynthesis in etiolated seedlings. Histochemical analysis of β-glucuronidase (GUS) activity in transgenic plants expressing pSCL27::SCL27-GUS revealed that SCL27-GUS accumulates at high levels and suppresses chlorophyll biosynthesis at the leaf basal proliferation region during leaf development. Transient gene expression assays showed that the promoter activity of PORC is indeed regulated by SCL27. Consistently, chromatin immunoprecipitation and quantitative PCR assays showed that SCL27 binds to the promoter region of PORC in vivo. An electrophoretic mobility shift assay revealed that SCL27 is directly interacted with G(A/G)(A/T)AA(A/T)GT cis-elements of the PORC promoter. Furthermore, genetic analysis showed that gibberellin (GA)-regulated chlorophyll biosynthesis is mediated, at least in part, by SCLs. We demonstrated that SCL27 interacts with DELLA proteins in vitro and in vivo by yeast-two-hybrid and coimmunoprecipitation analysis and found that their interaction reduces the binding activity of SCL27 to the PORC promoter. Additionally, we showed that SCL27 activates MIR171 gene expression, forming a feedback regulatory loop. Taken together, our data suggest that the miR171-SCL module is critical for mediating GA-DELLA signaling in the coordinate regulation of chlorophyll biosynthesis and leaf growth in light.
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Introduction

Chlorophylls are complexed with their binding proteins and serve two primary functions in photosynthesis: they trap light energy and transfer it to the reaction centers of photosystems [1,2]. During light absorption and energy transfer, chlorophylls inevitably generate highly reactive singlet oxygen, particularly under strong light, leading to the inhibition of photosynthesis, plant growth and even to cell death [3,4]. In addition, many chlorophyll precursors present in their free state are strong photosensitizers that produce reactive oxygen species upon light illumination. Therefore, the chlorophyll biosynthetic pathway is strictly regulated in response to developmental and environmental cues.

It has been well documented that chlorophyll biosynthesis is finely regulated at the multiple steps in the pathway and at both transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels [5]. For example, protochlorophyllide (Pchlide) levels of etiolated seedlings are negatively regulated by the phytochrome-interacting factors PIF1 and PIF3-5 [6–9], but positively regulated by two transposase-derived transcription factors, FAR1 (far-red impaired response 1) and FHY3 (far-red elongated hypocotyls 3) [10]; the activity of the key enzyme glutamyl-tRNA reductase (HEMA1) is inhibited directly by heme and the FLU protein via a feedback mechanism [2,11–15], while Mg-chelatase is stimulated by the binding of genomes uncoupled 4 (GUN4) to the ChIH subunit (GUN5) of Mg-chelatase, protoporphyrin IX (PPIX) and Mg-PPIX [16–19]. It is worth emphasizing that the activity of the key enzyme Pchlide oxidoreductase (POR) is primarily subject to the transcriptional regulation [8,20–21]. The Arabidopsis genome contains three differentially regulated POR genes. It has been shown that PORB is expressed in etiolated seedlings and its mRNA level drops sharply in light; PORB is expressed in both etiolated seedlings and...
light-grown plants; PORC expression is activated by light in a fluence rate-dependent manner [22–24]. Available evidence revealed that the expression of PORA and PORB is regulated by the transcription factors ethylene insensitive 3 (EIN3) and its homolog EIN3-like1 (EIL1) via directly binding to the EBS cis-elements in the promoter region [21]. Although PORC expression was reported to be directly induced by PIF1 [8], it remains unclear how PORC is regulated in light where PIF proteins are degraded.

Gibberellic acid (GA) is an important phytohormone that controls many aspects of plant development and growth via the GA-GID-DELLA signaling module in Arabidopsis [25–30]. With regard to the chlorophyll biosynthetic pathway, DELLA stabilization in the GA-deficient ga1-3 mutant leads to increased accumulation of Pchlide and PORs in etiolated seedlings, which are substantially more resistant to photo-oxidative damage after transferred from darkness to light [20]. DELLaS promote Pchlide biosynthesis by repressing the transcriptional activity of PIFs in the dark [20,29,31,32]. In contrast, the molecular mechanism underlying the DELLA-regulated POR expression is not fully understood. Recently, the miR171-targeted scarecrow-like (SCL) transcription factors SCL6/SCL6-IV, SCL22/SCL6-III and SCL27/SCL6-II (also known as hairy meristems [HAM] and lost meristems [LOM]) have been demonstrated to play an important role in the proliferation of meristematic cells, polar organization and chlorophyll synthesis [33–38]. However, it remains unknown how these SCL proteins control chlorophyll synthesis. Here, we provide convincing evidence that DELLA-regulated POR expression is, at least in part, mediated by miR171-targeted SCLs in light.

Results

miR171-targeted SCLs regulate chlorophyll biosynthesis via the key enzyme POR

As previously reported [38], both MIR171c over-expressors (MIR171c-OX) and scl6 scl22 scl27 triple mutants produce dark green leaves (Figure 1A and Figure S1A), which contain approximately 40% more chlorophyll than wild type (WT) leaves (Figure 1B). In contrast, the over-expression of miR171-resistant LUC-rSCL27 (fused to the luciferase gene) results in leaf yellowing (Figure 1A and Figure S1A) and a significant decrease in chlorophyll content (Figure 1B). These results indicate that miR171-targeted SCLs are negative regulators of chlorophyll biosynthesis.

To explore the physiological role of SCL proteins in the regulation of chlorophyll biosynthesis, we constructed transgenic plants expressing rSCL27 fused to the B-glucuronidase (GUS) gene driven by the SCL27 native promoter, designated pSCL27:rSCL27-GUS. We examined the pattern of GUS expression in the 3- to 11-day-old seedlings. The results of GUS staining clearly showed that the SCL27-GUS fusion protein started to accumulate in the newly developed leaves (Figure S2). In the first pair of leaves, the GUS signal was first observed in the 3-day-old seedlings through the whole leaves, and maintained at a relative stable level at the basal region until to the 7-day-old seedlings, and suddenly disappeared in the 8-day-old seedlings (Figure 2A–2B and Figure S2). Consistent with this observation, the scl6 scl22 scl27 mutant exhibited more intense chlorophyll fluorescence at the base of leaves than did the WT, whereas chlorophyll fluorescence intensity at the leaf apical region was identical to that in the WT (Figure 2C and 2D), suggesting that SCL proteins play an important role in inhibiting chloroplast development before cell expansion. This result is consistent with a previous report that leaf greening and cell expansion initiate at the leaf tip and proceed in a basipetal direction [39].

We further evaluated the role of SCLs in plant adaptation to high light stress by measuring the ratio of variable fluorescence to maximum fluorescence (Fv/Fm), which reflects the maximal photochemical efficiency of photosystem II (PSII) photochemistry (PSII activity). Compared to WT plants, PSII activity decreased more slowly in MIR171c-OX and scl6 scl22 scl27 plants but decreased more rapidly in LUC-rSCL27-OX plants (Figure 1C) in light stress, indicating that miR171-targeted SCLs are also involved in plant adaptation to excess light. We also investigated the role of SCLs in the growth of etiolated seedlings and chloroplast development. As shown in Figure S3A–S3C, manipulation of SCL gene expression slightly but not significantly affected greening ratio, Pchlide content and etioplast ultrastructure of the 5-day-old dark-grown seedlings. However, stacked and stromal thylakoid membranes were thicker in chloroplasts from MIR171c-OX and scl6 scl22 scl27 mature leaves while was thinner in those from LUC-rSCL27-OX leaves, compared to WT (Figure S4A–S4B). Consistently, immunoblotting analysis showed that the levels of light-harvesting complex subunits including LHCB1, LHCB2, LHCB5, and LHCA1 were higher in MIR171c-OX and scl6 scl22 scl27 than in WT but lower in LUC-rSCL27 (Figure S4C). However, changes in SCL expression had no effect on the accumulation of PsAD (PSII subunit) and AtpB (ATP synthase beta subunit) in mature leaves (Figure S4C). Taken together, these results indicate that SCLs are involved in chlorophyll biosynthesis mainly in light but not in the dark.

To elucidate the molecular mechanism underlying SCL-regulated chlorophyll synthesis, we analyzed the transcriptional levels of several key genes in the pathway, including the genes encoding HEMA1, GUN4, GUN5, PORs and chlorophyll a oxygenase (CAO). Quantitative PCR (qPCR) and northern blotting assays showed that among the inspected genes the levels of PORs and CAO transcripts were higher in MIR171c-OX and scl6 scl22 scl27 while were lower in LUC-rSCL27-OX, compared to those in the WT (Figure 1D and Figure S1B). The expression levels of PORs and CAO were correlated well with chlorophyll content in the leaves of MIR171c-OX, scl6 triple mutant and LUC-rSCL27-OX plants, suggesting that the expression of PORs and
Figure 1. POR is critical for SCL-regulated chlorophyll biosynthesis in light. (A) Phenotypes of WT (Col), MIR171c-OX, scl6 scl22 scl27, 35S::LUC-rSCL27, por-amiR, por-amiR/MIR171c-OX and por-amiR/scl6 scl22 scl27 plants grown under a 16 h/8 h light/dark cycle. Bars = 1 cm. (B) Chlorophyll content of the genotypes shown in (A). FW, fresh weight. ** indicates p values (Student’s t-test) < 0.01 compared with WT or between the indicated two genotypes. Error bars indicate the s.d. (n = 4). (C) PSII activity (Fv/Fm) of the leaves described in (A) treated with excess light (800 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) for the indicated times and then incubated in the dark. Error bars indicate the s.d. (n = 18). (D) qPCR analysis of HEMAs, GUN4, GUN5, PORs and CAO transcript levels using total RNA extracted from the leaves of the genotypes shown in (A). The relative expression levels were normalized to that of ACTIN2, and the relative expression in WT plants was set as 1. Error bars represent the s.d. (n = 3). Two biological replicates were performed and provided similar results.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004519.g001

Figure 2. SCL-GUS accumulation and chlorophyll fluorescence intensity at the early stage of leaf growth. (A) The GUS activity of 6-day-old transgenic plants expressing pSCL27::rSCL27-GUS. Bar = 1 mm. (B) The GUS activity of 8-day-old transgenic plants expressing pSCL27::rSCL27-GUS. Bar = 1 mm. (C) Chlorophyll autofluorescence from the leaf tip and basal cells shown in (A). Bars = 20 μm. (D) Fluorescence intensity in the tip and basal cells of 6-day-old Col and scl6 scl22 scl27 seedlings. ** represents p values (Student’s t-test) < 0.01 relative to wild-type. Error bars indicate the s.d. (n = 18).

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004519.g002
CAO is regulated by SCLs. Immunoblotting analysis using a POR antibody that can recognize all three isoforms of POR showed that \textit{MIR171e-OX} and \textit{sc6 sl22 sl27} plants accumulated higher levels of \textit{PORC} and \textit{PORB} proteins than did WT and \textit{LUC-rSCL27-OX} plants (Figure S1C). Thus, the data obtained indicate that the expression of POR, the key enzyme in the chlorophyll biosynthetic pathway, is negatively regulated by SCLs.

To verify the role of POR in SCL-regulated chlorophyll synthesis, we down-regulated the expression of \textit{POR} in WT and \textit{sc6 sl22 sl27} mutant plants using an artificial microRNA that was designed to specifically target the three \textit{POR} genes. Transgenic plants (\textit{por-amiR}) with substantially reduced levels of \textit{POR} expression were identified using qPCR (Figure S1D and S1E). Knocking down \textit{POR} expression in WT, \textit{MIR171e-OX} and \textit{sc} triple mutant plants led to a pale-green phenotype and a lower level of chlorophyll and PSI activity than in the corresponding controls (Figure 1A–1C). Taken together, these data indicate that \textit{miR171} targeted SCLs regulate chlorophyll biosynthesis via the key enzyme POR.

**SCL27 binds to the \textit{PORC} promoter**

The important role of PORs in SCL-regulated chlorophyll biosynthesis prompted us to investigate whether SCLs can directly control the promoter activity of \textit{POR} genes. Because both \textit{PORC} and \textit{MIR171} are regulated by light but not by the circadian clock [22–24,40], we hypothesized that \textit{PORC} was a direct target of SCLs. To test this hypothesis, we co-expressed the LUC reporter gene under the control of the \textit{PORC} promoter (a 1685-bp genomic fragment upstream of the start codon) together with \textit{6xMYC-rSCL27} in \textit{Nicotiana benthamiana} leaves using a transient expression system. The expression of LUC was much lower in the leaves transformed with \textit{6xMYC-rSCL27} than in leaves transformed with the empty vector and \textit{rSCL27-VP16} (a transcriptional activator) (Figure 3A), suggesting that the \textit{PORC} promoter is a direct target of SCL27. To identify the \textit{PORC} promoter region bound by SCL27, three fragments extending from the \textit{PORC} start codon to –1685, –861 and –455 bp upstream were fused to the LUC reporter gene. LUC expression under the control of either \textit{pPORC-1685} or \textit{pPORC-861} was significantly reduced by \textit{6xMYC-rSCL27} but not by \textit{rSCL27-VP16}, whereas LUC expression driven by \textit{pPORC-455} was low and unaffected by \textit{6xMYC-rSCL27} or \textit{rSCL27-VP16} (Figure 3A). Consistently, LUC expression under the control of \textit{pPORC-1685} or \textit{pPORC-861} was higher in \textit{MIR171e-OX} and \textit{sc6 sl22 sl27} than in WT (Col), whereas LUC expression driven by \textit{pPORC-455} did not significantly differ between WT and \textit{MIR171e-OX} or between WT and \textit{sc6 sl22 sl27} (Figure S5A). These data suggest that the promoter region between –861 bp and –455 bp is required for \textit{SCL27} binding to the \textit{PORC} promoter.

We then performed chromatin immuno-precipitation (ChIP) and qPCR assays to further define the \textit{SCL27}-binding region within the \textit{PORC} promoter (Figure 3B). Our results showed that fragments II (–778 bp to –598 bp) and III (–572 bp to –372 bp) were enriched in immuno-precipitates from the transgenic plants over-expressing \textit{6xMYC-rSCL27} but not in those from WT plants (Figure 3C), whereas fragments I (–1524 bp to –1324 bp) and IV (1144 bp to 1246 bp of the coding sequence, used as a negative control) were not enriched (Figure 3C), indicating that fragments II and III contain \textit{SCL27}-binding cis-elements. We next performed electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) to confirm whether \textit{SCL27} can directly bind to fragments II and III of the \textit{PORC} promoter. Consistent with the ChIP-qPCR results, shifted bands were observed when purified recombinant \textit{SCL27} protein (Figure S5B) was incubated with DNA fragments II or III, and the intensity of the bands gradually increased with increasing concentrations of \textit{SCL27} (Figure 3D). However, no shifted band was detected when \textit{SCL27} was incubated with fragment I (Figure 3D). Taken together, our \textit{in vivo} and \textit{in vitro} data suggest that \textit{SCL27} inhibits \textit{PORC} expression via directly binding to the \textit{PORC} promoter.

GT elements have been reported to be important for light-regulated gene expression, and DNA fragments II and III contain these cis-elements [41]. To test whether GT-elements are important for \textit{SCL27} binding to the \textit{PORC} promoter, we chose the 62-bp DNA fragment from –500 bp to –438 bp, which contains three G(A/G)(A/T)AA(A/T) GT element repeats [41] (Figure 3E). The EMSA results showed that purified recombinant \textit{SCL27} bound to the W fragment but not to the M fragment (Figure 3F). The formation of the \textit{SCL27}-DNA complex was suppressed by a 100-, 200- or 400-fold excess of unlabelled W fragment, but not by the unlabelled M fragment (Figure 3G). Thus, we conclude that GT elements are required for \textit{SCL27} to bind to the \textit{PORC} promoter.
RGA-cYFP or rSCL27-Nter-nYFP and RGA-cYFP were co-expressed in leaves (Figure 4H and Figure S8B). Co-IP results also showed that RGAd17-3xHA bound to 6xMYC-rSCL27 but not to the control MYC-YFP (Figure 4I). Furthermore, MYC-SCL27 was precipitated by the antibody against RGA in total proteins extracted from transgenic plants over-expressing 6XMYC-rSCL27 treated with PAC but not treated with GA3 (Figure 4J). These results demonstrate that RGA interacts directly with SCL27 both in vitro and in vivo. In addition, qPCR assays showed that the accumulation of SCL transcripts was not altered in plants treated with GA3 (Figure S9A) or PAC (Figure S9B), or in GA mutants, including ga1-3, gai-2 or rga ga1 rgl2 rgl3 plants (Figure S9C).

Figure 3. SCL27 binds to the PORC promoter. (A) Effect of SCL27 on the activity of three PORC promoter regions. The LUC reporter gene under the control of these promoter regions was transformed into N. benthamiana leaves, with or without 6xMYC-rSCL27 or rSCL27-VP16. The relative LUC activities were normalized to a 35S:REN internal control. Error bars indicate the s.d. (n = 4). Three biological replicates provided similar results. (B) Schematic diagram of the PORC promoter and the first exon region. Fragments I (−1524 bp to −1324 bp), II (−778 bp to −598 bp), III (−572 bp to −372 bp) and IV (1144 bp to 1246 bp) were used for ChIP. (C) ChIP-qPCR analysis of the relative enrichment of the DNA fragments mentioned in (B). The β-TUBULIN-2 promoter was used as a reference. Error bars indicate the s.d. (n = 3). Two biological replicates were performed and showed similar results. (D) EMSA analysis of SCL27 binding to fragments I, II and III. (E) DNA sequences. W and M contain GT and mutated-GT elements indicated by capital letters, respectively. (F) SCL27 binding to GT elements was analyzed using the indicated levels of purified SCL27 protein mixed with 1 nM of Cy5-fluorescently labeled 62-bp DNA fragments. (G) The specificity of the SCL27-DNA interaction was tested using a competition assay with 0.1, 0.2 and 0.4 μM of unlabeled W or unlabeled M fragments.
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miR171-targeted SCLs mediate DELLA-regulated POR expression in light. (A and D) Phenotypes of the indicated plants grown under long-day conditions. Bars = 1 cm. (B, C, E and F) Chlorophyll content (B and E) and relative PORC mRNA levels (C and F) of the plants shown in (A and D). ** indicates p values (Student’s t-test) < 0.01 between the indicated two genotypes; error bars indicate the s.d. (n = 4). PORC expression levels were normalized to that of ACTIN2, and the level of PORC expression in Col or Ler was set as 1. Error bars indicate the s.d. (n = 3). Two biological replicates were performed and showed similar results. FW, fresh weight. (G) Domain mapping of the interaction between SCL27 and RGA in yeast. (H) BiFC analysis of the interaction between SCL27 and RGA. Bars = 50 μm. (I) Co-IP assay of the interaction between SCL27 and RGA using a transient expression assay in N. benthamiana leaves. Fusion proteins were detected by immunoblotting with anti-MYC or anti-HA antibodies. (J) Transgenic plants over-expressing 6xMYC-rSCL27 were used for co-IP. Arabidopsis proteins were detected by anti-MYC or anti-RGA antibodies. (K) Effect of DELLA binding to SCL27 on PORC promoter activity. The pPORC-1685::LUC reporter gene was transformed with 6xMYC-rSCL27 and/or RGAd17-3xHA in N. benthamiana. Relative LUC activities were normalized to the 35S::REN internal control. The LUC/REN ratio in the leaves transformed with the vector was set as 1. Error bars indicate the s.d. (n = 4). Three biological replicates showed similar results. (L) The binding of SCL27 to DNA was analyzed using EMSA in the presence of RGA. GST was used as a control. (M) in vivo analysis of the binding of SCLs to PORC promoter regions in the presence of GA3 or PAC. Three-week-old 6xMYC-rSCL27-OX plants treated with GA or PAC for 2 days were used for ChIP and qPCR experiments. The β-TUBULIN-2 promoter was used as a reference. Error bars indicate the s.d. (n = 3). Two biological replicates showed similar results.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004519.g004
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Figure 5. SCL27 activates MIR171 gene expression in a feedback manner. (A) qPCR analysis of MIR171a, MIR171b and MIR171c expression in Col, scl6 scl22 scl27 and LUC-rSCL27-OX plants. Relative expression levels of MIR171 genes were normalized to that of ACTIN2, and the relative expression in WT plants was set as 1. Error bars represent the s.d. (n=4). Three biological replicates showed similar results. (B) Schematic diagram of MIR171a promoter regions V (–726 bp to –495 bp), VI (–260 bp to –71 bp) and VII (the precursor of MIR171a), which were used for ChIP experiments. (G) Relative enrichment of MIR171a promoter fragments in the immuno-precipitates. Leaves of 3-week-old Col and 6xMYC-rSCL27-OX plants were used for ChIP experiments. The enriched DNA fragments were quantified using qPCR. The β-TUBULIN-2 promoter was used as a reference. Error bars indicate the s.d. (n=3). Similar results were obtained from three independent immuno-precipitation experiments. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004519.g005

Likewise, RGA and GAI expression was not apparently affected by SCLs (Figure S9D). Thus, these results exclude the possibility that DELLAs and SCLs are mutually regulated at the transcriptional level.

DELLAs have been shown to regulate various biological processes by preventing transcription factors from binding to DNA [31–32,42–46]. The antagonistic role of DELLAs and SCLs in the regulation of chlorophyll biosynthesis raises the possibility that DELLAs might inhibit SCL binding to DNA. To test this hypothesis, we analyzed the promoter activity of PORC using a dual-luciferase reporter assay by transforming RGA17-3xHA and/or 6xMYC-rSCL27 into N. benthamiana leaves. The results showed that pPORC-1685::LUC reporter activity was significantly suppressed by 6xMYC-rSCL27 but was not affected by RGAd17-3xHA. The degree of inhibition of pPORC-1685::LUC reporter activity by SCL27 was partially mitigated by the co-expression of RGAd17-3xHA (Figure 4K). Consistent with these results, EMSA analysis showed that the interaction between RGA and SCL27 decreased the binding of SCL27 to DNA (Figure 4L and Figure S10A, S10B). Furthermore, ChIP-qPCR analysis also showed that the enrichment of fragments II and III containing GT elements in the PORC promoter (shown in Figure 2B) was higher in MYC antibody pulled-down precipitates from GA-treated 6xMYC-rSCL27-OX plants but lower in those from PAC-treated 6xMYC-rSCL27-OX plants than in those from plants given the mock treatment (Figure 4M and Figure S10C). Thus, our data demonstrate that the RGA-SCL27 interaction decreases SCL27 DNA-binding activity.

SCL27 activates MIR171 gene expression

In general, the level of miRNA expression is inversely correlated with the level of target gene expression. However, mir171 accumulation was reported to peak 6 hours earlier than that of SCL6 [40]. Recent studies have shown that the expression of miRNAs can be controlled by their target genes in a feedback manner [47]. Consistent with this idea, GT elements have been found in the promoters of MIR171s. qPCR assays showed that the expression levels of all MIR171 genes are much higher in LUC-rSCL27-OX plants than in WT plants, whereas the expression levels of these genes are lower in scl6 scl22 scl27 plants than in WT plants (Figure 5A), indicating that SCLs are positive regulators of MIR171 expression. However, the extent to which SCL27 regulated MIR171 expression differed among the MIR171 genes (Figure 5A). For example, SCL27 had the greatest effect on the level of MIR171a expression but had lower, similar effects on the expression levels of MIR171b and MIR171c (Figure 5A).

Additionally, we generated transgenic plants expressing 6xMYC-rSCL27 fused to the rat glucocorticoid receptor (GR) under the control of the 35S regulatory sequence in the scl triple mutant background; these plants were designated 35S::6xMYC-rSCL27-GR/scl6 scl22 scl27. Compared to mock (dimethyl sulfoxide, DMSO)-treated plants, transgenic plants treated with
10 μM dexamethasone (DEX) were pale green and accumulated less chlorophyll (Figure 3B and 5C). qPCR analysis showed that the level of PORC mRNA was rapidly decreased in the transgenic plants treated with DEX for 4 hours (Figure 5D). Using this inducible expression system, we found that MIR171a transcripts accumulated to levels more than 3-fold higher in DEX-treated plants than in the control, whereas two other MIR171 genes were only slightly up-regulated by SCL27 (Figure 5D). To confirm the observation that SCL27 activates MIR171 gene expression, the LUC reporter gene driven by the MIR171a promoter (pMIR171a::LUC) was co-transformed with or without 6xMYC-rSCL27 into N. benthamiana leaves. As shown in Figure 5E, pMIR171a::LUC activity was significantly increased by 6xMYC-rSCL27. These results indicate that SCLs can up-regulate MIR171 gene expression. To confirm that SCL27 directly regulates MIR171 gene expression, ChIP-qPCR was performed using three fragments: V (~726 bp to ~495 bp, without GT elements), VI (~260 bp to ~71 bp, containing GT elements) and VII (the precursor of MIR171a). Indeed, only fragment VI was enriched in MYC antibody pulled-down precipitates obtained from the 6xMYC-rSCL27 over-expressing plants but not in those obtained from the WT plants (Figure 5F and 5G). Taken together, these data clearly indicate that miR171 and its target SCLs form a feedback loop to finely regulate chlorophyll biosynthesis.

Discussion

miR171 and its target SCL proteins have been reported to play an important role in plant development and growth [33–38]. However, little is known about the molecular mechanisms by which the miR171-SCL module functions. In this study, we found compelling evidence showing that SCLs are GT element-binding transcriptional factors that can suppress or promote gene expression in Arabidopsis. Given that GT elements are widely distributed in tandem repeats within the promoter regions of many photosynthetic and plastid ribosomal genes [41], it is reasonable to assume that the miR171-SCL module can regulate the expression of other genes in a manner similar to that used for the PORC gene.

In higher plants, light and GA are important signals that antagonistically regulate chloroplast biogenesis, which is a complicated process including chloroplast division and the formation of the photosynthetically active chloroplast [31,32]. It is well established that PIFs, which are negative regulators of chlorophyll biosynthesis, are critical downstream effectors in light and GA signal transduction pathways [20,31,32]. PIFs bind directly to the conserved DNA G-box motif of gene promoters and regulate the chlorophyll biosynthetic pathway by inhibiting Pechlide accumulation and inducing POR gene expression in an additive, redundant or specific manner [6–9,20]. This regulatory mechanism involving PIFs is apparently important for the prevention of free Pechlide accumulation and the subsequent greening of etiolated seedlings upon light exposure [20,31,32]. Based on the results derived from this study, we suggest that SCLs play an important role in regulating chlorophyll biosynthesis under light conditions (Figure 6), in which PIFs are rapidly degraded. In addition, PIF proteins can be sequestered by DELLA proteins, the levels of which are elevated in light and decreased in the dark, blocking the ability of PIFs to bind to their target gene promoters [20]. Thus, the SCLs and PIFs control chlorophyll biosynthesis in different yet cooperative manners, and PIFs are replaced by miR171-targeted SCLs to inhibit chlorophyll biosynthesis in light. Since both the levels of DELLA proteins and miR171 expression are elevated in light, the inhibition of SCLs on chlorophyll biosynthesis is coordinately related to transcriptional and post-translational levels, while the positive feedback regulation pathway in which SCLs activate miR171 expression might be important for auto-regulating the homeostasis of SCL proteins in light.

In addition to environmental cues, chloroplast development is regulated by developmental signals. Early leaf growth is divided into two sequential cellular processes after primordium initiation: cell proliferation and cell expansion [39]. Usually, chloroplast development is suppressed in the cell proliferation region at the leaf base, then remains relatively stable over a certain period, and finally is abolished abruptly. Once a cell has stopped proliferating, it enters the stage of cell expansion, which is triggered by chloroplast differentiation [39]. Thus, chloroplast differentiation plays an important role in the timing of the transition from cell proliferation to cell expansion. However, blocking chloroplast differentiation and retrograde signaling from chloroplasts to the nuclei using norflurazon cannot completely stop cell expansion, suggesting that other mechanisms are also involved in the phase shift [39]. Our data showed that a negative regulator of chloroplast development, SCL27, is highly expressed at the base of growing leaves, and chloroplast development proceeds more rapidly in a scl triple mutant than in WT. These results suggest that miR171-targeted SCLs play an important role in suppressing chloroplast development in dividing cells during early leaf growth. Interestingly, leaf size is apparently altered in SCL27 over-expressors and the scl triple mutant compared to that in WT (Figure 1A). One explanation is that SCLs function as coordinators that simultaneously regulate chloroplast development and cell proliferation; another possibility is that the onset of SCL-regulated chloroplast development leads to a change in the timing of cell proliferation exit. Further investigation is required to elucidate the molecular mechanism by which SCLs coordinately regulate leaf size and chloroplast development.

Chloroplast biogenesis is also coordinated with cell expansion during leaf growth to achieve optimal photosynthesis rates. For example, leaf greening accompanies cell expansion, which initiates at the leaf tip and proceeds in a basipetal direction in Arabidopsis [39]. It has been demonstrated that GA plays a critical role in controlling cell expansion and chloroplast biogenesis through DELLA proteins in both dicot and monocot plant species [48]. The number of thylakoid membranes per granum and the chloroplast density per cell are increased in the ga1-3 mutant, indicating that more chlorophyll is synthesized in the mutant.

![Figure 6. A working model of GA-regulated chlorophyll biosynthesis under the light condition.](image-url)
chloroplasts. It is likely that DELLAs proteins, which are stabilized in the ga1-3 mutant, promote chlorophyll biosynthesis by suppressing the inhibitory transcriptional activity of SCLs. Thus, it appears that the DELLA-SCL module functions to balance chloroplast development and cell expansion, which is accompanied by a dramatic increase in photosynthesis.

Furthermore, we observed another complex phenomenon: over-expression of SCLs did not completely rescue the dark-green phenotype of the ga1-3 mutant, indicating that DELLAs transmit signals that affect chlorophyll biosynthesis by regulating other interacting proteins. A number of DELLA-interacting transcriptional factors have been identified thus far [31–32,42–46], including EIN3 and EIL1, which are downstream effectors of ethylene signaling. DELLAs de-repress EIN3 and EIL1 function during apical hook formation in etiolated seedlings [43]. Interestingly, EIN3 and EIL1 were also shown to regulate chlorophyll biosynthesis through repressing the accumulation of Pchlide and by activating the expression of POR genes (PORA and PORB) [21]. It is likely that DELLAs regulate POR4 and PORB expression directly via EIN3 and EIL1. DELLAs might also indirectly regulate chlorophyll biosynthesis through other interacting transcriptional factors, including brassinosteroid-resistant 1 (BZR1) and the jasmonic acid ZIM-domain proteins [JAZs] [42,49,50]. Taken together, the findings described here indicate that DELLAs are critical factors integrating various signaling pathways to dynamically regulate chlorophyll biosynthesis.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

MIR171c-OX, scl6 scl22 scl27 triple mutants, 35S::LUC-rSCL27, ga1-3, gai-2 (SAIL_587_CO2), rga rgl1 rgl2 rgl3 mutants, and pBGA::RGAd17 are in the Arabidopsis thaliana Columbia ecotype (Col) background [27,38,44]; the ga1-3 mutant was backcrossed with the wild type (Col) for six generations; the por-amiR and pSCL27::SCL27-GUS were transformed in Col background; the por-amiR/MIR171c-OX, LUC-rSCL27-OX/ga1-3, and rSCL27/RGAd17 plants were generated by crossing; the della pentuple is in the Ler ecotype [44]. Seeds were germinated and grown on the half Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium containing 1% sucrose and 0.7% phytoagar. All plants were grown at 21°C under light (110 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹) in long days (16-h light/8-h dark).

Plasmid construction and plant transformation

About 1.7- and 1.2-kb promoter fragments at the upstream of the start codon were amplified from POCR and MIR171a genes in the Col genome, respectively, with primers listed in Table S1. The amplified fragments were inserted in the XhoI/BamHI sites of the pGREEN0000LUC vector [31,52] to produce pPORC-1681::LUC and pMIR171a::LUC vectors. The pPORC-861::LUC and pPORC-455::LUC vectors were constructed in a similar way. To make the POR amiRNA vector, the amiRNA target sequences for POR genes and primers including POR I miR-s, POR II miR-a, POR III miR-rs and POR IV miR-a were designed using the WMD3 Web microRNA Designer [http://wmd3.weigelworld.org/cgi-bin/webapp.cgi] and listed in Table S1. The amiRNA precursor was amplified by overlapping PCR from the pRS300 template to produce the fragment containing the POR target amiRNA hairpin. DNA fragments were gel-purified and cloned into the Gateway cloning vector pENTR-SD/D/TOPO (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After sequencing confirmation, the cloned DNA fragments were transferred to the 35S over-expression vector (pGWB2) (Invitrogen) using LR clonase (Invitrogen).

For yeast two-hybrid analysis, SCL22 cDNA was cloned into the pGBKKT7 vector (Clontech). RGA, GAI, RGL1, RGL2 and RGL3 cDNAs were cloned into the pGADT7 vector (Clontech). SCL27 and RGA cDNAs were cloned into pDEST22 (Invitrogen); cDNAs encoding SCL27 and its N-terminal (1–267 amino acids) and GRAS domain (268–640 amino acids) were cloned into pDEST32 (Invitrogen). The primers used for these constructs are given in Table S1. For BiFC analysis, SCL27, SCL27 N-terminial, and SCL27-GRAS sequences were cloned into pCAMBIA1300 (yFP), whereas RGA was cloned into pCAMBIA1300 (cYFP).

For in vitro protein-DNA binding analysis, SCL27 and RGA was cloned into the pET28b and pGEX6p-3 vectors, respectively. The constructs were transformed into the expression strain BL21 for protein expression. For co-IP analysis, RGAd17 and miR171-resistant SCL27 (sSCL27) were cloned into the binary vector with 3xHA or 6xMYC. Transgenic plants were generated by the floral dipping method [53] and were screened with 50 mg/mL of kanamycin sulfate or 50 mg/mL of hygromycin.

Physiological and transmission electron microscopy assays

Seedling greening was analyzed by exposing 5-day-old dark-grown seedlings to white light (16-h light/8-h dark) for 2 days. Chlorophyll autofluorescence was analyzed using a confocal laser scanning microscope (Olympus, FV10-ASW). In the PAC-treated etiolated seedlings, 0.01 μM of PAC was used. Greening ratio was determined by counting the percentage of green cotyledons of each genotype. Pchlide was extracted from 5-day-old etiolated seedlings with 1 mL of ice-cold 80% acetone in the dark. The samples were centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min, and fluorescence was excited by the wavelength of 440 nm and scanned from 600 nm to 700 nm using a fluorescence spectrophotometer (Hitachi) at room temperature [54]. The results were presented by relative fluorescence per seedling. Chlorophyll was measured as described previously [55]. The Fv/Fm parameter was measured using light-stressed leaf discs after 15-min adaptation to darkness [56]. For electron microscopy observation, cotyledons of 5-day-old etiolated and 25-day-old seedlings were fixed and processed as previously described [57], and examined with an H-7650 transmission electron microscope (Hitachi).

Yeast two-hybrid assay

Plasmids were transformed into yeast strain AH109 by the lithium chloride–polyethylene glycol method according to the manufacturer’s manual (Clontech). The transformants were selected on SD-Leu-Trp plates. The protein-protein interactions were tested on SD-Trp-Leu-His-Ade plates with or without 3-aminopterin, 1, 2, 4-triazole.

BiFC analysis

The A. tumefaciens strain GV3101 transformed with each of the two constructs for BiFC analysis was cultured in the solution containing 10 mM MES, 10 mM MgCl2 and 100 μM acetosyringone to an optical density (OD600) of 0.6 to 0.8. Then, two strains were mixed and incubated at the room temperature for at least 2 h. The YFP fluorescence was analyzed using a confocal laser scanning microscope (Olympus, FV10-ASW) 48 to 96 h after N. benthamiana leaves were infiltrated with the mixture.
GUS staining

Plant materials were submerged in 90% acetone for 15 min, and then transferred into 0.5 mg/mL X-Gluc solution (0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, 10 mM EDTA, 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.5 mM potassium ferrocyanide, 0.5 mM potassium ferricyanide). Plant materials were vacuumed, kept at 37°C and decolorized in 70% ethanol.

Co-immunoprecipitation and immunoblot assays

Agrobacteria-infiltrated N. benthamiana leaves and transgenic plants over-expressing 6xMYC-rSCL27 were used for Co-IP analyses. The soluble proteins were extracted with the extraction buffer (50 mM Heps [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA [pH 8.0], 0.2% Nonidet P-40, 10% glycerol, 1% PVPP, 2 mM DTT, 1× Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail [Sigma]). The beads were washed with the buffer (50 mM Heps [pH 7.5], 200 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA [pH 8.0], 0.1% Nonidet P-40, 10% glycerol). Immunoprecipitation was performed with the anti-MYC antibody using N. benthamiana leaves. For Arabidopsis samples, immunoprecipitation was performed with the anti-RGA antibody. RGAa17-3xHA and 6xMYC-SCL27 fusion proteins were detected by immunoblotting with anti-HA (Sigma) and anti-MYC antibodies (Santa Cruz). To analyze POR, LHCB1, LHCB2, LHCB5, LHCA1, PsaD, and AtpB protein levels in vivo, samples (0.1 g) were ground in liquid nitrogen and suspended with 200 μL extraction buffer (125 mM Tris [pH 8.8], 4% SDS, 20% glycerol, 5% β-Me). Total protein was extracted by incubating the samples in boiled water for 5 min, and then centrifuged at 13 000 rpm for 10 min. Proteins were detected with the anti-POR, LHCB1, LHCB2, LHCB5, LHCA1, PsaD, and AtpB antibodies (Agrisera) after total proteins were separated onto a SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to Hybond-ECL Nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham Biosciences).

Transient transcription dual-luciferase (Dual-LUC) assays

The transient expression assay (Dual-LUC) was carried out as described previously [52]. Agrobacteria-infiltrated N. benthamiana leaves were used for LUC/REN analyses. Leaf samples were collected for the transient expression assay using commercial Dual-LUC reaction (DLR) reagents, according to the manufacturer’s instruction (Promega).

Quantitative PCR and Northern blot analysis

One μg of total RNAs was used for reverse transcription in a 20 μL reaction system using the RNA PCR (AMV) kit (Promega). Quantitative PCR was performed with SYBR-Green PCR Mastermix (Takara), and amplification was real-time monitored on stepone and steponeplus real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). ACTIN2 was used as an internal control for normalization. The primers are listed in Table S1. Northern blot analysis was carried out as described [58].

ChIP analysis

ChIP experiments were performed according to published protocols [59]. Briefly, about 3 g tissues of 3-week-old 6xMYC-rSCL27-OX transgenic plants were harvested. For GA3 or PAC purification, samples were harvested from the plants treated with 10 μM GA3 and 0.1 μM PAC for 2 day. After fixation, the materials were resuspended in extraction buffer followed by sonification. One third of the solution was saved as input total DNA without precipitation; another one-third was mixed with the MYC-fused agarose (Sigma); and the remaining one-third was precipitated in parallel with HA-fused agarose as a negative control. The resulting DNA samples were purified using a PCR purification kit (Qiagen). The relative concentrations of the DNA fragments were analyzed by qPCR, using the β-TUBULIN2 gene promoter as the reference.

EMSA

The EMSA was performed as reported previously [60]. The primers used were shown in Table S1. The Cy3 fluorescence-labeled DNA (1 nM) was incubated with the indicated amount of the purified His-SCL27 protein in 20 μL of the binding buffer. The concentration of the proteins used for the competitive assay in Figure 4L was 1000 nM. After incubation at 30°C for 20 min, the reaction mixture was electrophoresed at 4°C on a 6% native polyacrylamide gel in 0.5×Tris-borate-EDTA for 2 h (about 200-bp) or 1 h (62-bp) at 100 V. Fluorescence-labeled DNA on the gel was then detected with the Starion FLA-9000 (FujiFilm, Japan).

Accession numbers

SCL27 (At2G45160), SCL22 (At3G60630), SCL6 (At4G00150), MIR171A (At1G11735), MIR171C (At1G62035), β-TUBULIN-2 (At5G62690), HEMA1 (At1G58290), GUN4 (At3G59400), GUN5 (At5G13630), PORA (At3G54190), PORB (At4G27440), PORC (At1G03630), GAI (At1G14920), RGA (At2G01570), RGL1 (At1G66350), RGL2 (At3G03450), and RGL3 (At5G17490).

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Effect of miR171-targeted SCLs on chlorophyll biosynthesis in light. (A) Leaf phenotypes of WT, MIR171c-OX, scl6 scl22 scl27 triple mutant and LUC-rSCL27-OX plants grown in long-day conditions. Bar = 0.5 cm. (B) Northern blot analysis of the expression of the indicated genes in (A). Five micrograms of total RNA were loaded on each lane. The levels of RNAs stained with ethidium bromide are shown as loading controls. (C) Immunodetection of POR levels in (A). D and E Relative expression levels of PORA, PORB, and PORC genes in Col and por-amiR (D), in scl6 scl22 scl27 and por-amiR/scl6 scl22 scl27 (E). Expression levels were normalized to that of ACTIN2. The expression levels in Col and scl6 scl22 scl27 were set as 1. Error bars indicate s.d. (n = 3). Two biological replicates were performed with similar results.

(TIF)

Figure S2 GUS staining of transgenic plants pSCL27::rSCL27-GUS from 3-day to 11-day seedlings. Bars = 1 mm.

(TIF)

Figure S3 Effect of miR171-targeted SCLs on chlorophyll biosynthesis in the dark. (A) Greening ratio of 5-day-old etiolated seedlings transferred to white light for 2 days. Three biological repeats were performed. Error bars indicate s.d. (n = 30). (B) Pelidile levels of 5-day-old Col, MIR171c-OX, scl6 scl22 scl27, LUC-rSCL27-OX etiolated seedlings. Error bars indicate s.d. (n = 30). Three biological repeats were performed. (C) Ultrastructure of plastids in 5-day-old etiolated seedlings. Bars = 1 μm.

(TIF)

Figure S4 Effect of miR171-targeted SCLs on chloroplast development. (A) Ultrastructure of chloroplasts in mature leaves from 25-day-old plants. Bars = 1 μm. (B) Statistical analysis of stacked and stromal thylakoid membranes. Error bars indicate s.e. (n>110). (C) Immunoblot analysis of PsaD, LHCB1, LHCB2, LHCB5, LHCA1, and AtpB expression in 25-day-old plants.

(PDF)
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Figure S5  SCL27 binds to the PORC promoter in Arabidopsis. (A) The LUC reporter gene driven by pPORC-1695, pPORC-861 or pPORC-455 was transformed into Col, MIR171c-OX, and scl6 scl22 scl27 plants. The relative LUC activities were normalized to the 35S::REN internal control. Error bars indicate the s.d. (n = 3). Three biological replicates showed similar results. (B) The purified His-SCL27 protein used for EMSA in Figure 3D, 3F, 3G and 4L. (TIF)

Figure S6  Genetic analysis of SCL27 and RGA. (A) Chlorophyll content of the genotypes shown in Figure 4A based on the total protein (TP). ** represents p values (Student’s t-test) <0.01 relative to wild-type and ga1-3, respectively. Error bars indicate s.d. (n = 4). (B) Phenotypes of Col, pSCL27::SCL27, pRGA::R-GAd17, pSCL27::RGA::R-GAd17 plants grown in long-day conditions for 25 days. Bars = 1 cm. (C) Chlorophyll biosynthesis in the dark. (A) Greening ratio of 5-day-old Col, scl22 scl27, pRGA::RGAd17, and pRGA::R-GAd17, respectively. Error bars indicate s.d. (n = 4). (TIF)

Figure S7  Effect of SCL in DELLA-regulated chlorophyll biosynthesis in the dark. (A) Greening ratio of 5-day-old Col, MIR171c-OX, scl6 scl22 scl27, LUC-sRCL27-OX etiolated seedlings that were grown on the media with PAC or without PAC (Mock) and transferred to white light for 2 days. Three biological repeats were performed. Error bars indicate s.d. (n = 30). (B) Phyllose levels of 5-day-old Col, MIR171c-OX, scl6 scl22 scl27, LUC-sRCL27-OX etiolated seedlings grown in the media with PAC or without PAC (Mock). Error bars indicate s.d. (n = 3). Three biological repeats were performed. (TIF)

Figure S8  SCLs interact with DELLA s. (A) SCL22 interacts with DELLA s in yeast. (B) BiFC analysis of the interaction between the N-terminal of SCL27 (SCL27-Nter) and RGA. The following pairs of constructs, SCL27-Nter-nYFP and RGA-yYFP, SCL27-Nter-nYFP and cyFP, SCL27-GRAS-nYFP and RGA-cyFP, and nYFP and cyFP, were co-transformed into N. benthamiana leaves. Bars = 50 μm. (TIF)

Figure S9  Expression of SCLs and DELLA s is not affected mutually at the transcriptional level. (A and B) qPCR analysis of MIR171c, SCL27, SCL22, and SCL6 expression in seedlings treated with GA3 or Mock (ethanol), and PAC or Mock (methanol). (B) qPCR analysis of MIR171c, SCL27, SCL22, and SCL6 expression in GA mutants including ga1-3, giu-2 and rga rgl1 rgl2 rgl3. (D) qPCR analysis of RGA and GA1 expression in Col, MIR171c-OX, scl6 scl22 scl27, LUC-sRCL27-OX seedlings. Expression was normalized to that of ACTIN2 and in WT treated with mock or in WT was set as 1 for each gene. Two biological replicates were performed with similar results. Error bars represent s.d. (n = 3). (TIF)

Figure S10  RGA reduces the binding activity of SCL27 to the PORC promoter. (A and B) The purified GST and GST-RGA proteins used for EMSA in Figure 4L. (C) Relative enrichment of the DNA fragments in the immuno-precipitate related to Figure 4M. Leaves of three-week-old Col plants treated with GA, PAC and Mock (without GA and PAC) were used for ChIP experiments. The obtained DNA fragments were quantified via qPCR. The β-TUBULIN-2 promoter was used as a reference. Error bars indicate the s.d. (n = 3). Two biological replicates were performed with similar results. (TIF)

Figure S11  A list of primers used in this study. (DOCX)
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