Mondo/ChREBP-Mlx-Regulated Transcriptional Network Is Essential for Dietary Sugar Tolerance in Drosophila
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Abstract

Sugars are important nutrients for many animals, but are also proposed to contribute to overnutrition-derived metabolic diseases in humans. Understanding the genetic factors governing dietary sugar tolerance therefore has profound biological and medical significance. Paralogous Mondo transcription factors ChREBP and MondoA, with their common binding partner Mlx, are key sensors of intracellular glucose flux in mammals. Here we report analysis of the in vivo function of Drosophila melanogaster Mlx and its binding partner Mondo (ChREBP) in respect to tolerance to dietary sugars. Larvae lacking mlx or having reduced mondo expression show strikingly reduced survival on a diet with moderate or high levels of sucrose, glucose, and fructose. mlx null mutants display widespread changes in lipid and phospholipid profiles, signs of amino acid catabolism, as well as strongly elevated circulating glucose levels. Systematic loss-of-function analysis of Mlx target genes reveals that circulating glucose levels and dietary sugar tolerance can be genetically uncoupled: Krüppel-like transcription factor Cabut and carboxyl detoxifying enzyme Aldehyde dehydrogenase type III are essential for dietary sugar tolerance, but display no influence on circulating glucose levels. On the other hand, Phosphofructokinase 2, a regulator of the glycolysis pathway, is needed for both dietary sugar tolerance and maintenance of circulating glucose homeostasis. Furthermore, we show evidence that fatty acid synthesis, which is a highly conserved Mondo-Mlx-regulated process, does not promote dietary sugar tolerance. In contrast, survival of larvae with reduced fatty acid synthase expression is sugar-dependent. Our data demonstrate that the transcriptional network regulated by Mondo-Mlx is a critical determinant of the healthful dietary spectrum allowing Drosophila to exploit sugar-rich nutrient sources.
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Introduction

Mono- and disaccharides, i.e. sugars, are an important source of nutritional energy, but animal species display marked differences in the degree of sugar utilization and tolerance. While the diet of carnivores is typically low in sugars, nectarivores, like hummingbirds, feed primarily on sugar-rich nectar [1,2]. Sugars from fruits and honey have been part of the ancestral human diet. However, the large quantities of refined sugars consumed by modern humans far exceed those available in natural sources [3]. In fact, it has been proposed that excessive added sugar in the diet, especially fructose, might contribute to the development of metabolic syndrome [3–5]. Yet the genetic factors governing the delicate balance between healthful dietary sugar utilization and the sugar overload-induced metabolic disturbance are poorly understood.

Drosophila is a well-suited model for exploring the physiological consequences of sugar intake. Drosophila melanogaster is a generalist fruit breeder naturally performing well on a broad range of dietary sugars [6]. However, excessive intake of sugars has been shown to cause diabetes-like metabolic changes in D. melanogaster, including insulin resistance, elevated circulating glucose and increased adiposity [7,8]. Dietary sugars have also been shown to shorten Drosophila lifespan [9]. The sugar-induced insulin resistance has been attributed to the JNK-regulated lipocalin Neural Lazarillo [8]. Moreover, high sugar induced gene expression has been previously analysed [7,10]. However, beyond these observations, the functional interactions between genotype and dietary sugar have remained poorly understood.

Elevated systemic glucose levels cause cellular stress and tissue damage [11,12]. Animals therefore rapidly adapt their metabolism to fluctuating sugar intake, maintaining circulating glucose levels constant. A postprandial increase in circulating glucose triggers the release of insulin, which induces the rapid uptake of excess glucose by metabolic tissues including muscle, adipose tissue, and liver [13]. Intracellular glucose is immediately converted into glucose-6-phosphate and further metabolized into glycogen and lipids or catabolised to release energy. Metabolic tissues are exposed to large variations in the flux of intracellular glucose and therefore need to regulate their metabolism accordingly.

The basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor paralogs ChREBP (Carbohydrate Response Element Binding Protein)
Author Summary

Diet displays extreme natural variation between animal species, which range from highly specialized carnivores, herbivores, and nectarivores to flexible dietary generalists. Humans are not identical in this respect either, but the genetic background likely defines the framework for a healthy diet. However, we understand poorly the genetic factors that define the spectrum of healthy diet for a given species or individual. Here we have explored the genetic basis of dietary sugar tolerance of Drosophila melanogaster. D. melanogaster is a generalist fruit breeder that feeds on micro-organisms on decaying fruits and vegetables with varying sugar content. However, mutants lacking the conserved Mondo-Mlx transcription factor complex display striking intolerance towards dietary sucrose, glucose, or fructose. This is manifested in the larvae by the inability to grow and pupate on sugar-rich food, including red grape, which belongs to the normal diet of wild D. melanogaster. Larvae lacking Mondo-Mlx show widespread metabolic imbalance, including highly elevated circulating glucose. Genome-wide gene expression analysis combined with systematic loss-of-function screening of Mlx targets reveal that the genetic network providing sugar tolerance includes a secondary transcriptional effector as well as regulators of glycolysis and detoxification of reactive metabolites.

and MondoA act together with their common binding partner Mlx (Max-like protein X) to mediate transcriptional responses to intracellular glucose in mammals [14]. The ChREBP/MondoA-Mlx complex is activated by glucose-6-phosphate and other phosphorylated hexoses, and regulates gene expression by binding to target promoters containing a carbohydrate response element (ChOR) [15–19]. ChREBP and MondoA regulate the majority of the global glucose-induced transcriptional responses and many of their target genes encode enzymes in glycolytic and lipogenic pathways [15,16,20,21]. ChREBP and MondoA play differential tissue-specific roles in mammals: ChREBP functions in the liver, adipose tissue and pancreatic beta cells [22–26], while MondoA is predominantly expressed in the skeletal muscle [27].

Of the mammalian ChREBP/MondoA-Mlx complex, the role of ChREBP has been studied in a physiological setting using loss-of-function mice. While ChREBP is nonessential in terms of survival, the mutant mice display a number of metabolic phenotypes, including elevated plasma glucose and liver glycogen as well as reduced adiposity [25,28]. ChREBP−−/− mice survive poorly on a diet with high levels of sugars, but the underlying reasons have remained unexplored [25]. ChREBP is known to regulate a range of metabolic genes, including those involved in de novo lipogenesis [15,21]. Which target genes contribute to the various physiological phenotypes and what is the causal interrelationship between the physiological phenotypes, are questions that require powerful genetics and are therefore challenging to address in vivo in mammals. Moreover, existence of another Mondo paralog, MondoA, might mask some phenotypes in the ChREBP−−/− mouse. To better understand the physiological roles of the Mondo/ChREBP−−/−-Mlx complex and its target genes, we have explored their role in Drosophila melanogaster. The Drosophila genome encodes one ortholog for each of ChREBP/MondoA and Mlx, which we call Mondo (alternative identifiers: CG18362, Mlx interactor, ChREBP) and Mlx (alternative identifiers: CG3350, Bigmax), respectively [27,29,30].

We have generated mlx null mutant flies, which displayed lethality in the late pupal stage. D. melanogaster larvae can normally utilize high levels of dietary sugars [6], but loss of Mlx or knockdown of Mondo caused striking intolerance towards sucrose, glucose and fructose. The mlx null mutant larvae also displayed extensive metabolic changes, with strongly elevated circulating glucose, signs of amino acid catabolism and altered lipid and phospholipid profiles. Systematic functional analysis of Mlx-regulated genes revealed three genes contributing to dietary sugar tolerance: cabut, encoding a Krüppel-like transcription factor, phosphofructokinase 2, a regulator of the glycolytic pathway, and Aldolase dehydrogenase type III, which is linked to detoxification of reactive aldehydes.

Results

Mondo-Mlx complex is essential for sugar tolerance

To study the physiological role of Drosophila Mlx, we generated a mutant allele using imprecise P-element (P{XP}bigmax007250) excision. We recovered one mutant allele, mlx1, which lacked the entire coding region of mlx as well as 17 C-terminal amino acids of the neighbouring gene CG3368 (Figure 1A). As controls, we recovered lines from which the P-element had been excised precisely, leaving mlx intact. If not differently stated, the precise excision line is used as a control throughout the study. As expected, mlx1 mutant larvae expressed neither Mlx protein nor mRNA (Figure 1B; Figure S1A). The mlx1 mutants displayed lethality at the late pupal (pharate) stage (Figure S1B), and only a small number of adult flies could be recovered. mlx1 mutant flies also displayed a modest developmental delay when raised on our standard fly food (Figure S1C).

Experiments with defined nutrients revealed that mlx1 mutant larvae failed to survive on a diet with 20% sucrose as the sole nutrient source (Figure 1C). To test if the mutant lethality was due to either the inability to utilize carbohydrates as energy source or intolerance towards sucrose, we supplemented protein-rich diet (20% yeast) with increasing levels of sucrose. While mlx1 mutant larvae developed with similar kinetics as control animals on a high protein/low sugar diet, increasing the sucrose concentration gradually slowed down larval development of mlx1 mutants (Figure 1D,E). At higher sucrose levels, mlx1 mutants failed to pupate and died as larvae, while control animals displayed no apparent change in pupation kinetics with respect to 0–15% sucrose.

To confirm that the observed phenotypes were due to loss of mlx function, we used RNAi-mediated knockdown and transgenic rescue. Ubiquitous knockdown of Mlx by RNAi led to significantly slower pupation, and increased pupal lethality on protein rich food supplemented with 15% sucrose, while displaying no visible phenotype in the absence of added sucrose (Figure 1F). Driver line without RNAi was used as a control. Moreover, sugar intolerance and pupal lethality of the mlx1 mutants were efficiently rescued by ubiquitous expression of transgenic mlx (ub-GAL4>UAS-mlx) (Figure 1G, 1H). To further rule out the possibility that sugar intolerance was due to disturbed function of the neighbouring gene CG3368, we used RNAi for ubiquitous knockdown. CG3368 was efficiently silenced, but no sugar intolerance was observed (Figure S1D-S1F). Thus, mlx gene function is essential for tolerance to dietary sucrose.

To test for specific intolerance towards glucose or fructose, we supplemented the protein-rich food with 10% of either monosaccharide. Both caused clear developmental delays of mlx1 mutants (Figure 1I). Drosophila melanogaster is a dietary generalist, feeding on micro-organisms on decaying fruits and vegetables that have varying sugar content. To test whether the sugar intolerance of mlx1 mutants was relevant within the natural spectrum of
Figure 1. *Drosophila* larvae lacking functional Mlx display strong intolerance to dietary sugars. (A) Schematic presentation of mix\(^{\text{f}}\) mutant locus. P-element is indicated with black arrowhead. (B) Western blot from control and mix\(^{\text{f}}\) mutant larval lysates using anti-Mlx antibodies. (C) Survival of control and mix\(^{\text{f}}\) mutant larvae after 4 days on a 20% sucrose-only diet. (D) Images of control and mix\(^{\text{f}}\) mutants on 20% yeast diet.
supplemented with 0–15% sucrose after 5, 8, and 13 days after egg laying (dAEL). (E) Pupation kinetics of control and mlx1 mutants on a 20% yeast diet supplemented with 0–15% sucrose. (F) Pupation kinetics and survival of control (tub-GAL4->) and Mlx RNAi (tub-GAL4->Mlx RNAi) larvae on 20% yeast diet with or without 15% sucrose. (G) Rescue of mlx1 mutant pupation on high sugar diet by ubiquitous (tub-GAL4) transgenic expression of Mlx. (H) Rescue of adult viability of mlx1 mutants by ubiquitous (tub-GAL4) transgenic expression of Mlx. (I) Pupation kinetics of control and mlx1 mutants on a 20% yeast diet supplemented with 10% glucose or fructose. (J) Pupation % of control and mlx1 mutant larvae on pieces of red grape with baker’s yeast inoculum. Error bars represent ± SEM (** p<0.001).

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003438.g001

In mammals, Mlx forms a functional complex with the Mondo paralog, MondoA and ChREBP. We tested if the heterodimeric function of Mlx is conserved in Drosophila and essential for the sugar tolerance. Drosophila Mlx co-immunoprecipitated with Mondo when expressed in Drosophila S2 cells, suggesting heterodimeric function (Figure 2A). Notably, Mlx from S2 cells runs as Mondo when expressed in Drosophila S2 cells, suggesting heterodimeric function (Figure 2A). The nature of these bands has remained unclear, no alternative splicing has been reported and both bands are resistant to alkaline phosphatase treatment (not shown). Ubiquitous RNAi knockdown of Mondo (tub-GAL4->Mondo RNAi) led to delayed pupation (Figure 2B) and reduced pupal survival on high sugar diet (20% yeast-15% sucrose) (Figure 2C). In sum, the biochemical and genetic evidence implies conservation of the Mondo-Mlx heterodimer function in Drosophila.

Changes in lipid, amino acid, and carbohydrate metabolism in mlx mutants

The diet-dependent phenotype of mlx1 mutants led us to perform a comprehensive survey of their metabolic status using mass-spectrometry based lipidomics and metabolomics. Lipidomics analysis revealed significant downregulation of key phospholipid groups, such as phosphatidylethanolamines (PE) and lysophosphatidylcholines (LysoPC) (Figure 3A). Total triglyceride (TG) levels showed a lower trend in mlx1 mutants (Figure 3B), while the difference to the controls was not statistically significant (Figure 3B). However, mlx1 mutants showed significant enrichment in triglyceride species with long fatty acid tails (Figure 3B). At the same time, mlx1 mutants showed strong downregulation of certain fatty acids, such as myristoleic acid and lauric acid (Figure 3C). On the other hand, ceramide (Cer) levels were elevated in mlx1 mutants compared to controls (Figure 3D). Together, mlx1 mutants display signs of severely altered lipid and phospholipid metabolism. In addition to the changes in lipid profiles, total amino acid levels were significantly reduced in mlx1 mutants (Figure 3E), while concentration of urea was dramatically increased (Figure 3F). This implies that mlx1 mutants might catabolise amino acids for energy.

To study changes in glucose metabolism, we measured glucose and trehalose levels in the hemolymph of larvae raised on diets with varying sucrose content. Trehalose is a disaccharide released by glucogenogenesis and glycogenolysis in insects [31]. The levels of circulating glucose were moderately elevated in mlx1 mutant larvae raised on a low-sugar diet (20% yeast) (Figure 4A). However, increasing the dietary sucrose to 5%, which still sustains larval development of mlx1 mutants, led to a prominent increase of circulating glucose in mlx1 mutants while remaining constant in control animals (Figure 4A). Trehalose levels were also significantly elevated in mlx1 mutants, but unlike glucose, trehalose levels were little affected by the dietary sucrose levels (Figure 4B). Furthermore, glycerol levels were significantly elevated in mlx1 mutants (Figure 4C), indicating that glucose catabolism, not cellular glucose intake, is limiting glucose clearance from circulation in mlx1 mutants.

To verify that the elevated glucose levels were due to loss of Mlx function, we performed a transgenic rescue, which normalized circulating glucose levels (Figure S3A). Further, RNAi-mediated knockdown of Mlx led to a clear increase in circulating glucose, trehalose and glycogen (Figure S3B–S3D). In line with the expectation of heterodimeric function for Mondo and Mlx proteins, Mondo RNAi knockdown led to a prominent increase in circulating glucose and trehalose (Figure 4D,E). Also the glycogen levels were significantly increased in Mondo RNAi larvae (Figure 4F). Knockdown of CG3368, the neighbouring gene of mls, had no influence on circulating glucose or trehalose (Figure S3B, S3C). In conclusion, mlx null mutant and mls

Figure 2. Evidence for functional Mondo-Mlx heterodimer. (A) Co-purification of Mlx upon pulldown of Strep-tagged Mondo from S2 cells. Mlx was detected on Western blot using anti-Mlx antibodies. Mondo-Strep-V5 was detected using anti-V5 antibodies. Kinesin was used as loading control. (B) Pupation kinetics of control (tub-GAL4->) and Mondo RNAi (tub-GAL4->Mondo RNAi) larvae on 20% yeast diet with or without 15% sucrose. (C) Survival into adulthood of control (tub-GAL4->) and Mondo RNAi (tub-GAL4->Mondo RNAi) animals grown on 20% yeast diet with or without 15% sucrose. Error bars represent ± SEM (*** p<0.001).

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003438.g002
knockdown larvae display a reduced capacity to utilize circulating glucose leading to poor homeostatic adaptation to elevated dietary sugar.

Mlx is functionally important in the fat body

As mammalian MondoA-Mlx and ChREBP-Mlx appear to display tissue-specific functions, we wanted to determine the functionally important tissues for Drosophila Mondo-Mlx. We first analyzed their mRNA expression using quantitative RT-PCR. Expression of Mondo and Mlx were highly correlated; highest levels were detected in the fat body, gut and Malpighian tubules (Figure 5A). To functionally dissect the contribution of different tissues to the sugar sensitivity, we used tissue-specific transgenic rescue. Restoring Mlx expression in neurons (Elav-GAL4) or muscle (Mef2-GAL4) did not significantly improve the sugar tolerance or survival of mlx1 mutants (Figure 5B). However, targeted expression in the fat body with two independent driver lines (ppl- and r4-GAL4) efficiently rescued survival on high sugar diet. Moreover, rescue of Mlx in the fat body, but not in muscle, was sufficient to normalize the levels of circulating glucose in mlx1 mutants (Figure 5C). Notably, transgenic Mlx expression by tub-GAL4 (Figure 1G) or Mef2-GAL4 (Figure 5B) caused moderately

Figure 3. Changes in lipid and amino acid profiles in mlx1 mutants. (A) Phospholipid levels in control and mlx1 mutant larvae. PE: phosphatidylethanolamine; LysoPC: lysophosphatidylcholine; PC: phosphatidylcholine. (B) Total triglyceride levels as well as triglycerides divided into two groups based on total fatty acid length. For TG-long chain FA group, total fatty acid carbon number >48. (C) Levels of myristoleic acid and lauric acid were strongly reduced in mlx1 mutant larvae. (D) Ceramide levels in control and mlx1 mutant larvae. (E) Total levels of amino acids in control and mlx1 mutant larvae. (F) Urea levels in control and mlx1 mutant larvae. Error bars represent ± SEM (*p<0.05; ** p<0.01; *** p<0.001). The box contains the middle 50% of the data, the two ends of the boxes represent the upper and the lower quartile of the data. The median is represented by the line in the center of the rectangular box. The vertical lines mark the minimum and maximum data values.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003438.g003
reduced survival, which was also observed in the presence of intact endogenous \( \text{mlx} \) (Figure S4).

**Mlx regulates metabolic genes and a secondary transcriptional effector**

To identify Mlx target genes, we performed a microarray gene expression profiling specifically in the fat body. Comparing gene expression between \( \text{mlx}^{-1} \) mutant and control fat bodies from 3rd instar prewandering larvae raised on a moderate sucrose level diet (20% yeast-5% sucrose) revealed 97 down- and 96 up-regulated genes (≥2-fold change and adjusted \( p \)-value ≤0.05) (Figure 6A; Table S1). As expected for a deletion mutant, \( \text{mlx} \) was identified as the most strongly downregulated gene on the microarray (Figure 6A). Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) revealed a significant enrichment of KEGG categories involved in metabolic regulation (Figure 6B). For example, KEGG categories of fatty acid metabolism and nitrogen metabolism were strongly downregulated (Figure 6C), which is in good agreement with the metabolomics data (Figure 3). Many of the genes downregulated in \( \text{mlx}^{-1} \) mutant fat body also showed reduced expression during earlier larval stages in whole larval samples (Figure 6D). Mlx-regulated genes include several key metabolic genes, such as glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase-1 (Gpdh, CG9042), stearoyl-CoA 9-desaturase-1 (desat1, CG5887), Glutamine synthetase-1 (Gs1, CG2718), and 3-hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase (sro, CG12068). The mean expression levels of three known ChREBP and MondoA targets in mammals, fatty acid synthase (Fas, CG3523), acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC, CG11198) and phosphofructokinase 2 (PFK2, 6-phosphofructo-2-kinase/fructose-2,6-bisphosphatase, CG3400), [20,32], were also reduced, although they did not pass our strict microarray cut-offs (Figure 6D). Interestingly, one of the most strongly downregulated genes in \( \text{mlx}^{-1} \) mutant fat body was that encoding the Krüppel-like transcription factor \( \text{Cabut} \) (cbc; CG4427) (Figure 6A, 6D).

**Evidence for a transcriptional network mediating sugar tolerance**

To test in an unbiased way if any of the genes downregulated in \( \text{mlx}^{-1} \) mutants had an essential role in maintaining organismal sugar tolerance, we systematically targeted 103 candidate genes by RNAi (Table S2). Intriguingly, ubiquitous knockdown of two Mlx-regulated genes identified on the microarray led to significant sugar intolerance. Transcription factor \( \text{Cabut} \) was among the most highly Mlx-regulated genes in the microarray. Ubiquitous knockdown of \( \text{Cabut} \) expression by RNAi during the larval stage caused a modest delay of pupation on low sugar diet. However, on high sugar diet (20% yeast-15% sucrose) \( \text{Cabut} \) knockdown led to prominent developmental delay and impaired survival (Figure 7A). This suggests that Mondo-Mlx activates a hierarchical transcriptional network to regulate dietary sugar tolerance with \( \text{Cabut} \) as an essential downstream effector.
Uncoupling of dietary sugar tolerance and circulating glucose

Another Mlx-regulated gene, which caused sugar intolerance upon ubiquitous knockdown, was *Aldehyde dehydrogenase type III* (*Aldh-III*, CG11140; Figure 7B). Most Aldh-III knockdown animals reached the pharate stage on a low sugar diet, but died during early pupal stages on a high-sugar diet (Figure S5A). Similar early pupal lethality was observed in *mlx1* mutants on sugar concentrations that allowed pupation (data not shown). Survival on a 20% sucrose-only diet was also significantly reduced upon Aldh-III knockdown (Figure 7C). We also tested whether restoring Aldh-III activity by transgenic expression would be sufficient to rescue impaired *mlx1* mutant survival on high sugar diet. While transgenic expression of Aldh-III did not rescue *mlx1* mutant survival on 20% yeast-15% sucrose diet (data not shown), larval survival of *mlx1* mutants on 20% sucrose-only diet was significantly improved by transgenic *Aldh-III* (Figure 7D). The same was true when Aldh-III expression was rescued only in the fat body (Figure S5B). In conclusion, Aldh-III is essential and sufficient for providing dietary sugar tolerance.

We also explored whether the sugar intolerance observed upon knockdown of Cabut and Aldh-III was associated with elevated circulating glucose levels. Surprisingly, knockdown of either gene did not result in a significant increase in circulating glucose (Figure 7E, 7F). This implies that impaired clearance of circulating glucose is not an essential prerequisite for intolerance to dietary sugars. Instead, these two Mondo-Mlx-regulated parameters can be uncoupled at the level of the downstream target genes. Based on these phenotypes, the Cabut-dependent branch of the transcriptional network mediates only a subset of Mondo-Mlx functions. We also studied the possibility that Cabut could be a direct regulator of Aldh-III, however the mRNA levels of Aldh-III were unchanged in Cabut RNAi larvae (Figure S5C). Also the mRNA levels of Mondo and Mlx were unchanged in Cabut RNAi larvae, indicating that Cabut is not a feedback regulator of Mondo-Mlx. Notably, it is possible that Cabut is regulating a subset of common genes with Mondo-Mlx.

Interference of fatty acid synthesis makes larvae dependent on sugar-rich diet

Perhaps the best established function of mammalian ChREBP-Mlx is promotion of *de novo* lipogenesis in response to high carbohydrate intake [14]. This function is mediated through upregulation of lipogenic genes and it appears to be conserved in *Drosophila* (Figure 6D; [33]). Two key targets of Mondo-Mlx involved in *de novo* lipogenesis are *acetyl-CoA carboxylase* (*ACC*) and *fatty acid synthase* (*Fas*). Thus exploring their function in respect to dietary sugar will reveal whether *de novo* lipogenesis is functionally...
coupled to sugar tolerance. While knockdown of ACC was embryonic lethal (data not shown), Fas knockdown animals displayed some degree of survival until pupal stage. Strikingly, Fas knockdown larvae displayed early larval lethality on high protein diet (20% yeast paste), but diet supplementation with 15% sucrose partially rescued the lethality allowing pupation (Figure 7G). Thus, Mondo-Mlx-mediated regulation of fatty acid synthesis is a non-essential function for dietary sugar tolerance. In contrast, high dietary sugars promote survival upon compromised fatty acid synthesis.

**Phosphofructokinase 2 contributes to circulating glucose and sugar tolerance**

As our systematic analysis of sugar tolerance genes did not reveal a mechanism by which Mondo-Mlx maintains low circulating glucose, we analyzed glucose levels on additional Mondo-Mlx targets that have a metabolic function. Phosphofructokinase 2 (PFK2, Pfrx, CG3400) synthesizes and breaks down fructose-2,6-bisphosphate, which is an allosteric activator of phosphofructokinase 1 and hence promotes glycolysis. 

**Discussion**

Our study demonstrates that interfering with the function of the Mondo-Mlx complex severely affects *Drosophila* energy metabolism,
rendering animals highly intolerant to sugars in their diet. The sugar intolerance is likely due to a combined effect of several downstream effectors, since our systematic loss-of-function analysis revealed three Mlx target genes that are essential for survival on high sugar diet. Sugar tolerance is influenced by glycolysis, which also contributes to clearance of glucose from circulation. However, circulating glucose levels and sugar tolerance are phenotypes that can be uncoupled, as in the case of two Mlx targets, Cabut and Aldh-III, which only contribute to sugar tolerance.

Mondo-Mlx shows a high degree of functional conservation between flies and mammals, as orthologs of many Drosophila Mlx-regulated genes are known targets of ChREBP/MondoA-Mlx in mammals. These include glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase-1, stearoyl-CoA 9-desaturase-1, fatty acid synthase, acetyl-CoA carboxylase, and

Figure 7. Functional analysis of Mlx-regulated genes. (A) Pupation kinetics of control (tub^+Gal4>) and Cabut RNAi (tub^+Gal4>Cabut RNAi) larvae on 20% yeast diet with or without 15% sucrose. To avoid early larval lethality, we used temperature sensitive GAL80 with tub-GAL4 system to induce RNAi expression during larval stage. Larvae were maintained in +29°C after collection. Cabut knockdown causes a moderate delay also in the low sugar (20% yeast) diet, but addition of 15% substantially reduces survival and delays pupation further. (B) Pupation kinetics of Aldh-III RNAi (tub^+Gal4>Aldh-III RNAi) larvae on 20% yeast diet with or without 15% sucrose. (C) Survival of control (tub-GAL4>) and Aldh-III RNAi (tub-GAL4>Aldh-III RNAi) larvae after 6 days on 20% sucrose-only diet. (D) Survival of control, mlx^1, tub-GAL4 and mlx^1, tub-GAL4>UAS-Aldh-III larvae after 4 days on a 20% sucrose-only diet. (E) Hemolymph glucose levels in control (tub^+Gal4>) and Cabut RNAi (tub^+Gal4>Cabut RNAi) larvae on 20% yeast-5% sucrose. (F) Hemolymph glucose levels in control (tub-GAL4>) and Aldh-III RNAi (tub-GAL4>Aldh-III RNAi) larvae on 20% yeast-5% sucrose. (G) Total pupation of Fas RNAi (tub-GAL4>Fas RNAi) larvae on 20% yeast diet with or without 15% sucrose. (H) Hemolymph glucose levels in control (tub-GAL4>) and PFK2 RNAi (tub-GAL4>PFK2 RNAi) larvae on 20% yeast-5% sucrose. (I) Pupation kinetics of PFK2 RNAi (tub-GAL4>PFK2 RNAi) larvae on 20% yeast diet with or without 15% sucrose. Error bars represent ± SEM (* p<0.05; ** p<0.01; *** p<0.001).

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003438.g007
phosphofructokinase 2 [15,21,25]. Drosophila Mlx displayed an essential role in the fat body, which is the counterpart of mammalian adipose tissue and liver. Thus, it is conceivable that the liver and adipose tissue-specific ChREBP-Mlx, instead of the muscle-specific MondoA-Mlx, represents the ancestral function of the heterodimer.

This study identifies Aldh-III as a novel gene contributing to dietary sugar tolerance. Aldh-III is the ortholog of mammalian Aldh3 family. Aldehyde dehydrogenases (Aldhs) are highly conserved NAD(P)+ -dependent enzymes that oxidize aldehydes to the corresponding carboxylic acid and act on a broad range of substrates [34]. Aldehydes are highly reactive compounds forming adducts with nucleic acids and proteins, thus disturbing cellular functions. Reactive aldehydes can originate from exogenous sources or be products of cellular metabolism. Aldhs have been shown to provide protection against a number of ectopic stresses, including toxic chemicals, heat stress, and UV irradiation [34–37]. One of the best-established functions of Aldh proteins is neutralization of acetaldehyde, a toxic metabolite of ethanol. Acetaldehyde elimination is mainly mediated by Aldh2 [34,38]. Polymorphism in the Aldh2 gene is common in Asian populations and it leads to poor ethanol tolerance [39]. Our finding that another member of the Aldh family, Aldh-III, is essential for dietary sugar tolerance is intriguing, since there are multiple parallels between the hepatic pathophysologies related to excessive ethanol and fructose consumption in humans [3]. Of note, we observed that Aldh-III is sufficient in rescuing the sugar intolerance of mls1 mutants in the fat body, the insect counterpart of liver, suggesting that metabolic stress-induced dysfunction of the fat body contributes to sugar intolerance. Future studies should be aimed at understanding the generation of sugar-derived reactive aldehydes and their molecular targets.

We also provide evidence that PFK2 expression is positively regulated by Mondo-Mlx in Drosophila and that the PFK2 levels are critical in managing circulating glucose levels and providing sugar tolerance. Thus, our data suggests that regulation of PFK2 gene expression might be a suitable strategy to manage hyperglycemia in diabetes. Elevated PFK2 expression has also been associated with the high rate of glycolytic flux in neoplastic tumors [40]. Exploring the contribution of Mondo and Mlx proteins in this setting is therefore warranted. In addition to being regulated transcriptionally, PFK2 activity in mammals is known to be posttranslationally regulated by insulin signalling through protein kinase AKT [41,42]. It will be interesting to learn, what is the contribution of insulin signalling pathway activity on the dietary sugar tolerance.

The finding that Cabut is an essential secondary effector of Mondo-Mlx is intriguing. In fact, cabut expression has been reported to respond to other metabolism-related signals: it is upregulated upon inhibition of TOR complex 1 signalling [43], which is likely mediated by activation of the Forkhead transcription factor, FoxA [44]. While the developmental role of Cabut has been studied [45,46], its metabolic functions have remained unexplored. Our finding showing that Cabut plays an essential metabolic role in providing dietary sugar tolerance implies this topic deserves an in-depth survey in the future. Notably, the closest mammalian homologs of Cabut, Klf-10 and Klf-11, have been linked to metabolic regulation. Mutations in the klf-11 locus are associated with risk of diabetes [47], while Klf-10 appears to negatively regulate lipogenic genes in hepatocytes [48]. Klf-10 expression is regulated both by circadian signals [49] as well as by ChREBP upon high glucose [48]. Thus, Klf-10 might be the functional ortholog of Cabut. Future studies should be aimed at identifying the Cabut target genes involved in its metabolic functions.

Dietary sugar tolerance displays a wide natural variation, even in closely related animal species. For example, two Drosophila species, D. melanogaster and D. mojavensis, have strikingly different tolerance to dietary sugars [6]. In contrast to the fruit generalist D. melanogaster, D. mojavensis is a cactus breeder, which does not naturally encounter high levels of simple sugars and displays poor survival on sugar-rich diet [6]. Based on our data, it is possible to hypothesize that Mondo-Mlx-regulated transcriptional network contributes to the natural variation in sugar tolerance. Genetic differences in sugar tolerance are also observed in humans. For example, hereditary fructose intolerance (HFI) is caused by mutations in the aldolase-B gene [50]. A fructose-restricted diet renders HFI relatively benign, but ingestion of fructose or sucrose leads to strong symptoms, including nausea and vomiting as well as a risk of liver and kidney damage. It will be important to explore whether genetic changes in the ChREBP/MondoA-Mlx network influence individual’s risk for sugar overload-induced metabolic disturbance.

This study highlights the usefulness of Drosophila as a model for systematically exploring the genetic factors defining the range of healthful nutrient intake. Notably, the sugar intolerance in mls1 mutants is not a pleiotropic consequence of a generally disturbed energy metabolism. Knockdown of other key transcriptional metabolic regulators, such as SREBP, did not cause notable sugar intolerance (unpublished observation). The availability of genome-scale reagents, including in vivo RNAi lines, offers the possibility for the systematic dissection of genes contributing to dietary sugar tolerance. These genes might include novel members of the Mondo-Mlx-regulated genetic network, but they will also uncover whether parallel regulatory pathways are involved. The Drosophila model is also particularly useful in dissecting the function of transcription factors that are master regulators of several gene groups that contribute to distinct physiological outputs. While this study has focused on uncovering those Mondo-Mlx targets that contribute to sugar tolerance and circulating glucose levels, uncovering the downstream effectors behind the other metabolic phenotypes of mls1 mutant fly awaits for future studies.

Materials and Methods

Fly stocks

P[XP]bigmax407231 were obtained from Bloomington stock center. For generating UAS-mlx flies, the coding region of mlx cDNA was amplified by PCR and cloned into pUAST vector using BglII and XbaI restriction sites. FLAG-tag was incorporated into the C-terminus. Aldh-III coding region was cloned into pUAST vector using NorI and XhoI restriction sites. RNAi lines were obtained from Vienna Drosophila RNAi Center and from NIG-FLY Stock Center. The following GAL4 driver lines were used in this study: tub-GAL4 [51], ppl-GAL4 [52], r1-GAL4 [53], Elav-GAL4 [54] and Mef2-GAL4 [55].

Fly food

In standard conditions flies were maintained at 25°C on medium containing agar 0.6% (w/v), semolina 3.2% (w/v), malt 6.5% (w/v), dry baker’s yeast 1.8% (w/v), propionic acid 0.7% (v/v) and Nipagin (methylparaben) 2.4% (v/v). For defined nutrient studies, larvae were grown on food containing 20% (w/v) dry baker’s yeast, 0.5% (v/v) agar, and 2.5% (v/v) Nipagin (methylparaben) in PBS supplemented with varying concentrations of sucrose, glucose or fructose. 1st instar larvae were collected from apple juice plates (apple juice 33.33% (v/v), agar 1.75% (w/v), sugar 2.5% (w/v) and Nipagin (methylparaben) 2.0% (v/v)) and larvae were grown at controlled density (30 larvae per vial).
**Mlx antibodies**

For generating anti-Mlx antibodies full-length Drosophila mlx cDNA was cloned into pGEX-4T2. Recombinant GST-Mlx was purified using Glutathione-agarose (Sigma). Anti-Mlx antiserum was raised by immunizing a guinea pig (Storkbio Ltd).

**Cell culture and transfections**

*Drosophila* S2 cells were grown at 25°C in standard Shields and Sang M3 medium (Sigma) containing 2% of fetal bovine serum (Gibco), 1x insect medium supplement (Sigma) and penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco). The transfections were performed using Effectene (Qiagen), according to manufacturer’s protocol. Expression of transfected genes was induced with 1.2 μM CuSO4 24 h post-transfection.

**Pulldown and Western blotting**

For detecting endogenous Mlx *in vivo*, 3rd instar prewandering larvae were homogenized in Laemmli sample buffer and boiled for 5 min. Samples were resolved on SDS-PAGE, and detected by Western blotting using anti-V5 (Invitrogen), anti-Mlx and anti-Kinesin antibodies. For pulldown experiment, cells were lysed in IP lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0,1% NP40) and lysates were cleared by centrifugation. Lysate protein concentration was adjusted to 1 μg/ml. 1 ml of lysate was incubated o/n with 25 μl Strep-Tactin beads (IBA). The beads were washed 5 times with IP lysis buffer, after which Laemmli sample buffer was added and samples were boiled for 5 min. Pulldown and lysate samples were resolved on SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose and analyzed by Western blotting using anti-V5 (Invitrogen), anti-Mlx and anti-Kinesin (Cytoskeleton).

**Metabolomic analyses of small polar metabolites and lipids**

Metabolomics was performed using prewandering 3rd instar larvae grown on 20% yeast-5% sucrose diet. Analysis was done in four biological replicates. Data was processed using Guineu [56] and MZmine 2 [57] software packages for small polar metabolites and molecular lipids, respectively. Detailed description is available in Protocol S1.

**Metabolic assays**

Metabolic assays were done using prewandering 3rd instar larvae grown on 20% yeast or 20% yeast-5% sucrose diet. All analyses were done at least in four biological replicates. Glucose, trehalose and glycogen measurements were conducted as described [58,59].

**Microarray and quantitative RT–PCR**

Staged 3rd instar prewandering control and mls* larvae were grown on a 20% yeast-5% sucrose diet. RNA was extracted from 3–4 larval fat bodies per sample in four biological replicates using the Nucleospin RNA XS kit (Macherey-Nagel). The amino Alkyl MessageAmp II aRNA Amplification kit (Ambion) was used for aRNA synthesis. Hybridization to Agilent *Drosophila* Gene Expression Microarrays, 4×44K was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. Data normalization and analysis was performed using R, taking advantage of packages from the Bioconductor repository. A full documentation of the data analysis is available in Protocol S1. For quantitative RT-PCR, the RevertAid H Minus First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Fermentas) with random hexamer primers was used for first strand cDNA synthesis. PCR was performed using Maxima SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix (2X) (Fermentas) and analyzed on StepOnePlus (Applied Biosystems) real-time PCR system. Primer sequences are available as Table S3.

All expression profiling data is available under accession E-MTAB-699 at the ArrayExpress repository.

**Statistics**

Statistical significance for each experiment (excluding metabolomics and microarray) was determined with unpaired Student’s t-test with unequal variance. All quantitative data are presented as mean ± SEM for a minimum of three independent biological replicates.

**Supporting Information**

**Figure S1** Phenotypes of mls* and CG3368 RNAi. (A) Relative mls* mRNA reveals in control and mls* larvae measured by quantitative RT-PCR. (B) mls* mutants die in the late pupal stage as pharate adults (C) mls* mutants display developmental delay on regular fly food. (D) Relative CG3368 mRNA levels of control (tub-GAL4>) and CG3368 RNAi (tub-GAL4->CG3368 RNAi) larvae measured by quantitative RT-PCR. (E) Pupation kinetics of control (tub-GAL4>) CG3368 RNAi (tub-GAL4->CG3368 RNAi) larvae on 20% yeast diet with or without 15% sucrose. (F) Survival into adulthood of control (tub-GAL4>) and CG3368 RNAi (tub-GAL4->CG3368 RNAi) animals grown on 20% yeast diet with or without 15% sucrose. Error bars represent ± SEM. (TIF)

**Figure S2** Comparison of the electrophoretic migration of Mlx in S2 and larval samples. Western blotting with anti-Mlx antibody. The two upper-most bands in S2 cell samples correspond to the two upper-most bands in larval lysates. (TIF)

**Figure S3** Mlx, but not CG3368, affects circulating glucose, trehalose and glycogen. (A) Ubiquitous transgenic expression of mls* rescues the elevated circulating glucose levels in mls* mutants. (B) Hemolymph glucose levels in control (tub-GAL4>), CG3368 RNAi (tub-GAL4->CG3368 RNAi) and Mlx RNAi (tub-GAL4->Mlx RNAi) larvae grown on a 20% yeast-5% sucrose diet. (C) Hemolymph trehalose levels in control (tub-GAL4>), CG3368 RNAi (tub-GAL4->CG3368 RNAi) and Mlx RNAi (tub-GAL4->Mlx RNAi) larvae grown on 20% yeast-5% sucrose diet. (D) Glycogen levels in control (tub-GAL4>), Mlx RNAi (tub-GAL4->Mlx RNAi) larvae on 20% yeast-5% sucrose diet. Error bars represent ± SEM (* p<0.05; ** p<0.01; *** p<0.001). (TIF)

**Figure S4** Effects of Mlx overexpression to pupation. Total pupation of tub-GAL4->UAS-mls, Elav-GAL4->UAS-mls and Mel2-GAL4->UAS-mls on 20% yeast diet with or without 15% sucrose. Error bars represent ± SEM. (TIF)

**Figure S5** Aldh-III promotes sugar tolerance, but is not regulated by Cabut. (A) tub-GAL4->Adlh-III RNAi animal development to pharate pupal stage after feeding on 20% yeast diet with or without 15% sucrose. (B) Expression of transgenic mls* in the fat body restores survival of mls* on sucrose-only diet. Survival of control, mls*, r4-GAL4, and mls*, r4-GAL4->UAS-Adlh-III larvae after 5 days on a 20% sucrose-only diet. (C) Relative Aldh-III, mls* and mondo mRNA levels in control (tub-GAL4>) and Cabut RNAi (tub-GAL4->Cabut RNAi) larvae. Error bars represent ± SEM (* p<0.05; *** p<0.001). (TIF)

**Protocol S1** Metabolomics and microarray analysis. (DOC)
Table S1  Whole dataset of the microarray gene expression profiling from control and mki/1 mutant fat bodies. (PDF)

Table S2  Systematic in vivo RNAi screen of 103 Mx candidate target genes for sugar sensitivity. Embryonic lethal: embryonic lethality on both diets, larval lethal: larval lethality on both diets, pupal lethal/no phenotype: equal pupation on both diets leading to pupal lethality, no phenotype: equal adult emergence on both diets, sugar sensitive: reduced pupation or adult emergence on high sugar (20% yeast-15% sucrose) diet, N/D: no data. GD and KK-lines from Vienna Drosophila RNAi Center. NIG-line from high sugar (20% yeast-15% sucrose) diet, N/D: no data. GD and diets, sugar sensitive: reduced pupation or adult emergence on high sugar (20% yeast-15% sucrose) diet, late larval lethality with yeast diet. (PDF)

Table S3  Primer sequences used in quantitative RT-PCR. (PDF)
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