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Abstract

Neither genetic nor environmental factors fully account for variability in individual longevity; genetically identical invertebrates in homogenous environments often experience no less variability in lifespan than outbred human populations. Such variability is often assumed to result from stochasticity in damage accumulation over time; however, the identification of early-life gene expression states that predict future longevity would suggest that lifespan is least in part epigenetically determined. Such “biomarkers of aging,” genetic or otherwise, nevertheless remain rare. In this work, we sought early-life differences in organismal robustness in unperturbed individuals and examined the utility of microRNAs, known regulators of lifespan, development, and robustness, as aging biomarkers. We quantitatively examined *Caenorhabditis elegans* reared individually in a novel apparatus and observed throughout their lives. Early-to-mid-adulthood measures of homeostatic ability jointly predict 62% of longevity variability. Though correlated, markers of growth/muscle maintenance and of metabolic by-products (“age pigments”) report independently on lifespan, suggesting that graceful aging is not a single process. We further identified three microRNAs in which early-adulthood expression patterns individually predict up to 47% of lifespan differences. Though expression of each increases throughout this time, *mir-71* and *mir-246* correlate with lifespan, while *mir-239* anti-correlates. Two of these three microRNA “biomarkers of aging” act upstream in insulin/IGF-1-like signaling (IIS) and other known longevity pathways, thus we infer that these microRNAs not only report on but also likely determine longevity. Thus, fluctuations in early-life IIS, due to variation in these microRNAs and from other causes, may determine individual lifespan.

Introduction

Inter-individual variation in human longevity has not been found to be under substantial genetic control, with heritability generally between 15% and 30% [1,2]. At the same time, shared environmental factors contribute little to these human studies, and can be completely controlled in large-scale experiments on inbred invertebrates without abrogating lifespan variability [3–5]. Indeed, rearing *Caenorhabditis elegans* in a homogenous, chemically defined liquid medium more than doubles the coefficient of variability in lifespan compared to feeding the animals live bacteria on solid agar (a less-homogenous environment) [6]. As the external environment of *C. elegans* can be easily controlled, the genetics of its lifespan are well understood [7], and its developmental plan is famously invariant, this nematode is an ideal organism in which to investigate how and when individuality arises, and how these differences produce a phenotype as variable as lifespan [8].

The identification of “biomarkers of longevity” – measurable parameters that predict individual longevity better than chronological age [9] – will help pinpoint genetic and physiological processes that promote or defer senescent decline. Further, such biomarkers may help clarify whether lifespan differences are simply the result of variable accumulation of damage over time, or whether they may also result from gene-regulatory states, potentially set early in life, that determine individual robustness [10]. To date, most identified and proposed biomarkers in *C. elegans* have largely been phenomenological, downstream indicators of homeostatic maintenance. One important class of such markers is locomotory function. Herndon and colleagues showed that a qualitative evaluation of individual locomotory ability correlates with remaining lifespan of same-aged animals, and, moreover, that these movement classes correlate with the degree of sarcopenia (decline in muscle mass and function) in those individuals [11]. Later work showed quantitative correlations between the rate of decrease in body movement and lifespan [12], as well as between the span of functional pharyngeal pumping or body movement and lifespan [13,14]. In addition to muscle decline, general decreases in macromolecular homeostasis have long been observed in aging *C. elegans* via increases in non-hydrolysable, autofluorescent “age pigments” such as lipofuscin [15] in intestinal lysosomes [16–18]. Lipofuscin accumulation correlates with the qualitative movement classes defined in Herndon *et al.* [19], though such accumulation has not been directly shown to predict an individual’s future longevity, and in one recent work was specifically not found to be predictive of longevity [20]. (This last observation was made of green-wavelength autofluorescence, which is more specific for flavin compounds, while lipofuscin *per se* fluoresces most strongly in blue wavelengths [19,21].) Lastly, animals that reach their final adult size more rapidly have shorter lifespans [14]. As part of this work,
we systematically validated adult growth and movement rates, tissue homeostasis, and age pigment accumulation as phenomenological biomarkers of longevity in nematodes, and further, by measuring multiple biomarkers per individual, deduced the relationships among these markers.

While they may suggest clinically relevant markers of human aging, such measurements do little to elucidate the genetic mechanisms underlying lifespan variability. Transcriptional profiling of aging C. elegans has suggested sets of genes that change expression during aging and may thus report an animal’s “physiological age” [22–24]. The definitive test remains demonstrating that a particular gene’s expression level predicts future longevity on an individual basis. The first genetic predictor of individual lifespan was identified by Rea and colleagues, who demonstrated that the ability to upregulate a reporter for expression of the heat-shock protein hsp-16.2 after a mild heat stress correlates with post-stress longevity [25]. However, such stress also induces a protective effect [26]. Thus it is not clear whether the measured effect reflects innate differences in “heat-shock response capacity”, which in un-stressed animals might also correlate with future longevity, or whether the degree of heat-shock response is determined stochastically at the time of the stress.

Recently, mid-life expression variation in several additional genes in C. elegans, including daf-16 and its well-known target sod-3, have been shown to predict future longevity in unperturbed individuals [20]. Therefore, we sought regulatory factors further upstream that might have constitutive activities that determine robustness to damage and/or longevity in unperturbed animals.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) – short non-coding RNAs that bind to and regulate the expression of target mRNAs – have been proposed as determinants of organismal robustness to environmental variation [27], a prediction that has been borne out experimentally [28,29]. Similarly, miRNAs may regulate longevity by determining individual capacities to respond to damage [30]. lin-4 was the first miRNA to be shown to regulate lifespan and stress-resistance, through its action on the insulin/IGF-1-like signaling (IIS) pathway [31], which is well known for its role in longevity determination [32–34]. Many miRNAs change expression levels during aging in C. elegans [35], and recently mir-71, mir-239, and mir-246, all of which increase in expression over time, have been shown to promote (mir-71, mir-246) and antagonize (mir-239) longevity and stress-resistance, through IIS (mir-71, mir-239) and the DNA damage response pathway (mir-71) [36]. Further, miRNAs in other contexts have proven to be able biomarkers of various human pathologies [37–40] and perhaps also aging [41]. Here we report that mir-71, mir-239, and mir-246 expression profiles, measured by promoter::GFP reporter constructs, predict individual longevity in C. elegans.

Results

Lifelong Observation of Individual C. elegans

To determine early-life correlates of eventual longevity, we developed a minimally invasive individual-nematode culture system (Figure 1A) that allows in situ imaging of freely moving, unanaesthetized animals. Briefly, single eggs at the pre-hatch “pretzel” stage and a bacterial food source are deposited atop PEG-1000-methacrylate hydrogel pads embedded in and cross-linked to a glass slide (see Materials and Methods). The top of the slide is covered with liquid polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS), which polymerizes in approximately 12 hours at 23°C to yield a thin, transparent, and gas-permeable membrane that reduces desiccation and prevents contamination. (All ages reported in this work refer to time after slide preparation; as approximately 98% of viable eggs hatched within 5 hours, we simply report this as “age post-hatch.”) All strains were crossed into the temperature-sensitive fertility-defective strain spe-9(hec88) and all assays were conducted at 23°C to prevent reproduction [42]. We obtained good developmental synchrony with this method; after 40 hours, most animals are near the middle of the 4th larval stage, based on vulval morphology (not shown). The mean lifespan of 10.7 days at 23°C in this apparatus is similar to that in standard culture conditions, according to previous reports and our own controls (see Materials and Methods).

At each timepoint, brightfield/fluorescence image pairs were acquired for each animal, and movement rates and health status evaluated by examining motion after stimulation with 0.25 seconds of green light; animals that did not respond were deemed to have perished (Figure S1). Figure 1B illustrates the distribution of lifespans of 463 individuals cultured in this apparatus and the corresponding survival curve.

In this fashion, measurements can be made on individual animals throughout their lives and correlated with eventual longevity. In particular, the position of each animal was identified in brightfield images via custom semi-automated software, allowing quantification of various morphological and image-based features. As an example, Figure 1C illustrates the length of one particular animal measured at daily intervals from hatching until death. In attempting to determine correlates of future longevity, we focused on measurements made during days 3–7 post-hatch, stretching from the attainment of adulthood (beginning of the reproductive period) to the onset of mortality. Less than 3% of the animals die before day 7; while measurements made later often correlate better with remaining longevity, they are of more limited utility as more of the study population has died before the measurements could be made. The day 3–7 range is illustrated in Figure 1C along with the two measures we employed to summarize data in this range: the mean level of a particular measurement over that span, and the slope of a least-squares linear fit of the data in that span.

As noted previously [14], we observed that adult C. elegans tend to shrink over time (Figure 1D). This shortening is not observed in the length distributions of heat-killed animals of different ages [43]; we also anecdotally observed that animals often “relax” and lengthen after death. Thus, the shortening appears to reflect a physiological process and not an actual change in the size of the cuticle. As such, we wished, as an
Phenomenological Predictors of Longevity

It had been previously speculated that that age pigments, known to correlate with the current health state, will be predictive of future longevity [19], though this was not borne out in a recent study [20]. We therefore tested accumulation of autofluorescent age pigments (imaged through a red filter set and apparent in gut granules and in aged gonads; see Materials and Methods and Figure S4). Figure 2A shows the patterns in autofluorescent age pigment accumulation in two individuals, computationally straightened and fit to the average day-5 shape and size for visualization, between days 3 and 7 post-hatch; Figure 2B shows the pigment accumulation trends for cohorts with different lifespans. (These measurements are of the 95th percentile of pixel density estimates of the distribution of lengths of animals at different ages post-hatch, colored by age on a blue-red-yellow spectrum, demonstrate a general shrinkage with aging. (E) The average length over time is shown for cohorts of animals, grouped according to the number of days lived. Shorter-lived animals are in general smaller and shrink in size more quickly. (F) Size maintenance during adulthood (measured as the slope of the least-squares fit of age vs. length, days 3–7 post-hatch) correlates with eventual lifespan; R^2 = 0.27 (p<10^-13); the leave-one-out (l.o.o.) estimate of future predictive ability is also 0.27. The point corresponding to the individual in panel B is shown in red and marked with an arrowhead. Multivariate regression models predict lifespan quantitatively; we can simplify this to a categorical measure to ask how well above- or below-average predicted longevity translates to actual longevity. Figure S2A shows the distributions of observed lifespans for animals with above-average and below-average predicted longevity based the two length measurements (days 3–7 slope and mean); Figure S2B illustrates the corresponding survival curves. We find that above-average length-predicted lifespan is 71% sensitive and specific for above-average longevity. (Defining the test about the average predicted and measured values yields balanced sensitivity and specificity; other thresholds trade off between the two.) The above-average-predicted-lifespan cohort has a 17% increase in mean lifespan compared to the below-average cohort.

Finally, regression models predict lifespan quantitatively; we can simplify this to a categorical measure to ask how well above- or below-average predicted longevity translates to actual longevity. Figure 1E illustrates a retrospective analysis: the average length-versus-time profile is shown for animals grouped according to the number of days lived. Quite clearly, longer-lived animals are both markedly larger than their short-lived siblings and better able to maintain their length over time. This analysis can be made prospectively as well: the slope of the length vs. time curve between days 3 and 7 (as per Figure 1C) correlates well with each animal’s future longevity (Figure 1F). Specifically, 27% of overall lifespan variability is accounted for by the days 3–7 length slope alone. (This correlation, and all others shown, is the aggregate of several trials, described in Table S1; per-trial results are given in Figure S3.) The mean length over that time range also correlates positively with lifespan; including both in the regression analysis increases the R^2 measure of lifespan-predictive ability to 32%. We found similar correlations with volume and surface area; however, length is the most robust.

Note that the R^2 value is often an over-optimistic estimate of how well a model will predict values from future data, due to “over-fitting” of particular features of the original dataset, particularly with least-squares models, small or outlier-prone datasets, and/or multiple independent parameters. We therefore also estimated future predictive ability via leave-one-out (l.o.o.) cross-validation, in which the prediction for each data point is generated from a model constructed using all other data points. For the length measurements the l.o.o. R^2 is 31%.

For the length measurements the l.o.o. R^2 is 31%.
intensity within the defined “worm region” of the original images; here and in all subsequent cases, other measures such as mean or median yield similar results. Clearly, the longer-lived animals in general have higher and faster-rising autofluorescence. (C) Average levels of age pigment, measured between days 3 and 7, anti-correlate with longevity; \( R^2 = 0.27 \) \( (p < 10^{-15}; \text{l.o.o.} 0.25) \); the slope of autofluorescence accumulation, days 3–7 (as in B) correlates similarly well. Both parameters jointly regressed against lifespan yield an \( R^2 \) of 0.31 \( (p < 10^{-16}; \text{l.o.o.} 0.28) \). Points corresponding to the individuals in panel A are red and marked with arrowheads. (D) Movement rates of a long-lived and a short-lived animal throughout their lives are illustrated at each day of life by showing, superimposed, the animal’s position in two images acquired 0.5 seconds apart. From day 3 onward, these animals are colored according to the movement score (see text) on a black-blue-red-yellow spectrum. The longer-lived animal moves more, both qualitatively and quantitatively. (E) Regressing both the mean motion score between days 3 and 7 and the slope in that time range against each animal’s lifespan yields an \( R^2 \) of 0.20 \( (p < 10^{-22}; \text{l.o.o.} 0.19) \). The data points corresponding to the individuals in panel D in red and marked with arrowheads. (F) Straightened brightfield images of a texturally decrepit (bottom) and non-decrepit (top) individual, both 7 days post-hatch. (G) A “texture decrepitude” score is calculated daily (see text); the mean score between days 3 and 7 and over the slope in that time range jointly predict each individual’s longevity with an \( R^2 \) of 0.26 \( (p < 10^{-28}; \text{l.o.o.} 0.25) \). The data points corresponding to the individuals in panel F in red and marked with arrowheads.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002306.g002
size), and autophagocytic ability (autofluorescence accumulation). Because these measurements of tissue and cellular homeostasis are integrative and relatively “downstream”, they provide powerful mid-life predictors of eventual longevity; however, they yield few clues regarding the origin of individual differences in longevity.

**mir-71 Expression Levels and Spatial Patterns Predict Lifespan**

The miRNA *mir-71* increases rapidly in expression during larval development, peaks at early to mid-adulthood, and then gradually declines (Figure 3A, 3B and [36]). Beyond differences in lifespan and stress-resistance [36], *mir-71* mutant animals appear phenotypically wild-type [46]. Further, *mir-71* genetically interacts with IIS downstream of the insulin receptor homolog *daf-2* but upstream of *daf-16*, the FOXO transcription factor that is a major IIS effector [36]. *miR-71* is predicted to target several genes in the IIS pathway; of these, *pdk-1* levels are greatly increased in aged animals lacking *mir-71* [36]. Additionally, *mir-71* appears to be both a downstream target of and a regulator of DNA damage responses via CDC-25.1 [36]. A transgenic reporter, *mir-71::GFP*, containing the promoter of *mir-71* driving GFP expression, was previously characterized [36,47]. Though ubiquitously expressed during adulthood, *mir-71::GFP* expression is most prominent in the hypodermis, pharynx, vulva, intestinal, and tail cells [36,47,48].

Longer-lived cohorts have distinctly different temporal patterns of *mir-71::GFP* expression compared to shorter-lived siblings (Figure 3B). Expression levels are here quantified as the 95th percentile of pixel intensities in the worm’s head region; other measurements (mean, median, etc.), and/or aggregating across the whole body, produce similar results though we find this to be the most robust. Specifically, retention of “youthful” *mir-71* states, both in terms of high levels of *mir-71::GFP* expression and of maintenance of these levels through mid-adulthood, correlates with longevity. Prospectively, both the mean *mir-71::GFP* expression levels and the change in these levels between days 3 and 7 both correlate with future lifespan variability (Figure 3C and 3D); together these two parameters predict 35% of lifespan variation (the L.o.o. value is 32%). Animals with higher or longer-lasting *mir-71::GFP* expression tend to live longer, consistent with the known role of miR-71 in promoting lifespan [36].

To further examine and quantify trends in *mir-71::GFP* expression patterns, we used principal components analysis (PCA). This procedure is conceptually similar to one described recently [49], which employed hierarchical clustering instead of PCA. We used 979 fluorescent images from 146 individual animals, controlled for individual differences in size, shape, internal compression due to locomotion, and overall *mir-71::GFP* expression level (see Materials and Methods) so that the analysis captured trends only in the spatial pattern of expression. The first principal component, which by definition explains the single largest correlated trend in the dataset (18% of total expression-pattern variability in this case), captures a transition from a highly specific head/vulva/tail expression pattern to more diffuse whole-body expression (Figure 3E). As the individuals shown in Figure 3A illustrate, there is both low-level whole-body background expression of *mir-71::GFP* that remains relatively constant over time, and strong head/vulva/tail-specific expression that peaks and declines. The position of the *mir-71::GFP* expression pattern along this principal component ("PC score"), in terms of standard deviations above or below the mean expression pattern (Figure 3E), therefore quantifies the degree of strong, tissue-specific expression at a given day. Figure 3F clearly shows that longer-lived cohorts have more positive and increasing scores, corresponding to high (and increasing) degrees tissue-specificity of expression, while short-lived cohorts have more negative and decreasing scores. Quantitatively, maintenance of head/vulva/tail expression (measured here by the slope of days 3–7 PC scores, though in general other approaches could be employed), and the average overall degree of head/vulva/tail expression (mean PC score day 3–7), are highly correlated with longevity (R² = 29% for slope and 39% for mean); jointly they predict 47% of individual longevity variation (Figure 3G; L.o.o. 45%). Animals with above-average predicted longevities based on these two measurements have substantially different observed lifespans than those with below-average predictions, illustrating the utility of these measures as a diagnostic test of longevity (Figure S2D; the difference in mean lifespan between these two cohorts is 20%).

We confirmed that GFP expression alone does not predict lifespan by examining animals bearing the *md10* transgene, which contains a *npy-2* promoter driving GFP expression in the larval and adult head, a gut enhancer driving intestinal GFP expression in the adult, and *pes-16GFP*, which is expressed embryonically. We found no whole-body or head-only summary of GFP (mean/median/95th percentile/etc.) that, measured in terms of mean or slope over days 3–7 (or various other ranges), predicts longevity to any significant or substantial degree in this dataset (not shown). In addition, other miRNA promoters::GFP fusions correlate (and anti-correlate) with longevity to different degrees (below).

If the primary lifespan-determining target of *miR-71* regulation is IIS, then *mir-71::GFP* expression patterns should no longer correlate with lifespan absent IIS. We tested this by examining *mir-71::GFP* fluorescence in a *daf-16(mu86)* background, which lacks this primary IIS effector. Because *daf-16* lies extremely close to the *spe-9* genomic locus, it was impractical to construct a *mir-71::GFP*; *daf-16; spe-9* strain. Thus, we modified our experimental protocol to use and to allow for the placement of synchronized young-adult animals onto gel pads treated with the drug 5-fluoro-2'-deoxyuridine (FUDR) to prevent reproduction in the culture apparatus (see Materials and Methods). In this regime, lifespan was somewhat extended, along with a concomitant “stretching out” of the rise-peak-fall temporal expression pattern of *mir-71::GFP* intensity (Figure S5). This may be at least partially due to the FUDR, which has been shown to extend lifespan in an environment-dependent fashion [50]. Nevertheless, *mir-71::GFP* levels remain predictive of longevity after the day 3–7 measurement window is adjusted to account for the lifespan extension (Figure S5A and Figure 4B).

However, in the *daf-16(mu86)* background, *mir-71::GFP* expression differences were no longer apparent between cohorts with different lifespans (Figure 4A). Because *daf-16(mu86)* animals are short-lived, we adjusted the “early adulthood” window in which measurements of GFP expression were made to match (Figure S5A); in this window, neither the increase in (Figure 4B), nor the mean level of (not shown), *mir-71::GFP* positively correlated with lifespan. If no accounting for shortened lifespan is made (and, indeed, we observe no compression of the rise-peak-fall temporal expression pattern of *mir-71::GFP* in the *daf-16* background; Figure S3B), we found that GFP expression changes anti-correlate with lifespan (Figure 4B). This anti-correlation appears to be driven by a small subpopulation of the most short-lived animals which have very high *mir-71::GFP* expression levels. Thus, in a *daf-16* null background, the predictive power of *mir-71::GFP* expression is either suppressed, or, potentially, reversed.

Finally, we note that compared to matched *mir-71::GFP* controls, *mir-71::GFP*; *daf-16(mu86)* animals had approximately double the peak GFP expression levels (Figure S5B), though the shape of the temporal pattern (Figure S5B) and spatial distribution...
(Figure S5C) of expression remained extremely similar. This may suggest negative-feedback regulation of miR-71 by DAF-16 or one of its targets.

Rates of mir-246/mir-239 Increase Promote/Antagonize Individual Longevity

Much like mir-71, mir-246 mutants appear phenotypically wild-type except for decreased longevity and stress-resistance [36,46]. The expression of miR-246 increases over time, and a mir-246::GFP construct shows that the gene is expressed in the gonadal sheath [36,47]. Our detailed analysis of mir-246::GFP in individual animals shows a gradual plateauing of mir-246 expression in late adulthood (Figure 5A and 5B), but, unlike mir-71, no concomitant loss of tissue specificity. We find that animals in which mir-246::GFP levels plateau more slowly (measured by the slope of mir-246::GFP 95th-percentile fluorescence intensity between days 3 and 7) are relatively longer-lived: change in mir-246 expression in this time range predicts 20% of total longevity variation (l.o.o. 18%; Figure 5B and 5C). The mean level of mir-246::GFP between days 3 and 7, however, does not clearly predict longevity. Additionally, we observed that while the distributions of lifespans for animals with slow- vs. fast-increasing mir-246::GFP expression are significantly different (Figure S2E), they have nearly identical modal values; however, a subset with particularly early mortality appears to be associated with low mir-246::GFP slopes.

None of the principal components of spatial expression variability correlate with longevity: mir-246::GFP expression is highly tissue-specific, and so the principal components predominantly capture uninteresting variations in the internal position of the gonad sheath.

Unlike mir-71 and mir-246, mir-239 antagonizes longevity; mutants lacking the identical mir-239a and mir-239b sequences have increased lifespan and stress-resistance (though, again, no other clear phenotypes) [36,46]. Genetic experiments suggest that mir-239 is downstream of daf-2 and upstream of daf-16; miR-239 may promote IIS (which is anti-longevity) via indirectly increasing levels of the cytoplasmic IIS transduction components AGE-1 (the...
Figure 4. *mir-71::GFP levels do not positively correlate with longevity absent DAF-16. (A) Average *mir-71::GFP expression (measured as the 95th percentile of head-region intensity) versus time is shown for cohorts of *mir-71::GFP; daf-16(mu86) animals with different longevities. In contrast to Figure 3B, without DAF-16, longer-lived cohorts of *mir-71::GFP; daf-16(mu86) animals do not clearly distinguish from shorter-lived cohorts in terms of temporal trends in *mir-71::GFP expression. (B) The relationship between the slope of *mir-71::GFP expression in the head in a defined time window and ultimate lifespan is shown for various strains and time windows. Blue circles mark *mir-71::GFP animals, measured days 5–13 (which corresponds to the day 3–7 window after adjusting for the different lifespan induced by the culture conditions; see Materials and Methods and Figure S5A). Closed red circles mark *mir-246::GFP; daf-16(mu86) animals measured days 4–9 (an adjustment accounting for the shortened lifespan of the strain), while open red circles mark the same strain measured days 5–15. In all cases, the reported correlation values do not strongly depend on the starting day. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002306.g004

catalytic subunit of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase) and PDK-1. *mir-239::GFP expression is predominantly in several head and tail neurons, with lower levels in pharyngeal and gut tissues [36]. While *mir-71 and *mir-246 levels peak or plateau, respectively, at mid-life (Figure 3A, 3B and Figure 5A, 5B), we observe that *mir-239::GFP expression levels drift upward over time (Figure 5D and 5E). Consistent with its role as a lifespan antagonist, higher *mir-239::GFP levels correlate with shorter lifespans. As there is relatively little variability in *mir-239::GFP expression at post-hatch day 3, the days 3–7 increase and the day-7 magnitude of expression capture similar information, and both predict approximately 10% of longevity variability. For the slope measure, the l.o.o. $R^2$ is 7% (Figure 5F). Though this value is somewhat low compared to the others reported, the lifespans of high and low *mir-239::GFP slope performs nearly as well as *mir-246::GFP or the motion-or texture-based measures (Figure 5G). As with *mir-246::GFP, PCA applied to *mir-239::GFP images did not yield any expression-pattern trends predictive of future longevity.

Relationships among Longevity Biomarkers

We measured many of the reported biomarkers in the same animals, enabling us to construct a multivariate predictor of nematode longevity, which we term the “survival prediction index.” This model, incorporating the length, motion, texture, and autofluorescence-accumulation measurements described in Figure 1 and Figure 2, predicts 62% of all lifespan variability across all datasets for which these parameters were measured (l.o.o. 57%; Figure 6A), and above-average “survival prediction indices” divide the animals into well-delineated long-lived and short-lived subgroups (Figure S2G; mean lifespan is 22% increased in the high-survival-index cohort compared to the low-index cohort). The relative importance of each biomarker can be inferred by examination of the relative regression weights: autofluorescence (slope): -0.302; length (slope): 0.566; length (mean): 0.174; motion (mean): 0.149; motion (slope): 0.137; texture (mean): 0.121; texture (slope): 0.077. (To render regression weights directly comparable, all input parameter values were expressed in unit-free terms of standard deviations from their mean; including mean autofluorescence values did not improve lifespan-predictive ability.) Overall, the measures of size and age pigments dominate (for these measures alone, lifespan-prediction $R^2 = 55\%$, l.o.o. 53%).

Further, we find that adding *mir-71 or *mir-239 measurements to those above do not improve the lifespan predictions of the model (not shown), indicating that these measurements provide information that is also captured by the downstream, phenomenological markers (see also below). However, adding *mir-246 slope measurements adds at least 5% to the $R^2$ value attained from the phenomenological markers, which suggests that miR-246 promotes longevity via more than just the mechanisms reported on by length, texture, motion, and autofluorescence.

We formalized this analysis by inferring the conditional independencies of various parameters from partial correlations. That is, while all of the biomarkers correlate with one another, it is possible to statistically infer whether these relationships are direct or indirect. For example: *mir-71 levels correlate with longevity, but this correlation is largely abrogated when length maintenance is controlled for; conversely, controlling for *mir-71 reduces the correlation between length and lifespan to a much lesser degree (see Table S2, which lists the correlation of each marker with longevity after various controls). Therefore either *mir-71 influences length, which then influences longevity, or length variability is an upstream cause of both *mir-71 variability and lifespan variability. To systematically evaluate these interactions, we constructed a partial correlation network (also known as a graphical Gaussian model) from our data (Figure 6B; see Materials and Methods) [51]. We have not yet directly evaluated the relationship between the different miRNAs, which requires measuring the promoter activity of these genes in the same animals. We can, however, indirectly infer the relationship between these miRNAs via intervening factors measured in all datasets: the network in Figure 6B reflects the consensus of networks calculated from each dataset (Figure...
While the relatively weak relationship between mir-239 and lifespan prevents its accurate placement into the network, other trends are clear. Age-pigment accumulation, motion, and texture/mir-71/length provide relatively independent information about lifespan from one another, though there may be some relation between the motion and texture scores (Figure S6). Including mir-246 decreases the link between length and lifespan (Figure S6 and Table S2), suggesting that, while its precise position is indeterminate, mir-246 is either an upstream cause of both length and lifespan variation, or mir-246 levels are determined by physiological processes that regulate length maintenance, and these levels more directly control lifespan.

Finally, we examined the timing of each measure’s lifespan-predictive ability: do some measurements provide earlier hints at longevity than others? The accuracy of lifespan prediction for each measure (measured as the R² value) is plotted versus time in Figure 6C. For this analysis, we did not manually determine one or two summaries of the time-course of biomarker measurements (e.g., “mean length between days 3 and 7”), but instead predicted longevity using all of the raw time-course data up to a given age (e.g. to evaluate the predictive ability of length up to day 4, we regressed lifespan against the lengths measured at days 2, 3, and 4). We used ridge-regression with an automatically-determined penalty in order to prevent over-fitting due to the increased number of parameters at later time-points [see Materials and Methods]; note however that the R² values are in some cases more optimistic compared to the simpler measurements defined earlier.

Based on this analysis, we observe that the various predictors of longevity differ markedly in their timing: the mir-71 PCA scores provide the earliest, and best, predictors of lifespan, while mir-246 and motion provide the latest-onset information about future lifespan.

Discussion

We used a novel culture system that allowed us to quantitatively examine individual nematodes throughout time and directly correlate inter-individual variability in early life with variability in eventual lifespan. Through this observational study, we identified phenotypic markers that, measured while >97% of the population remains alive, predict over 60% of future individual longevity variability. In addition to confirming markers that previously had been shown to correlate with lifespan (movement rates [12–14]) and widely suspected to do so (visual decrepitude [11,18,45], age pigment accumulation [17–19,43]) we have also found a novel biomarker of longevity in maintenance of adult size.
In particular, the degree of strong, tissue-specific mir-71 expression in the head, vulva, and tail is the single most powerful biomarker identified, explaining 47% of future longevity (Figure 3E and 3G), and also the earliest indicator of future longevity (Figure 6C). Combining these findings, which are based on observation of essentially unperturbed individuals, with earlier knockout studies that demonstrate that abrogation of these miRNAs alters mean lifespan [36], we infer that individual, wild-type variability in the expression of these regulators not only reports on longevity but may likely determine it as well. In all cases, the correlation or anti-correlation of miRNA levels with longevity is in the same direction as suggested by previous knockout and overexpression studies [36]. Note that it is not necessarily the case that genes that alter lifespan when removed or artificially overexpressed will determine individual lifespan in wild-type conditions: core health-determining genes may be tightly regulated to have low inter-individual variation, or that variability may be buffered downstream and not translate into altered longevity.

In the case of mir-71 and mir-239, the mechanism of individual lifespan determination may be via the well-known insulin/IGF-1-like signaling pathway (IIS) [36], the absence of which promotes longevity via stress-response and macromolecular homeostatic mechanisms, among others [55-57]. In particular, mir-71 knockout led to increased expression of components of the IIS transduction machinery [36], and we here found that daf-16 null animals have increased levels of mir-71::GFP expression. These observations suggest that DAF-16 and miR-71 levels are held in homeostatic balance via a mutually regulatory feedback loop. Thus, it is possible that inter-individual fluctuations in miR-71 levels may directly determine inter-individual levels of tonic IIS activity, and hence, individual lifespan. This conclusion is strengthened by our finding that, absent DAF-16, mir-71::GFP is no longer predictive of longevity. One final piece of evidence for the role of IIS in lifespan determination comes in the work of Sánchez-Blanco and Kim [20], which identifies daf-16 and sod-3, a canonical DAF-16 target, as among the best predictors of individual longevity. (In fact, sod-3 reporter expression is their strongest predictor reported, with an R$^2$-value of 0.32.)

Further, mir-71 may also act by downregulating cell-cycle checkpoint proteins [36], the absence of which also promotes stress-response factors, even in postmitotic cells [58]. The early predictive ability of mir-71::GFP suggests that mir-71, and thus either or both of the signaling pathways it regulates, may play an early-life role in determining organismal robustness and longevity.

According to our network analysis, image texture, mir-71::GFP expression, and size are all closely related, with size as the most downstream measure of what we take to be the age-regulated tissue disorganization and sarcopenia reported previously [11,18]. Overall, and especially given mir-71’s role in regulating pathways that determine levels of stress responses, we suspect that this nexus of predictors reflects processes of somatic growth and maintenance. However, while both mir-71 and mir-246 levels are correlated with length maintenance, mir-246, unlike mir-71, provides additional information about longevity not captured by length or other “somatic maintenance” features. Given this, the relatively late (i.e. post-reproductive) timing of its predictive ability, the sharp upregulation of mir-246::GFP expression at reproductive maturity (Figure 5A, 5B and [47]), and its localization literally at the interface between the gonad and other somatic tissues, it is tempting to speculate that mir-246 is involved in balancing reproduction and somatic maintenance [32,39]. Further, we find that age pigment accumulation also provides a degree of information about lifespan not captured by the “somatic

通过中间寿命（图1E和1F）。这与最近的发现一致，即“生长跨度”（达到成年期所需时间）也预测了寿命[14]。

我们的结果表明，年龄变化是不一致的，与其他人最近报道[20]，观察发现，这可能由我们测量的年龄变化在红光谱段范围（见材料和方法），而Sánchez-Blanco和Kim检测了绿色荧光素。请注意，lipofuscin, per se（作为其他荧光素“年龄变化”），在广泛的蓝色范围内[21]。这表明年龄变化的异质性在年龄变化的整个色谱范围内。

寻求更详细的年龄段的遗传学，我们发现，我们发现，我们在的miR-71, 239和246（通过类似基因组：GFP报告者）预测一个实质性的寿命部分。到那时，只有一个群体报告了任何基因，在任何物种，其中端内基因，未被扰动的表达水平变化界面上已被报道为预测未来的寿命[20]。表达的表达状态，预测较晚的寿命，很可能在早期进一步发现，这表明寿命变化是确实的结果由发育过程中确定的基因型的变化的“可塑性”或“脆弱”。这一假设已被理论上[52]，和度热冲击寿命变化-长寿命[53,54]，已知在符合这一模型。

图6. 多变量寿命预测和相关生物标志物。A）多变量回归表达（3-7天之间），运动（运动和斜率），肤色deciperitum（运动和斜率），和自氧化荧光素积累（运动）与寿命的表达，dashed lines indicate relations that are not fully consistent，was shown in Figure S6；B）角色相关网络。C）寿命预测值随时间变化。
maintenance” nexus, suggesting that it, too, reflects an interrelated but parallel lifespan-determining process. It is also worth examining these results in light of those of our previous work, which compared miRNA expression over time in wild-type and long-lived *daf-2* I15 mutant animals [36]. Often, *daf-2* animals are taken as a paradigm case of “long-lived” animals, and the presence or absence of a physiological feature in these mutants assumed indicative of a role as a biomarker of successful aging. While in many cases this is undoubtedly so (for example, decreases in rates of lipofuscin accumulation in these animals [19]), our results yield the unsurprising finding that the relationship between physiological events in *daf-2* mutants and long-lived wild-type animals are inexact. Specifically, we here find clear evidence that elevated levels of *mir-71* and *mir-246* expression are associated with extended longevity, yet in *daf-2* animals these miRNAs are not upregulated, likely reflecting their upstream, negative-regulatory roles in insulin signaling [36]. Similarly, we find that *daf-16* animals are physiologically quite dissimilar from short-lived wild-type individuals in terms of *mir-71*:GFP expression. Intact individuals which are short-lived typically have low *mir-71*:GFP levels, while *daf-16* animals show a dramatic elevation in *mir-71*:GFP. Mechanistically, this may be due to disrupted negative feedback from DAF-16 (or a target) on *mir-71*; pragmatically it suggests the limitations of inference about the physiology of intact animals based on findings in particular mutants. In this case, based only on the average difference in *mir-71*:GFP in *daf-16* vs. wild-type, one might incorrectly conclude that high *mir-71* levels are a marker of short lifespan. We thus believe there is great utility to the quantitative observation of individual wild-type (or nearly so) animals. Lastly, in all cases observed, it is the retention of young-adult-like trends (high and/or increasing length, *mir-71* and *246* expression; low or slowly-increasing autofluorescence and *mir-239*) into mid- and late-adulthood that predicts longer lifespan. Classic antagonistic pleiotropy theories of aging posit that some age-related degeneration may be due to alleles which are beneficial in early life but become damaging over time (“live fast, die young” effects) [60]; however, in the examples here presented we find that the loss of youthful biometrics, not their continuation, proves most harmful.

Thus, from this and previous studies [36], these miRNAs appear to be relatively upstream regulators of lifespan-determining pathways that are relevant to the determination of inter-individual variation in nematode lifespans. The miRNAs we have identified are not well conserved in higher animals so these particular mechanisms of lifespan determination are likely nematode-specific. However, given the conserved nature of aging pathways across phylogeny, our work does suggest that fluctuations in other regulators of these pathways (including other miRNAs) may predict or determine individual aging rates in more complex organisms, perhaps foreshadowing or even controlling the timing of age-related decline in humans.

**Materials and Methods**

**Single-Animal Vermiculture**

Waterjet-cut borosilicate glass slides were obtained from Advanced Waterjet and Engraving ([Anaheim, CA]). Before and after use, slides were soaked in a base bath (2.5 isopropanol:H2O, 1.5M KOH) to remove all organic material. Prior to use, the slides were treated to functionalize the glass surface with reactive methacryl groups as follows: slides were rinsed in distilled H2O (dH2O) and submerged in 5% HCl (aq.) for 10 min to protonate surface hydroxyls, rinsed again in dH2O and then submerged with agitation for 2 min. in methacryl silane solution (2% *v*/*v* 3-methacryloyloxypropyltrimethoxysilane [Z-6030, Dow-Corning; Midland MI] in 95% ethanol with 0.02% *v*/*v* glacial acetic acid, made fresh and stirred vigorously for 10 min. immediately prior to use). The slides were then rinsed in 95% ethanol, heated at 110°C to effect the condensation of the silane reagent to the glass surface, and stored with desiccant. Prior to use, one side of each slide was sealed with Scotch Premium Performance packing tape (3M; St. Paul, MN).

We use a methacryl-difunctional polyethylene glycol to create a crosslinked hydrogel [61] that, when polymerized in the methacryl-derivatized glass wells, crosslinks also to the sides of the wells. This prevents the high rate of escape down the sides of the wells that we observe when using agar gels. Agar-free but otherwise standard nematode growth media [62] was supplemented with 4% *v*/*v* dimethacryl PEG-1000 (Polysciences; Warrington, PA), 4% *v*/*v* monomethacryl PEG-1100 (Sigma-Aldrich; St. Louis, MO) as a plasticizer, and 0.1% *w*/*v* 1-[4-(2-hydroxyethoxy)-phenyl]-2-hydroxy-2-methyl-1-propane-1-one (Irgacure 2959, BASF; Ludwigshafen, Germany), a water-soluble, photo-activatable crosslinking initiator. This PEG-NGM was filter-sterilized and pipetted to fill the wells in the glass slides level to the top. The slides were then placed in a sealed chamber with a UV-transparent borosilicate glass lid, which was purged with nitrogen and exposed to 1.5 J of shortwave UV (λ<sub>max</sub> = 350nm) radiation to initiate crosslinking.

1 μl 12.5% *v*/*v* *E. coli* OP50 ([resuspended in M9]) was pipetted onto each NGM-PEG pad, and individual eggs at the pre-hatch “pretzel” stage of development were transferred with an eyelash pick. Liquid polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS; Syguard 184, Dow-Corning; Midland MI) was mixed 1:10 with its crosslinking agent, degassed for 20 min. under vacuum, and pipetted atop the slide assemblies, which were then placed in 10 cm diameter polystyrene Petri dishes alongside small dH2O-saturated cotton strips (to prevent desiccation), sealed with parafilm, and stored at 23°C. PDMS polymerizes after approximately 12 hours in these conditions.

Most eggs hatch within 5 hours of slide preparation and reach their full adult size approximately 50 hours later. Ages reported are hours and days post slide preparation. These values are within the described range for this temperature [63], suggesting that our culture apparatus is substantially similar to standard conditions. Further, our observed mean lifespan of 10.7 days at 23°C (Figure 1B) is similar to our own measurements of *spe-9*(hc88) animals picked as pretzel-stage eggs onto standard NGM plates seeded with OP50 (mean lifespan = 9.5 days at 24°C, n = 350), and measurements of wild-type animals on solid media reported by others [6,17]. However, we observe a somewhat smaller standard deviation in lifespan of ~1.9 days vs. the 3–4 in those previous studies, suggesting that this culture apparatus provides an extremely uniform environment.

For the *daf-16* epistasis analysis, we modified the above protocol to allow for chemical sterilization of young adult animals by 5-fluoro-2'-deoxyuridine (FUDR; Sigma-Aldrich; St. Louis, MO). Specifically, FUDR from a 10 mg/mL aqueous stock was added at 1:100 to PEG-NGM prior to filter-sterilization, which was polymerized in the glass slides as above. FUDR causes growth arrest of animals prior to the 4<sup>th</sup> larval stage, so synchronized young adult animals were produced by hypochlorite treatment of gravid adults to isolate eggs [42] followed by overnight starvation in M9 buffer to synchronize animals as L1s, which were then plated on standard NGM-agar plates with OP50 food and allowed to grow to young adulthood at 23°C. These animals were transferred individually to PEG-NGM-FUDR slides supplemented
with concentrated OP50 as above. Moving animals crawl into polymerizing PDMS, so the slides were scaled with 0.5mm-thick strips of PDMS that had been pre-cured on a glass plate at 100°C for one hour and cut to size. We observed an increase in longevity under these conditions relative to non-FUDR treated animals plated as embryos (Figure S3A).

Strains
The following C. elegans strains provided by Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC) were used in our studies: VT2084 (mir-71::GFP), VT1607 (mir-246::GFP), and PD793 (mys10::myo-2::GFP, pes-10::GFP; F22B7.9::GFP; mir-239::GFP) was generated previously [36]. All strains were crossed into BA671, a spe-9(hc88) temperature-sensitive fertilization-deficient mutant, and assays were conducted at the restrictive temperature of 25°C. This strain has normal longevity at this temperature [42]. The small fraction of animals that reproduced in the culture apparatus and could not be clearly distinguished from their offspring was excluded from further analysis.

We determined that VT2084 actually contains the complete precursor miRNA sequence for miR-71 inside the “promoter” region driving transgenic GFP expression; therefore we wished to determine whether this strain overexpresses miR-71, which was previously shown to increase longevity [36]. Overexpression of miR-71 was not likely because the transgene in strain VT2084 was integrated via low-copy bombardment; however we confirmed via quantitative RT-PCR analysis (as performed previously [36]) that mature miR-71 levels in mir-71::GFP; spe-9(hc88) animals reared at 25°C (as similar as possible to our own culture conditions) showed minimal overexpression. Specifically, synchronized populations of spe-9(hc88) animals and mir-71::GFP; spe-9(hc88) animals were prepared by hypochlorite treatment and overnight starvation in M9, reared on NGM plates seeded with OP50 at 23°C, and harvested at 5 days post-plating, corresponding to the time of peak mir-71::GFP expression at young adulthood. Levels of miR-71 in mir-71::GFP; spe-9(hc88) animals were 102% or 115% that of spe-9(hc88) animals, depending on whether the U18 RNA or mir-66 (which are not temporally regulated), respectively, was used as a loading control. Mature miR-71 levels were also measured in homozygotic mir-71 null (#4115); mir-71::GFP animals prepared similarly; here, miR-71 expression was approximately 58% or 25% that of wild-type (using the U18 or mir-66 control, respectively). (The #4115 strain without the mir-71::GFP transgene had undetectable miR-71 expression.) Further, we see no phenotypic consequence of the extra copies of the miR-71 sequence in VT2084: the lifespan of the other strains analyzed, which is well within the range of inter-replicate variability. Thus, we conclude that for the purposes of this work, mir-71::GFP acts as a phenotypically wild-type reporter of miR-71 expression.

Image Acquisition
We calibrated our microscope daily to control for spatial and temporal variation in light-source intensity, as described previously [36]. At the desired sample interval (typically daily), each slide was briefly removed from its humid chamber and placed in an upright microscope (Axioplan 2i; Carl Zeiss; Oberkochen, Germany), driven by custom software, for acquisition of brightfield and fluorescent images at 10x magnification. Per-slide acquisition time was typically under 20 minutes. Each animal was manually located and brought into focus, and a series of 10ms-exposure brightfield images were acquired, interleaved with fluorescence exposures of 1, 10, and 100 ms, in order to ensure that a properly exposed image was obtained. This sequence was performed for each filter-set of interest; in this case a GFP-bandpass filter to measure transgene expression (41017; Chroma; Bellows Falls, VT) and a TRITC filter to measure autofluorescence (41002c; Chroma).

While the peak autofluorescence of lipofuscin, a chief age pigment, is in the blue range [19], blue light evokes a strong escape response [64], which is problematic as the animals typically leave the field of view rapidly thereafter. As the green range was used for GFP measurements, we compromised and measured age pigment species that autofluoresce in the red range. Like lipofuscin, we observed these species in gut granules [16] and also in larger gonadal inclusions (Figure S4). Further, we established that our measurements of GFP intensity were not biased by light emitted from the autofluorescent species that also fluoresce in the same wavelengths. We found that the relative degree of autofluorescence was quite low (<10% relative intensity) compared to the GFP signals measured, even in aged animals; correcting for this bleed-through did not alter any findings. Further, the 95th-percentile measurements of image intensity we used are less sensitive to low-intensity autofluorescence signals as compared to measures of mean image intensity (for example).

After fluorescence image acquisition, each animal was further stimulated with a 0.25-second pulse of green light, which stimulates a robust escape response in healthy animals and causes head and/or tail retraction in more decrepit individuals. After a 1-second delay, three images were subsequently recorded at 1-second intervals to measure post-stimulation movement.

Image Analysis
Post-stimulation motion image sequences were visually scrutinized to determine if voluntary “twitching” occurred. Animals with no detectable motion were determined to have died in the interval between the current and previous image acquisition. (Assuming a Bayesian null hypothesis of a uniform prior distribution over time-of-death within the sample interval, the expectation value for the actual, unknown, time of death is halfway between the current and previous acquisition time. We took the number of hours between slide preparation and this time-of-death estimate as the lifespan.) For each timepoint, the non-overexposed fluorescent image with the longest exposure time was selected, subject to manual review to ensure that the animal’s locomotion did not cause unacceptable blur. As each fluorescent image was acquired with flaming brightfield images, the brightfield image in which the animal’s position is closest to that in the fluorescent image was selected automatically as that with maximum mutual information with the fluorescent image [63], again subject to manual review.

Once the best brightfield/fluorescence image pairs were defined, the outline of the animal in each brightfield image was determined using custom semi-automated software; the position was assumed to be the same in the fluorescent image. The nematode-finding procedure was as follows: based on a training set of labeled nematode/non-nematode regions of brightfield images, a logistic regression classifier was trained to estimate the probability that a given patch of pixels is inside of an animal [66]. The classifier was applied to each fluorescent image to create a rough mask, which was distance-transformed to produce “valleys” of low values along the midlines of masked regions. Based on user-input head and tail points, a least-cost path through this distance-transformed mask was calculated using Dijkstra’s algorithm. This centerline was manually modified as necessary, and the left and right flanks of each animal determined based on average size for its age, with manual modifications.
Given each animal’s centerline and outline, it is trivial to straighten the image and to warp the size and shape of any given animal to a standardized “unit worm” defined by the average size and shape of all animals of a given age. The PCA fluorescence measurements described below were made on standardized, warped images; all other measurements were made from the original images, within the animal’s boundaries as defined. Further, given the head-to-tail centerline, we defined the “head” region as the initial 20% of the animal.

Image Measurements

Fluorescence measurements were made on images corrected for background camera noise (dark field), spatial illumination inhomogeneities (flat field) and temporal variation in illumination via reference fluorescent beads [56]; after correction, intensity values were divided by the exposure time to render all images comparable. Pixel values within the defined whole-animal or head regions were extracted and summary statistics (such as 95th percentile of intensity) made. These raw measurements are available as Table S3, while per-animal summary statistics (days 3–7 slope and mean, etc.) are provided in Table S4.

Four measures of motion were made within the defined animal region: the fraction of pixels changing relative intensity by more than 18% between the different brightfield images acquired, and the average pixel-wise coefficient of variation across these images, both before and after green-light stimulation. v-support-vector regression (SVR) [67] using an RBF kernel was then used to map these four parameters to the number of days of life remaining, using LIBSVM [68]. Parameters were selected using 10-fold cross-validation on a subset of the input data: C = 10, v = 0.8, γ = 0.3, though performance was roughly equivalent across several decades of C and γ values, 0.2<v<0.9. Thereafter we used 100-fold cross-validation on our dataset of 4318 motion-statistics/days-remaining data points and made predictions for each data point without “peeking” by training the SVR on the days-remaining figure for that data point. The predicted “days of life remaining” based on the four measures of motion was used as our aggregate motion score.

For simplicity, image texture features were calculated directly from pixel intensity patterns [69], though “filter-bank” methods have also been employed on nematode images [44, 45]. First, age-specific texture patterns (“textons”) were determined. Brightfield images (acquired through the GFP filterset only) were grouped by 17-pixel patches for each texton, divided by the total number of patches in that image. These patches were then used as input to a support vector regression procedure precisely as described above (best parameters: C = 5, v = 0.6, γ = 0.004; again performance was relatively insensitive to parameter setting). Texture-based predictions of “days of life remaining” were used as texture-decrépitude scores.

Principal components analysis was performed on fluorescent images, from day 3 onward, warped to unit size and shape. However, as C. elegans stretch and compress as they move, and due to inter-individual anatomical variation as well as variation in animal-outline-finding, warping images based on the outline alone does not cause anatomical features to come into precise register across every animal. We therefore manually defined the position of the vulva on each brightfield image and used that position to initialize a mild nonlinear warping procedure, which longitudinally stretches and compresses the image using five evenly spaced control points. Given an image and a reference, hill-climbing optimization was then used to find the position of the control points that maximized the correlation coefficient between the image and reference pixels, with a penalty for large deformations. The mean images were mutually aligned using the expectation-maximization algorithm as follows: the mean image across the population was calculated (expectation step), then each image was warped to match the mean (maximization step). These steps were alternated until convergence; typically three iterations sufficed. Results were then manually inspected to ensure face validity. Finally, the mean pixel intensity of each image was subtracted away so that inter-image variability was due only to the distribution of pixel intensities, and not to overall changes in mean brightness. After this procedure, the principal components analysis was performed on the images, and PCA scores along each component were calculated for each animal at each timepoint.

Statistical Analysis

Estimates of the underlying distribution of sampled data (length, lifespans) were performed with Gaussian kernel density estimation, using the Scott’s rule-of-thumb to choose the kernel variance (i.e. bandwidth): h = n−0.2 where h is the sample variance and n is the sample size [70]. Pairs of lifespan distributions were tested for equality using the two-tailed Kolmogorov-Smirnov test.

Single and multivariate regression of biomarkers versus lifespan was conducted with ordinary least-squares regression, with the coefficient of determination (R2) calculated according to the standard formula. Note that in the univariate case, this is equivalent to the squared Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient (r) between the biomarker and lifespan. Significance of correlations was measured with an F-test of the R2 value: the statistic has an F distribution with (df(model), df(error)) degrees of freedom, where and are the model and error components of the overall variance, respectively, where = p, = n−p−1, p is the number of fit parameters, and n is the number of observations. As the sum of squared distances between the predicted values and the mean value, divided by the model, is the sum of squared residuals divided by the simple algebra on the definition of the R2 value yields .

Leave-one-out R2 values were calculated as follows: given one or more biomarker values for a set of individuals, an ordinary least-squares regression model to predict lifespan from these values was estimated based on the data from each individual save one; then the lifespan of that individual was predicted using that model. This was repeated for each individual. The R2 value was calculated from the residuals of the leave-one-out predictions according to the standard formula.

Partial correlation networks (Figure 6B and Figure S6) were computed using TETRAD IV [71], using the “PC” search algorithm with the multiple-regression independence test and the threshold for the test set to 0.001. Arrows of direction of the influences were discarded, as these inferences were not robust across independence tests or values; however the basic network structure was robust.

Lifespan-predictive values versus age (Figure 6C) were calculated as follows: for each age n, from 2–7 days, all levels measured for a particular marker from day 2 to n were considered. (That is,
for \( n = 2 \), only the day-2 value was used; for \( n = 4 \), the values at days 2, 3, and 4 were used.) The values under consideration, and all of their pairwise multiples ("interaction terms"), so that rates of change can be incorporated were then used to construct a multivariate regression model to measure how well eventual longevity can be predicted using only data up to age \( n \). In order to prevent the changing number of parameters over time from affecting the \( R^2 \) values, and in particular to avoid over-fitting later timepoints due to large number of parameters and interaction terms, we used ridge regression, with a penalty term automatically chosen to minimize the generalized cross-validation error [72].

**Supporting Information**

**Figure S1** Schematic of image acquisition, processing, and analysis. See Materials and Methods for full details; in brief, image series of brightfield and fluorescence images are acquired for each animal, followed by a bright-light stimulus and three follow-up images to assay response. Animals that do not move post-stimulus are deemed dead. Next, the best fluorescence/brightfield image pairs for each filterset are chosen automatically according to the specified criteria, and the position of the animal is determined in the brightfield image (a procedure known as "image segmentation") using a custom semi-automated tool. Finally, measurements are made on the images, as described in the text and Materials and Methods. (PDF)

**Figure S2** Comparison of lifespan distributions and survival curves. (A,B) Bivariate regression of each animal’s mean length (days 3-7) and the slope of a least-squares fit to the lengths in that time range against eventual longevity yields a “predicted longevity” for each animal that correlates with the actual longevity with an \( R^2 \) of 0.32. Individual animals can be grouped into cohorts with above-average and below-average “predicted longevities” and followed prospectively, as shown by the lifespan distributions (A) and survival curves (B). The lifespan distribution of animals with above-average and below-average predicted longevity based on length slope and mean are significantly different (\( p<10^{-19} \); Kolmogorov–Smirnov test). Above-average predicted longevity is a 70% sensitive and specific predictor of above-average actual longevity. (C) Lifespan distribution of animals with above-average and below-average predicted longevity based on autofluorescence slope and mean (Figure 2C) differ significantly (\( p<10^{-15} \)). A test for above-average longevity based on whether this prediction is above or below average is 74% sensitive and specific. (D) Lifespan distributions of animals with above-average and below-average predicted longevity, based on slope and mean of the \( mir-71 \);GFP PC score (Figure 3G), are significantly different (\( p<10^{-12} \)). A test for above-average longevity based on whether this prediction is above or below average is 81% sensitive and specific. (E) Lifespan distributions of animals with high and low \( mir-246 \);GFP slopes (Figure 5C) are significantly different (\( p=0.0075 \)). Note that the low- \( mir-246 \);GFP-slope cohort has a bimodal lifespan distribution; some are nearly as long-lived as the high-slope cohort, while others have a markedly shortened lifespan. A diagnostic test for long lifespan based on these values is 62% sensitive and specific. (F) Lifespan distributions of animals with high and low \( mir-239 \);GFP slopes (Figure 3F) differ significantly (\( p=0.0166 \)). A diagnostic test is 60% sensitive and specific. (G) Distribution of observed lifespans for animals with above-average and below-average survival indices (Figure 6A) differ significantly (\( p<10^{-16} \)). An above-average survival index is an 79% sensitive and specific indicator of above-average lifespan. (PDF)

**Figure S3** Consistency between trials. (A) Lifespan distributions per trial (see Table S1); distributions each integrate to one so narrower distributions appear higher. (B) Lifespan distributions per trial, scaled according to the number of animals included in each trial. The overall distribution is shown shaded in grey (for visual reference and on a separate scale). (C) All scatterplots from Figure 1, Figure 2, Figure 3, and Figure 5 are shown with the data points colored according to trial. (The data plotted in Figure 4 was from a single trial.) At right the overall \( R^2 \) and Pearson’s correlation coefficient \( r \) are shown for the \( (x, y) \) data shown, as well as the \( r \) values for each individual trial \( (r \) values are shown so that the direction of the correlation or anti-correlation can be seen directly). (PDF)

**Figure S4** TRITC-channel autofluorescence images. Brightfield and TRITC-channel fluorescence images (see Materials and Methods; excitation = 530–560nm, emission = 590–650nm) of the low- (left) and high-autofluorescence (right) individuals shown in Figure 2A, at 7 days of age. Fluorescent images were corrected according to microscope calibration data (see Materials and Methods) and individually rescaled for easy visualization of relevant structures. The darkest black indicates the same intensity in both images; the brightest white reflects 2.5× higher actual intensities in the right image than the left. (PDF)

**Figure S5** Characterization of \( mir-71 \);GFP; \( daf-16 \) (na86). (A) Lifespan distribution of \( mir-71 \);GFP; \( spe-9 \) (hc88) reared according to the basic culture protocol (top; data are those shown in Figure 3); \( mir-71 \);GFP reared according to the FUDR protocol (middle), and \( mir-71 \);GFP; \( daf-16 \) (na86) reared according to the FUDR protocol (bottom). The day 3–7 time window for \( mir-71 \);GFP; \( spe-9 \) (hc88) (shaded) ends after approximately 3% of the animals in that population have died. The shaded windows for the other curves end at approximately the same position on the lifespan histogram; the beginning of the window was adjusted to maintain the same 3.7 (±0.4±0.6) ratio: this is days 5–13 (middle) and 4–9 (bottom). (B) Population mean ± one standard deviation over time in GFP fluorescence in the head region is shown for \( mir-71 \);GFP and \( mir-71 \);GFP; \( daf-16 \) (na86). Data were analyzed only between approximately the second and fourteenth day post L1 synchronization. (C) Representative GFP fluorescence images of \( mir-71 \);GFP and \( mir-71 \);GFP; \( daf-16 \) (na86) individuals at day 7. The five individuals with head GFP intensity closest to their population mean were chosen and warped to standard shape and size. Image intensities are shown on the same black-to-white scale for quantitative comparison. (PDF)

**Figure S6** Partial correlation networks for each dataset. Only variables measured for all animals in a given dataset (see Table S1) are shown in each of the above networks, which were generated as specified in the Materials and Methods. (PDF)

**Table S1** Descriptions of each dataset. The number of animals examined and whether texture and age-pigment measurements were made is shown for each individual data-set (which are aggregated in the main text figures and plotted individually in Figure S3). (PDF)

**Table S2** Correlations of biomarkers with lifespan controlling for other markers. The leftmost column shows the correlation \( R^2 \)-value of the given measurements versus lifespan, while the other
columns show the $R^2$ (as a percentage of the original value) after controlling for other variables.

**Table S3** Raw timecourse data for datasets.

**Table S4** Per-animal summary data for all datasets.
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