Effect of the latent reservoir on the evolution of HIV at the within- and between-host levels

Supporting Text S2

Hilje M. Doekes^{1,2}, Christophe Fraser^{1,3}, Katrina A. Lythgoe^{1,4,*}

¹ Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, Imperial College London, United Kingdom.

² Theoretical Biology, Utrecht University, The Netherlands.

³ Oxford Big Data Institute, Li Ka Shing Centre for Health Information and Discovery,

Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, United Kingdom.

⁴ Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, United Kingdom.

* <u>katrina.lythgoe@zoo.ox.ac.uk</u>.

Modelling preferential transmission of ancestral virus

It has been argued that upon transmission of HIV, ancestral virus might be preferentially transmitted. We adjusted the within-host and between-host model to incorporate this possibility. We assume that during the within-host evolutionary process the virus acquires certain mutations that lower its transmissibility relative to the other viral strains present in the host, up to a certain maximum number of mutations m. The overall transmissibility of a host is not affected by these mutations. In addition, these mutations are assumed to be neutral at the within-host level, and are not linked to the mutations that increase the replication rate and/or virulence.

Let $x_j^k(\tau)$ and $y_j^k(\tau)$ be the frequency of strain *j* carrying *k* of these transmissibility-lowering mutations in the active compartment and the reservoir, respectively. Define the vectors

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1^0, x_1^1, \dots, x_1^m, x_2^0, x_2^1, \dots, x_n^m),$$
(S2.1)

$$\mathbf{y} = (y_1^0, y_1^1, \dots, y_1^m, y_2^0, y_2^1, \dots, y_n^m) \,. \tag{S2.2}$$

Let $\mu_{\theta_{+}}$ be the rate at which transmissibility-lowering mutations occur during replication, and let these mutations be reverted at rate $\mu_{\theta_{-}}$. The within-host system is then again described by Eq 2 (main text), however now with

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{q}_{11}J & \cdots & \tilde{q}_{1n}J \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \tilde{q}_{n1}J & \cdots & \tilde{q}_{nn}J \end{pmatrix},$$
(S2.3)

where $\tilde{q}_{ij} = m_{ij}\gamma_j$ is the entry in the original replication-mutation matrix and

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} (1 - \mu_{\theta^+}) & \mu_{\theta^-} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \mu_{\theta^+} & (1 - \mu_{\theta^+} - \mu_{\theta^-}) & \mu_{\theta^-} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \mu_{\theta^+} & (1 - \mu_{\theta^-}) \end{pmatrix}$$
(S2.4)

represents the acquisition of transmissibility-lowering mutations within a strain. The initial infecting strain is assumed to carry none of the transmissibility-lowering mutations, such that for a type-j infection at $\tau = 0$, $x_j^0(0) = y_j^0(0) = 1$ and all other vector entries are zero. Using these initial conditions, the within-host equations can again be numerically integrated to calculate the frequencies of strain *i* carrying *k* transmissibility-lowering mutations in the active compartment and the reservoir, $x_{ij^k}(\tau)$ and $y_{ij^k}(\tau)$, for an infection established by strain *j* at time $\tau = 0$.

Next, we again define the strain specific infectivity profile $\beta_{ij}(\tau)$. The relative contribution of strains to the infectivity now depends both on their frequency and the number of transmissibility-lowering mutations they carry. Let θ_k represent the relative transmissibility of a strain with *k* transmissibility-lowering mutations compared to a strain without these mutations (i.e. $\theta_0 = 1$). Then, we can define

$$\beta_{ij}(\tau) = \alpha_j(t) \frac{\sum_{k=0}^m \theta_k x_{ij}^k(\tau)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=0}^m \theta_k x_{ij}^k(\tau)}.$$
(S2.5)

Here, the denominator ensures that the total infectivity does not reduce over time. Only the relative transmissibility of strains carrying a certain number of transmissibility-lowering mutations is affected. Relative transmissibility is assumed to decrease linearly with the number of transmissibility-lowering mutations, up to a certain minimum θ_{min} . I.e.

$$\theta_k = \max(\theta_{min}, 1 - k\theta_{step}),$$
 (S2.6)

where θ_{step} is the slope of the decrease. The strain specific infectivity profiles as described by *Eq S2.5* were used to directly implement the preferential transmission mechanism in the between-host model described by *Eqs 8-11* (main text).